July 12, 2023

Jin-Lei Wang

Company Editor-in-Chief

World Journal of Clinical Cases

Dear Dr. Wang:

We are truly grateful to you for the favorable and constructive comments on our manuscript entitled

"Early diagnosis of renal pelvis villous adenoma: A case report" (Manuscript ID:84910). These

comments are all valuable and helpful for improving our article. According to the editor and

reviewers' comments, we have made extensive modifications to our manuscript and supplemented

extra data to make our results convincing. In this revised version, changes to our manuscript were

all highlighted within the document by using red-colored text. We believe that our manuscript is

now much improved and hope that you would find it acceptable for publication in World Journal of

Clinical Cases. In the authors list, we added Kun Liu, who made important contribution in the

revision. Point-by-point responses to the nice editor and two nice reviewers are listed below this

letter.

Sincerely yours,

The Authors

1

Responses to editor's and reviewers' comments

We appreciate your insightful comments and suggestions that are extremely helpful in guiding our revisions to improve the manuscript.

Company editor-in-chief:

a) Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents

Response: Thanks for your suggestions. We have unified the presentation of the figures.

b) Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Response: Thank you for your suggestions. We have arranged the figures using PPT and all the figures are original.

c) Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript.

Response: We have reviewed and updated the latest literature (**Ref. 10**). However, due to the extremely low incidence of villous adenoma of renal pelvis, similar reports are rare. At the same time, we have revised the discussion and conclusion to further highlight the uniqueness of our case.

d) To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search

results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.

Response: We have used the RCA system you recommended; unfortunately, we have not found any relevant articles. The relevant contents are provided below as a screen dump for your quick reference.



Reviewer #1:

suggested.

- a) A section on the state of the art should be included at the beginning of the article Response: Thanks for your suggestions. We have added more about the state of the art on this tumor in the section of INTRODUCTION.
- b) The discussion section should be improved so that the results of the work presented are compared with other similar works in order to show the advances obtained and the limitations.

Response: We have improved the section of DISCUSSION to highlight the uniqueness and novelty of our report and compared with other similar works. We also presented the limitations related to the diagnosis and treatment of this tumor.

c) In the conclusions, briefly establish the scientific contribution
Response: We have added the potential contributions of our report in the CONCLUSION as you

Reviewer #2:

a) Abstract needs writing revision, some spaces should be added and spme should be removed.

Response: We have revised the Abstract as you suggested.

b) Introduction is very short, only one reference has been cited, however you can introduce to villous adenoma in GI and in urinary bladder ending with the extreme rarity in renal pelvis showing the importance and challenges of diagnosis.

Response: We have added more about the state of the art and cited two more new references in the INTRODUCTION.

c) Case presentation: You should provide the histopathological (microscopic) features before the subtitle of the treatment and also the clinical provisional and differential diagnoses. Also the provided histopathological photo is not enough and not well-described. I wonder if you did immunohistochemistry for this case? In somewhere you mentioned that it is performed but no provided data.

Response: Thanks very much for your comments. We have made significant modifications to the sections under subheadings of further diagnostic workups, describing the clinical provisional and differential diagnoses, and added the immunohistochemical results demonstrated with Figures.

d) In conclusion you claim that your article provides valuable data for the early diagnosis of this disorder, however I cannot find in your findings what supports the utility of early diagnosis.

Response: We have added more to the CONCLUSION as you suggested, and elucidated the significance of early endoscopic examination for the early diagnosis and treatment of renal pelvis villous adenoma.