

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 84955

Title: Sequential Treatment for Diabetic Foot Ulcers in Dialysis Patients: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06521208 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-27 08:34

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-04 01:23

Review time: 6 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good
• ,	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear editor and authors, This paper provides an interesting rare case report which is well presented. This report describes the successful treatment of ischemic diabetic plantar and heel ulcers in a patient undergoing hemodialysis using sequential treatment involving percutaneous transluminal angioplasty, tissue-engineered skin grafts, and negative pressure wound therapy. Some minor concerns should be corrected before acceptance of this manuscript for publication. The author can present more indicators of patients before and after treatment, such as blood glucose levels and creatinine levels.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 84955

Title: Sequential Treatment for Diabetic Foot Ulcers in Dialysis Patients: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06520427 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-27 08:25

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-06 03:17

Review time: 8 Days and 18 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present an interesting case report, this report demonstrates a new treatment method for diabetic foot ulcers was successful, using angioplasty, skin grafts, and negative pressure. The paper is well presented, case is properly described and discussion is written accordingly. However, there are some minor questions that need to be answered: 1. The author can provide a comparison of cases receiving traditional treatment methods to highlight the advantages of this new treatment method. 2. A more detailed presentation of the healing process can provide readers with a clearer understanding of the advantages of new treatment methods.