World Journal of *Methodology*

World J Methodol 2023 September 20; 13(4): 166-372





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of *Methodology*

Contents

Quarterly Volume 13 Number 4 September 20, 2023

EDITORIAL

166 Importance of methodological considerations in documenting psychological trauma

Vyshka G, Elezi F, Mana T

OPINION REVIEW

170 ChatGPT in action: Harnessing artificial intelligence potential and addressing ethical challenges in medicine, education, and scientific research

Jeyaraman M, Ramasubramanian S, Balaji S, Jeyaraman N, Nallakumarasamy A, Sharma S

REVIEW

179 Compensated liver cirrhosis: Natural course and disease-modifying strategies

Kumar R. Kumar S. Prakash SS

194 Telemedicine in inflammatory bowel diseases: A new brick in the medicine of the future?

Gravina AG, Pellegrino R, Durante T, Palladino G, D'Onofrio R, Mammone S, Arboretto G, Auletta S, Imperio G, Ventura A, Romeo M, Federico A

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

210 Utilization of online systems to promote youth participation in research: A methodological study

Salem M, Pollack L, Zepeda A, Tebb KP

223 Comprehensive analysis of cell-extracellular matrix protein Ras suppressor-1 in function and prognosis of gastrointestinal cancers

Xu Y, Hou YY, Wu Z, Fang ZX, Wu HT, Liu J

Retrospective Cohort Study

238 Role of the phase angle in the prognosis of the cirrhotic patient: 15 years of follow-up

Pinto LP, Marroni CA, Czermainski J, Dahlem MLF, Carteri RB, Fernandes SA

Retrospective Study

248 Association of carbon monoxide poisonings and carboxyhemoglobin levels with COVID-19 and clinical severity

Coskun A, Demirci B, Turkdogan KA

Observational Study

259 External validation of the Moroccan Arabic version of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer colorectal (CR29) module: Monocentric study

Bachri H, Essangri H, El Bahaoui N, Benkabbou A, Mohsine R, Majbar AM, Souadka A



Ι

World Journal of Methodology

Quarterly Volume 13 Number 4 September 20, 2023

272 Biliary fistula and late recurrence of liver hydatid cyst: Role of cysto-biliary communication: A prospective multicenter study

Habeeb TAAM, Podda M, Tadic B, Shelat VG, Tokat Y, Abo Alsaad MI, Kalmoush AE, Nassar MS, Mustafa FM, Morsi Badawy MH, Sobhy Shaaban M, Mohamed TZ, El Sayed Henish MI, Elbelkasi H, Abdou Yassin M, Mostafa A, Ibrahim A, A-Abdelhady W, Elshahidy TM, Mansour MI, Moursi AM, Abdallah Zaitoun M, Abd-Allah ES, Abdelmonem Elsayed A, S Elsayed R, M Yehia A, Abdelghani A, Negm M, Abo-Alella HA, Elaidy MM

Prospective Study

Contents

287 Role of endoscopic ultrasound and endoscopic ultrasound-guided tissue acquisition in diagnosing hepatic focal lesions

Okasha HH, Delsa H, Alsawaf A, Hashim AM, Khattab HM, Abdelfatah D, Abdellatef A, Albitar A

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

296 Post-COVID-19 cholangiopathy: Systematic review

Rasheed MA, Ballotin VR, Bigarella LG, Soldera J

323 Potential long-term neurological and gastrointestinal effects of COVID-19: A review of adult cohorts Sherif ZA, Deverapalli M, Challa SR, Martirosyan Z, Whitesell P, Pizuorno AM, Naqvi Z, Tulloch IK, Oskrochi G, Brim H, Ashktorab H

SCIENTOMETRICS

337 Physician-scientists or celebrities? Kardashian-index of gastroenterologists Ugonabo O, Malik SU, Akbar UA, Zamani Z, Frandah W

345 Mapping research trends of transarterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma from 2012 to

Zhang N, He XF, Niu XK

2021: A bibliometric analysis

CASE REPORT

359 Clinical, imaging, arthroscopic, and histologic features of bilateral anteromedial meniscofemoral ligament: A case report

Luco JB, Di Memmo D, Gomez Sicre V, Nicolino TI, Costa-Paz M, Astoul J, Garcia-Mansilla I

366 Sclerotic marginal zone lymphoma: A case report Moureiden Z, Tashkandi H, Hussaini MO



Contents

Quarterly Volume 13 Number 4 September 20, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Methodology, Ahmad Ozair, MBBS, Postdoctoral Fellow, Miami Cancer Institute, Baptist Health South Florida, Miami, FL 33176, United States. ahmad.ozair@baptisthealth.net

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Methodology (WJM, World J Methodol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of methodology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJM mainly publishes articles reporting research results obtained in the field of methodology and covering a wide range of topics including breath tests, cardiac imaging techniques, clinical laboratory techniques, diagnostic self-evaluation, cardiovascular diagnostic techniques, digestive system diagnostic techniques, endocrine diagnostic techniques, neurological diagnostic techniques, obstetrical and gynecological diagnostic techniques, ophthalmological diagnostic techniques, otological diagnostic techniques, radioisotope diagnostic techniques, respiratory system diagnostic techniques, surgical diagnostic techniques, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJM is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Zi-Hang Xu; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Ji-Hong Lin

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Methodology	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2222-0682 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
September 26, 2011	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Quarterly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Timotius Ivan Hariyanto	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2222-0682/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
September 20, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WIM

World Journal of Methodology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Methodol 2023 September 20; 13(4): 248-258

DOI: 10.5662/wjm.v13.i4.248

ISSN 2222-0682 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study Association of carbon monoxide poisonings and carboxyhemoglobin levels with COVID-19 and clinical severity

Abuzer Coskun, Burak Demirci, Kenan Ahmet Turkdogan

Specialty type: Medical laboratory technology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Cabezuelo AS, Spain; Fabbri N, Italy; Shariati MBH, Iran

Received: April 10, 2023 Peer-review started: April 10, 2023 First decision: June 1, 2023 Revised: June 8, 2023 Accepted: July 25, 2023 Article in press: July 25, 2023 Published online: September 20, 2023



Abuzer Coskun, Burak Demirci, Emergency Medicine Clinic, Istanbul Bagcilar Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul 34200, Turkey

Kenan Ahmet Turkdogan, Emergency Medicine Department, Istanbul Çam and Sakura City Hospital, Istanbul 34494, Turkey

Corresponding author: Abuzer Coskun, MD, Associate Professor, Emergency Medicine Clinic, Istanbul Bagcilar Training and Research Hospital, No. 2, Dr. Sadik Ahmet Street, Istanbul 34200, Turkey. dr.acoskun44@hotmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which recently spread throughout the entire world, is still a significant health issue. Additionally, the most common cause of risky poisoning in emergency services is carbon monoxide (CO) poisoning. Both disorders seem to merit more research as they have an impact on all bodily systems via the lungs.

AIM

To determine how arterial blood gas and carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) levels affect the clinical and prognostic results of individuals requiring emergency treatment who have both COVID-19 and CO poisoning.

METHODS

Between January 2018 and December 2021, 479 CO-poisoning patients participated in this single-center, retrospective study. Patients were primarily divided into two groups for analysis: Pre-pandemic and pandemic periods. Additionally, the pandemic era was divided into categories based on the presence of COVID-19 and, if present, the clinical severity of the infection. The hospital information system was used to extract patient demographic, clinical, arterial blood gas, COVID-19 polymerase chain reaction, and other laboratory data.

RESULTS

The mean age of the 479 patients was 54.93 ± 11.51 years, and 187 (39%) were female. 226 (47%) patients were in the pandemic group and 143 (30%) of them had a history of COVID-19. While the mean potential of hydrogen (pH) in arterial blood gas of all patients was 7.28 \pm 0.15, it was 7.35 \pm 0.10 in the pre-pandemic group and 7.05 \pm 0.16 in the severe group during the pandemic period (*P* < 0.001).



COHb was $23.98 \pm 4.19\%$ in the outpatients and $45.26\% \pm 3.19\%$ in the mortality group (P < 0.001). Partial arterial oxygen pressure (PaO₂) was 89.63 ± 7.62 mmHg in the pre-pandemic group, and 79.50 ± 7.18 mmHg in the severe group during the pandemic period (P < 0.001). Despite the fact that mortality occurred in 35 (7%) of all cases, pandemic cases accounted for 30 of these deaths (85.7%) (P < 0.001). The association between COHb, troponin, lactate, partial arterial pressure of carbon dioxide, HCO₃, calcium, glucose, age, pH, PaO₂, potassium, sodium, and base excess levels in the pre-pandemic and pandemic groups was statistically significant in univariate linear analysis.

CONCLUSION

Air exchange barrier disruption caused by COVID-19 may have pulmonary consequences. In patients with a history of pandemic COVID-19, clinical results and survival are considerably unfavorable in cases of CO poisoning.

Key Words: Emergency department; Coronavirus disease 2019; Carbon monoxide; Mortality; Carboxyhemoglobin; Intoxication; Poisoning

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This retrospective study included 479 patients with a mean age of 54 years. The association of both coronavirus disease 2019 and carbon monoxide poisoning in the emergency department has not been described in the literature. This study includes meticulous work on this association carried out in the emergency room. The clinical, hospitalization, complication and mortality rates were evaluated.

Citation: Coskun A, Demirci B, Turkdogan KA. Association of carbon monoxide poisonings and carboxyhemoglobin levels with COVID-19 and clinical severity. *World J Methodol* 2023; 13(4): 248-258 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2222-0682/full/v13/i4/248.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v13.i4.248

INTRODUCTION

Carbon monoxide (CO) is an odorless, tasteless, nonirritating gas produced by the incomplete combustion of carbon compounds. It has been reported as one of the most prevalent causes of death, accounting for 31% of toxic poisonings[1]. CO is the third leading cause of accidental gas inhalation-related death in the United States[2]. This gas is readily absorbed and unaltered by the lungs. 90% is bound to hemoglobin (Hb), 10% to myoglobin, and 10% to cytochrome C-oxidase after absorption. Less than 1% is dissolved in plasma, and less than 1% is oxidized to carbon dioxide[3]. CO binds with high affinity to Hb in the blood to form carboxyhemoglobin (COHb). Exposure to CO levels as low as 10 ppm can result in approximately 2% COHb[4]. CO is 250 times more attractive than oxygen to Hb. CO competes with oxygen for binding to Hb, reducing oxygen transport capacity[5,6]. CO prevents oxidative phosphorylation by inhibiting mitochondrial respiration *via* heme a3 binding. As a result, it causes hypoxia in many organs[7].

Signs of lung injury in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) can range from minimal to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)[8]. Silent hypoxemia is the most important factor in COVID-19 patients. This term refers to arterial hypoxemia in patients who are conscious and alert but have no significant dyspnea. In certain instances, there is profound hypoxemia with pulse oximetry values of 70% and partial arterial oxygen pressure (PaO₃) values of 40 mmHg [9]. Associated with the phenomenon of silent hypoxemia are parenchymal compliance, hypoxic pulmonary vascularity, ventilation control, and dyspnea. The causes of hypoxemia directly initiate inflammation via viral infection and secondary immune response. Disease progression can result in diffuse alveolar damage, exudative-proliferative stages, hyaline membrane structure damage, edema, atypical pneumocyte hyperplasia, alveolar hemorrhage, endothelial cell damage, micro-thrombosis, dilatation, and characteristic ARDS features, including hypoxemia due to capillary occlusion[10,11]. Vascular findings, which also occur in many other organs, have led to the belief that COVID-19 patients experience lung injury and significant hypoxia[12]. Although CO poisoning and COVID-19 cause hypoxia via distinct mechanisms, it is evident that they interact to reduce lung diffusion capacity. Mo et al [13] examined the conventional lung capacity of mild, moderate, and severe COVID-19 survivors 20-30 d after the onset of symptoms. Despite relatively normal spirometry, patients had a 50% reduction in lung diffusion CO capacity (DLCO) and a 25% reduction in DLCO/alveolar volume. In his study, Nusair^[14] found that low DLCO is primarily attributable to decreased alveolar volume, and not residual interstitial or pulmonary vascular abnormalities caused by COVID-19.

In the present study, we aimed to determine the cumulative increasing mortality rates, the effects of high COHb levels, and serum lactate levels in COVID-19 patients who were exposed to CO poisoning during the pre-pandemic and pandemic periods.

Zaishidena® WJM | https://www.wjgnet.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and population

This cross-sectional cohort analysis comprised 479 patients over the age of 18 years with CO poisoning who attended the emergency department between January 2018 and December 2021. All CO poisonings were caused by heating system malfunctions or accidents. Our hospital's registration system includes patient diagnoses, admission dates, contact information, and demographic, clinical, and laboratory data. Furthermore, pre-pandemic and pandemic CO poisoning cases, pandemic COVID-19 cases, patient polymerase chain reaction (PCR) records, and data from patients who presented to our hospital with CO poisoning are all incorporated in our system.

When categorizing the patients, two groups were included: Pre-pandemic and pandemic. Patients between January 2018 and December 2019 were classified as pre-pandemic, whereas those between January 2020 and December 2021 were classified as pandemic. Patients in the pre-pandemic group were chosen by evaluating individuals who presented to the emergency clinic due to CO poisoning and had a COHb value greater than 10%. Both non-COVID-19 CO poisoning cases and cases with COVID-19, or those with positive PCR results and exposure to CO poisoning, were included in the pandemic group, as long as the COHb value was greater than 10%. The study comprised patients whose arterial blood gas, serum lactate, troponin I value, and CO exposure periods were known and recorded at the time of admission to the emergency department.

Patients with a coma score of less than 10, prior cerebrovascular disease, significant psychiatric illness or drug use, a history of infectious disease other than COVID-19, and pregnant patients were excluded from the study. Furthermore, individuals less than 18 years old with unknown arterial blood gas, troponin I, serum lactate levels, or CO exposure duration were excluded from the study.

Cases exposed to acute CO poisoning during the pre-pandemic and pandemic eras were divided into two groups. The pandemic period was also divided into two parts: Non-COVID-19 and COVID-19. Those who tested positive or had COVID-19 were also evaluated according to their clinical condition, which was divided into three categories: Mild, moderate, and severe[15]. Four groups were constructed based on the clinical history of the patients: Outpatient followup, hospitalization, intensive care unit (ICU), and mortality. All patients who died in the emergency department or in the critical care unit died during the acute period. The approximate CO inhalation time was used for determining the CO exposure time.

Laboratory analysis

The patients' COHb levels were assessed by arterial blood gas analyses performed using ABL 835 Flex Radiometer laboratory instruments, Blood Gas system (Aknlab, Istanbul, Turkey). Arterial blood gas data were examined in 5-10 min, and individuals with a COHb value of 10% or higher were classified as having CO poisoning and participated in the study. As clinical results were not detected, COHb values ranging from 1% to 10% were excluded from the study. Depending on their clinical status, all patients with COHb levels above 15% received hyperbaric oxygen therapy for 1-3 sessions. Serum lactate levels were also measured during arterial blood gas analysis, and values between 0.5 and 1.6 mmol/L over the reference range were considered significant. Troponin I STAT Elecsys and Cobas e 411 Hitachi (Roche, Swaziland) analyzers were used to measure Troponin I levels. Troponin I results were analyzed between 45-60 min and levels above 0.05 ng/mL were considered significant.

Statistical analysis

The SPSS 20.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) was used to analyze the data in this study. The normal distribution of the variables was examined using a one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. As the variables did not have a normal distribution, the Kruskal-Wallis-H test was used to compare the groups. The associations between nominal variable groups were investigated using Chi-square analysis. Spearman's correlation analysis was used to determine the correlation between groups. Furthermore, linear regression was employed to identify univariate and multivariate variable analyses. Univariate analysis was used to determine the association between patient groups and factors. Univariate analysis factors that were statistically significant were used in the multivariate linear regression risk model. The sensitivity and specificity of the COHb, troponin, and lactate mortality values were evaluated using a Receiver Operating Characteristic curve. P < 0.05 was declared statistically significant for interpreting the results.

RESULTS

The mean age of the 479 patients was 54.93 ± 11.51 years, 187 (39%) were female, and the age range was 23-78 years. The mean age in the pre-pandemic group was 50.56 \pm 11.24 years, and was 60.76 \pm 8.44 years in the pandemic group (P < 0.001). The relationship between the pre-pandemic/pandemic period and gender was not statistically significant. The duration of exposure to CO poisoning in all patients was 4.31 ± 1.74 h, and there was no significant difference between the groups (P = 0.201). When the arterial blood gases of all patients were evaluated, the mean potential of hydrogen (pH) was 7.28 \pm 0.15, while it was 7.35 \pm 0.10 in the pre-pandemic group and 7.05 \pm 0.16 in the severe group during the pandemic period (P < 0.001). In addition, while the partial arterial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂) was 43.32 ± 6.81 mmHg in the pre-pandemic group, it increased to 57.76 ± 4.49 mmHg in the pandemic group (P < 0.001). Likewise, while PaO_2 was 89.63 ± 7.62 mmHg in the pre-pandemic group, this value decreased to 79.50 ± 7.18 mmHg in the severe group (P < 0.001). Mean potassium level was 4.20 ± 0.71 mmol/L (P < 0.001), sodium was 138.04 ± 4.52 mmol/L (P = 0.239), and calcium was $1.16 \pm 0.26 \text{ mmol/L}$ (*P* = 0.020). It was observed that the glucose level, which was $120.49 \pm 20.98 \text{ mg/dL}$ in





Figure 1 Receiver operating characteristic curve for mortality. ROC: Receiver operating characteristic.

the pre-pandemic group, increased to $154.50 \pm 15.73 \text{ mg/dL}$ in the pandemic group (P < 0.001). In addition, while bicarbonate (HCO₃) was 20.31 ± 5.46 mmol/L in the pre-pandemic group, it decreased to 9.92 ± 3.73 mmol/L, which was the lowest level in the pandemic group. The mean base deficit was $7.09 \pm 5.56 \text{ mmol/L}$ (P < 0.001). While lactate was in the normal range at 1.89 ± 1.24 mmol/L in the pre-pandemic group, it increased to 6.33 ± 1.76 mmol/L in the pandemic group (P < 0.001). COHb value was 26.19% ± 6.68% in the pre-pandemic group and 41.08% ± 7.55% in the pandemic group (P < 0.001). Troponin I value was 0.11 ± 0.25 ng/mL in the pre-pandemic group and 1.09 ± 0.50 ng/mL in the pandemic group (P < 0.001) (Table 1).

In the analysis according to the survival status of the patients, the mean age of the outpatients was 50.27 ± 10.67 years, while the mean age of the patients who died was 66.63 ± 6.95 years (P < 0.001). There was no significant relationship between survival and gender. The duration of exposure to CO poisoning was determined as 5.14 ± 1.78 h in the mortality group (P < 0.001). In addition, COHb was 23.98% ± 4.19% in the outpatients and 45.26% ± 3.19% in the mortality group (P < 0.001). Troponin I was found to be increased at 1.35 ± 0.36 ng/mL and lactate at 8.14 ± 0.63 mmol/L in the mortality group (P < 0.001). In addition, in the analysis of the patient groups by survival, it was seen that 10 (4%) patients in the pre-pandemic group were in the ICU and 5 (2%) of these patients were in the mortality group. Fifty-nine (26.1%) of 226 patients in the pandemic group were followed in the ICU, and 30 (13.3%) died (P < 0.001) (Table 2).

In the univariate linear analysis, COHb, troponin, lactate, PaCO₂, HCO₃, calcium, glucose, age, pH, PaO₂, potassium, sodium, and base excess levels were found to be statistically significant in the pre-pandemic and pandemic groups. On the other hand, in multivariate linear regression analysis, COHb, troponin, lactate, PaCO₂, HCO₂, calcium, and glucose values were found to be prognostic signs in the pre-pandemic and pandemic groups (Table 3).

Changes in COHb, lactate, and troponin due to CO poisoning are shown in Figure 1 based on the receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. Based on this analysis, the optimal cut-off values (sensitivity and specificity), the area under the curve, and the 95% confidence interval of COHb, lactate, and troponin were found to be over 45% to predict the evolution of the pre-pandemic and pandemic groups (P < 0.001). In addition, in the correlation analysis of the variables for patient groups and survival, a medium-strong positive relationship was found between age, exposure time, COHb, troponin, lactate, PaCO₂, glucose, and base excess, and a strong negative relationship with HCO₂, pH, and PaO₂ (Tables 4 and 5).

DISCUSSION

CO intoxication and COVID-19 are both serious disorders that impact the respiratory system, impairing oxygenation and causing hypoxia. There are numerous studies on CO poisoning and COVID-19 in the literature. However, in our search of the literature, we did not discover any studies in which both diseases coexisted. This encouraged us to explore the morbidity and mortality effects of CO poisoning in patients with current or previous COVID-19. We found that the mortality rate during the pandemic period, including hyperbaric oxygen, mechanical ventilation, and all ICU treatments, was 6.4 times greater in COVID-19 patients with a COHb value of 10% or higher than the mortality rate before the pandemic.

Although the pathophysiological basis of CO poisoning is not clear, recent studies suggest that different mechanisms play a role in the toxicity caused by CO[16-18]. CO combines with respiratory pigments, enzymes, and proteins

Table 1 Basal and laboratory findings of the patients

			Disease periods					
				Pandemic (<i>n</i> = 226)				
		All patients (<i>n</i> = 479), mean ± SD	Pre-pandemic, (<i>n</i> = 253), mean ± SD	Non-COVID- 19 (<i>n</i> = 83), mean ± SD	Mild COVID- 19 (<i>n</i> = 60), mean ± SD	Moderate COVID-19 (<i>n</i> = 45), mean ± SD	Severe COVID-19 (<i>n</i> = 38), mean ± SD	<i>P</i> value
Baseline chara	cteristics							
Age (year)		54.93 ± 11.51	50.56 ± 11.24	56.87 ± 10.29	57.32 ± 9.58	65.98 ± 6.70	62.87 ± 7.50	< 0.001
Gender	Female	187 (39)	98 (38.7)	38 (45.8)	24 (40)	16 (35.6)	11 (28.9)	0.3461
	Male	292 (61)	155 (61.3)	45 (54.2)	36 (60)	29 (64.4)	27 (71.1)	
Exposure tir	ne (h)	4.31 ± 1.74	4.09 ± 1.86	4.84 ± 1.98	4.25 ± 1.26	4.69 ± 1.24	4.24 ± 1.23	0.201
Laboratory fin	dings							
Arterial blood gas	pH (7.35-7.45)	7.28 ± 0.15	7.35 ± 0.10	7.29 ± 0.12	7.23 ± 0.12	7.14 ± 0.16	7.05 ± 0.16	< 0.001
biood gas	PaCO ₂ (mmHg) (32- 45)	47.07 ± 8.34	43.32 ± 6.81	46.04 ± 7.90	51.10 ± 6.34	55.67 ± 4.93	57.76 ± 4.49	< 0.001
	PaO ₂ (mmHg) (80-100)	86.92 ± 8.01	89.63 ± 7.62	87.10 ± 6.44	83.01 ± 7.40	82.81 ± 6.50	79.50 ± 7.18	< 0.001
	K+ (mmol/L) (3.4-4.5)	4.20 ± 0.71	4.00 ± 0.58	4.01 ± 0.55	4.34 ± 0.78	4.64 ± 0.64	5.25 ± 0.63	< 0.001
	Na+ (mmol/L) (135-149)	138.04 ± 4.52	138.52 ± 4.70	137.35 ± 4.33	137.98 ± 4.22	17.13 ± 4.23	137.48 ± 4.33	0.239
	Ca++ (mmol/L) (1.1529)	1.16 ± 0.26	1.18 ± 0.25	1.19 ± 0.26	1.11 ± 0.20	1.07 ± 0.29	1.06 ± 0.37	0.02
	Cl- (mmol/L) (98-106)	99.90 ± 8.27	99.97 ± 7.14	100.01 ± 5.72	100.01 ± 12.69	99.98 ± 10.19	98.92 ± 9.05	0.944
	BS (mg/Dl) (70-105)	129.59 ± 24.66	120.49 ± 20.98	129.35 ± 21.89	132.75 ± 24.18	155.91 ± 21.58	154.50 ± 15.73	< 0.001
	HCO ₃ (mmol/L) (22- 26)	17.68 ± 6.18	20.31 ± 5.46	17.91 ± 5.49	15.13 ± 4.59	12.47 ± 4.62	9.92 ± 3.73	< 0.001
	BE (mmol/L) (-3.0-3.0)	7.09 ± 5.56	4.76 ± 4.68	6.76 ± 4.85	9.22 ± 4.43	11.79 ± 4.48	14.41 ± 3.58	< 0.001
	Lactate (mmol/L) (0.5- 1.6)	2.85 ± 1.99	1.89 ± 1.24	2.60 ± 1.57	3.54 ± 1.44	4.87 ± 1.92	6.33 ± 1.76	< 0.001
	COHb (%) (0.5-1.5)	29.68 ± 7.85	26.19 ± 6.68	27.63 ± 5.37	34.25 ± 5.00	37.35 ± 5.38	41.08 ± 7.55	< 0.001
Troponin I (0.05)	ng/mL) (0.0-	0.40 ± 1.91	0.11 ± 0.25	0.20 ± 0.28	0.42 ± 0.35	0.82 ± 0.31	1.09 ± 0.50	< 0.001

¹Chi-Square test.

Other P values were calculated by the Kruskal-Wallis H test. Bold values indicate significance at P < 0.05. SD: Standard Deviation; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; pH: Potential of Hydrogen; PaCO₂: Partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO₃: Partial arterial oxygen pressure; K⁺: Potassium; Na⁺: Sodium; Ca⁺⁺: Calcium; Cl⁻: Chloride; BS: Blood sugar; HCO₃: Bicarbonate; BE: Base Excess; COHb: Carboxyhemoglobin.

(myoglobin, Hb, cytochrome a3, and cytochrome P450). It is thought to act as a result of binding with cytochrome oxidase enzymes, such as cytochrome-a3, which is the terminal enzyme in the electron transport chain. Hypoxia and decreased blood flow cause CO to bind and inhibit cytochrome C oxidase and impair cellular respiration at the mitochondrial level [19,20]. CO, at toxic levels, activates platelets by increasing the frequency of thrombosis. It then stimulates neutrophils, leading to myeloperoxidase release, generation of reactive oxygen species, and inflammation[21]. As a result of these, aerobic respiration is affected, adenosine triphosphate production is disrupted, and if progression of this process is not prevented, lactic acidosis accumulates in the cells and death occurs when the cells begin anaerobic respiration. In

Baishidena WJM https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Analysis of patient survival, baseline values and variables (mean ± SD)							
Survival		Outpatient (<i>n</i> = 258)	Hospitalization (<i>n</i> = 117)	ICU (<i>n</i> = 69)	Mortality (<i>n</i> = 35)	P value	
Baseline charact	eristics						
Age (yr)			50.27 ± 10.67	47.76 ± 11.05	61.61 ± 7.56		< 0.001
Gender		Female	107 (41.5)	47 (40.2)	21 (30.4)	12 (34.3)	0.128 ¹
		Male	151 (58.5)	70 (59.8)	48 (69.6)	23 (65.7)	
Exposure time	e (h)		3.90 ± 1.62	4.45 ± 1.68	5.19 ± 1.79	5.14 ± 1.78	< 0.001
COHb (%)			23.98 ± 4.19	3238 ± 2.81	38.49 ± 2.99	45.26 ± 3.19	< 0.001
Troponin I (ng	g/mL)		0.04 ± 0.09	0.33 ± 0.27	0.77 ± 0.21	1.35 ± 0.36	< 0.001
Lactate (mmo	l/L)		1.42 ± 0.39	3.54 ± 0.95	4.34 ± 0.68	8.14 ± 0.63	< 0.001
Patient groups	Pre-pandemic		198 (76.7)	40 (34.2)	10 (14.5)	5 (14.3)	< 0.001 ¹
	Pandemic	Non-COVID-19	50 (19.4)	26 (22.2)	5 (7.2)	2 (5.7)	
		Mild	8 (3.1)	28 (23.9)	21 (30.4)	3 (8.6)	
		Moderate	2 (0.8)	16 (13.7)	17 (24.6)	10 (28.6)	
		Severe	0	7 (6)	16 (23.2)	15 (42.9)	

¹Chi-Square test.

Other *P* values were calculated by the Kruskal-Wallis H test. Bold values indicate significance at *P* < 0.05. SD: Standard deviation; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; ICU: Intensive care unit; COHb: Carboxyhemoglobin.

autopsies, the lungs in CO poisonings are swollen, edematous, light red, contain multiple foci of subpleural hemorrhage, and abundant foamy, bloody edematous fluid has been observed in lung sections^[22]. As there were forensic cases due to CO poisoning in our study, the autopsy reports of these cases showed swollen and edematous lungs, brown in COVID-19 cases and smokers, and light red in other cases. In addition, bloody and foamy edema fluid was present, especially in COVID-19 patients.

COVID-19 may present with clinical manifestations ranging from mild upper respiratory tract symptoms to interstitial pneumonia^[23]. They are specifically targeting COVID-19 and type II alveolar cells^[24]. In those who survive COVID-19, gas exchange abnormalities may develop due to abnormal alveolar injury healing, loss of pulmonary vascular bed, or both[25]. It is known that loss of pulmonary vasoregulation causes hypoxia. In patients with COVID-19 pneumonia, especially in the early stages, hypoxemia is more severe than would be predicted based on anatomical shunts [26,27]. This is because the primary change in pulmonary perfusion results in deep ventilation/perfusion inequalities[28]. Gattinoni et al[28] demonstrated by computed tomography (CT) that early-phase lungs (Type L/Type I) are characterized by low elasticity, collectibility, and ventilation/perfusion ratio. COVID-19 has been documented to exhibit microvascular thrombosis. Pulmonary microvascular thrombosis, characterized by subsegmental vascular enlargement and elevated D-Dimer levels near areas of opacities on thorax CT, has been consistently reported and has been linked to increased mortality^[29,30].

Although the recovery period for COVID-19 varies according to the severity of the disease, recovery can take a few weeks to a few months. It is not yet known how much damage will occur in which organ after the disease. In the early period of recovery, more than half of COVID-19 patients have impaired diffusion capacity, decreased respiratory muscle strength, and abnormalities in lung imaging. Severe cases are associated with greater reductions in total lung capacity, CO diffusion capacity, and the six-minute walk test [31]. Four months after COVID-19, severe cases had a lower PaO_2 than mild/moderate cases. During acute COVID-19, various measures of pulmonary function at follow-up were negatively correlated with mechanical ventilation duration, CO diffusion capacity, and total lung capacity in subjects requiring mechanical ventilation[32]. CO diffusing capacity dysfunction has been demonstrated in COVID patients at discharge and one month later. According to their study, abnormalities in CO diffusing capacity were noted in 47.2% and 52.6% of patients, respectively. Significant differences in impaired diffusion capacity have been reported between different severe COVID-19 groups[13,33].

Both our study and studies in the literature showed that while COVID-19 itself causes a decrease in lung diffusion capacity, CO poisoning also contributes to this. Thus, both conditions cause a significant decrease in the lung diffusion capacity of CO and an increase in the mortality rate. Recent studies, similar to our study, have shown that approximately half of discharged patients have residual abnormalities on chest CT scans[34]. These studies have shown that approximately three-quarters of COVID-19 patients develop pulmonary dysfunction during early convalescence, the most common being impaired diffusion capacity and decreased forced expiratory volume/forced vital capacity ratio. More than half of COVID-19 patients appear to have CO lung diffusion capacity abnormalities and impaired intra-alveolar diffusion pathways. Impaired CO lung diffusion capacity is the most common abnormality, even in severe acute respiratory syndrome survivors, ranging from 15.5% to 43.6% [35-39]. Mild to moderate cases are more likely to have CO



Table 3 Univariate and multivariate analysis of variables in patient groups

Defient groups	Univariate				Multivariate			
Patient groups	R square	β	t	P value	R square	β	t	P value
COHb (%)	0.452	0.672	19.837	< 0.001	0.589	0.166	2.287	0.023
Troponin (ng/mL)	0.485	0.696	21.197	< 0.001		0.258	3.457	0.001
Lactate (mmol/L)	0.468	0.684	20.501	< 0.001		0.241	2.462	0.014
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	0.364	0.604	16.535	< 0.001		0.338	6.040	< 0.001
Bicarbonate (mmol/L)	0.309	-0.556	-14.659	< 0.001		0.259	2.306	0.022
Calcium (mmol/L)	0.028	-0.168	-3.723	< 0.001		0.072	2.141	0.033
Glucose (mg/dL)	0.243	0.494	12.420	< 0.001		0.166	3.532	< 0.001
Age (year)	0.187	0.433	10.483	< 0.001				
pН	0.386	-0.621	-17.319	< 0.001				
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	0.178	-0.422	-10.164	< 0.001				
Potassium (mmol/L)	0.233	0.482	12.031	< 0.001				
Sodium (mmol/L)	0.009	-0.095	-2.083	0.038				
Base excess (mmol/L)	0.317	0.563	14.480	< 0.001				

Bold values indicate significance at P < 0.05. COHb: Carboxyhemoglobin; PaCO₂: Partial pressure of carbon dioxide; pH: Potential of hydrogen; PaO₂: Partial arterial oxygen pressure.

Table 4 Receiver operating characteristic curve of mortality							
	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	AUC	95%CI	<i>P</i> value		
COHb (%)	98.4	97.1	0.989	0.980-0.999	< 0.001		
Troponin (ng/mL)	98.6	97.8	0.996	0.993-1.000	< 0.001		
Lactate (mmol/L)	99.5	98.9	1.000	1.000-1.000	< 0.001		

COHb: Carboxyhemoglobin; AUC: Area under the curve; CI: Confidence interval.

lung diffusion capacity abnormalities compared with severe patients[40].

Recently, it was reported that concentrations of particles smaller than particulate matter 2.5 (PM2.5), CO and ozone produced by wildfires are associated with increases in COVID-19 cases and deaths in various parts of California[41,42]. Environmental pollution of PM2.5, CO and ozone can act as a carrier of infection, impair immunity, make humans more susceptible to pathogens, and is an aggravating pathogenic factor for disease[43]. It has been reported that there is a relationship between the severity of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection and air pollution. Among the mechanisms by which air pollution may facilitate SARS-CoV-2 infection is a possible link between upregulation of the angiotensin-converting enzyme receptor by air pollution and host susceptibility to more severe COVID-19. In addition, CO is a highly toxic gas that can damage the lungs[44]. These mechanisms, consistent with our study, support the hypothesis that CO, one of the most important environmental pollutant particles, causes an increase in SARS-CoV-2 cases and deaths.

According to this information, we can say that COVID-19 causes a decrease in diffusion capacity and lung functions with subsequent deterioration in alveolar structure. Although CO diffusion capacity was not measured in our study, pH, PaCO₂, and PaO₂ levels in arterial blood gas were evaluated. While blood gas parameters were close to normal in the prepandemic group, respiratory acidosis, hypercarbia, and low PaO₂ levels were found in the pandemic group.

In this study, as expected, the COHb and pH values were similar in those who did not have a history of COVID-19 in the pre-pandemic period and during the pandemic period. However, both increased levels of COHb and more acidic pH values were observed in proportion to the severity of the disease in the group of patients who were positive and had COVID-19. Both ICU admission and mortality were observed to be higher in CO poisoning during the pandemic period. Both COHb levels and survival status were strongly correlated with patients' status during or before the pandemic. Considering the pathophysiology of COVID and CO poisoning in the light of the above information, we think that the diffusion mechanism of COHb is impaired and its levels increase more easily due to the influence of the pulmonary airways and alveolar structure in patients with a history of COVID, which causes the patients' clinical worsening.

Table 5 Spearman's rho correlation analysis of variables in patient and survival groups						
Succession who	Patients		Survival			
Spearman's mo	R	<i>P</i> value	R	<i>P</i> value		
Age (year)	0.441	< 0.001	0.480	< 0.001		
Exposure time (hour)	0.148	< 0.001	0.290	< 0.001		
COHb (%)	0.609	< 0.001	0.873	< 0.001		
Troponin (ng/mL)	0.608	< 0.001	0.807	< 0.001		
Lactate (mmol/L)	0.643	< 0.001	0.880	< 0.001		
рН	-0.595	< 0.001	-0.857	< 0.001		
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	0.571	< 0.001	0.801	< 0.001		
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	-0.495	< 0.001	-0.747	< 0.001		
Glucose (mg/dL)	0.467	< 0.001	0.432	< 0.001		
Bicarbonate (mmol/L)	-0.536	< 0.001	-0.834	< 0.001		
Base excess (mmol/L)	0.541	< 0.001	0.839	< 0.001		

COHb: Carboxyhemoglobin; pH: Potential of hydrogen; PaCO₂: Partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO₂: Partial arterial oxygen pressure.

It should be mentioned that pH, PaCO₂, PaO₃, blood sugar, HCO₃, base deficit, lactate, COHb and troponin I values in arterial blood gas parameters of patients show that mortality will be high if CO poisoning is present with COVID-19. It was observed that the mortality rate was 6.4 times higher than the normal population if the high ICU rate was accompanied by high blood sugar, COHb, troponin I and lactate levels in patients aged 60 years and above. In the unimultivariate analysis, these parameters can have a predictive value in the presence of both CO and COVID-19. In addition, it was determined that these parameters were also correlated with mortality and both the sensitivity and specificity values were above 95%.

This study has some limitations. The most important of these was that this was a retrospective single-center study. In addition, not knowing exactly how much CO the patients were exposed to and for how long was due to difficulties in accessing file data, and arterial blood gas results can be counted among other limitations.

CONCLUSION

CO poisoning has been associated with more severe clinical and biochemical abnormalities, as well as a higher rate of mortality, in individuals with a history of COVID-19. We anticipate that this will have important consequences for the future diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19, as CO levels may be abnormal in comparison to healthy persons and can also be higher in mechanically ventilated patients. Furthermore, we believe that relying on pulse oximeters to determine oxygen saturation is unreasonable, and that doctors should produce more precise data using technologies that can discern levels in the lungs, arteries, and the mean of all tissues. In terms of practicality, this is the simplest arterial blood gas measurement. CO alterations may occur as a result of lung structural disorder during external poisonings, as well as COVID pathology, which can elevate CO levels. Further investigations are required to clarify these issues.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

There is a need for new techniques to assess risk in patients with both coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and carbon monoxide (CO) poisoning, and techniques to aid rapid diagnosis.

Research motivation

The impact of emergency room patients with COVID-19 and CO poisoning on clinical status, morbidity and morbidity is worth investigating.

Research objectives

We aim to determine whether patients with COVID-19 and CO poisoning, as the primary outcome, are definite risk factors for short-term emergency hospitalization and whether there is long-term morbidity and mortality during hospitalization as a secondary outcome.



Research methods

This single-center retrospective study was conducted between January 2018 and December 2021, and included 479 CO poisoning patients. The patients were divided according to the pandemic period and the pre-pandemic period. In addition, the pandemic period was classified according to the presence of COVID-19 and its clinical severity. Patients' demographic, clinical, arterial blood gas, COVID-19 polymerase chain reaction, and other laboratory data were extracted from the hospital information system.

Research results

The mean age of the 479 patients was 54.93 ± 11.51 years, and 187 (39%) were female. 226 (47%) patients were included in the pandemic group and 143 (30%) of them had a history of COVID-19. The mean potential of hydrogen (pH) in arterial blood gas of all patients was 7.28 ± 0.15 , was 7.35 ± 0.10 in the pre-pandemic group, and was 7.05 ± 0.16 in the severe group during the pandemic period (P < 0.001). Carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) was 23.98% ± 4.19% in the outpatients and $45.26\% \pm 3.19\%$ in the mortality group (P < 0.001). Partial arterial oxygen pressure (PaO₂) was 89.63 ± 7.62 mmHg in the pre-pandemic group, and 79.50 \pm 7.18 mmHg in the severe group during the pandemic (P < 0.001). While 35 (7%) of all cases died, 30 (85.7%) of those that died were in the pandemic group ($\bar{P} < 0.001$). In the univariate linear analysis, the relationship between COHb, troponin, lactate, partial arterial pressure of carbon dioxide, bicarbonate, calcium, glucose, age, pH, PaO₂, potassium, sodium, and base excess levels was statistically significant with the pre-pandemic and pandemic groups. In the receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, changes in COHb, lactate, and troponin due to CO poisoning were determined. Based on this analysis, the optimum cut-off values (sensitivity and specificity), the area under the curve, and the 95% confidence interval for COHb, lactate, and troponin were found to be above 45% in predicting the evolution of the pre-pandemic and pandemic groups (P < 0.001).

Research conclusions

In cases with a history of COVID-19, CO poisoning was observed with more severe clinical and laboratory findings and more frequent mortality. We believe this will have critical implications for the diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19 in the future, as CO levels may be abnormal compared to healthy subjects and can be higher in mechanically ventilated patients.

Research perspectives

CO poisoning in the pre-pandemic period appears to be milder than in the pandemic period. However, it was determined that mortality due to CO poisoning during the pandemic period was much higher in COVID-19 patients with a moderate and severe clinical course.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Coskun A and Demirci B contributed to study design, concept, writing the manuscript, and revising the final form; Coskun A and Turkdogan KA contributed to data collection and manuscript revision; All authors contributed to writing and discussion management; All authors contributed to data management and manuscript revision, data collection, interpretation of data, and revising the manuscript; Coskun A contributed to data collection and revision; Turkdogan KA contributed to data collection; Demirci B contributed to critical revision; Turkdogan KA contributed to statistical analysis; Coskun A suggested the idea, as a chair of the department provided general support and substantial contribution to concept and design, and acquisition of data; All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: All subjects gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the last Declaration of Helsinki (2013), and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Project identification (Decision No: 136).

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors report there are no competing interests to declare.

Data sharing statement: Informed Consent Form belonging to the research titled "Clinical Relation of Carboxyhemoglobin Levels in Carbon Monoxide Poisonings with COVID-19", which I conducted, was uploaded to the approved system on April 22, 2022.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Turkey

ORCID number: Abuzer Coskun 0000-0003-4824-7021; Burak Demirci 0000-0001-6658-7260; Kenan Ahmet Turkdogan 0000-0003-4850-5094.

S-Editor: Lin C L-Editor: Webster JR P-Editor: Xu ZH



REFERENCES

- 1 Uysalol M, Uysalol EP, Saracoğlu GV, Kayaoğlu S. A Retrospective Analysis of Pediatric Patients Admitted to the Pediatric Emergency Service for Carbon Monoxide Intoxication. Balkan Med J 2011; 28: 237-243 [DOI: 10.5174/tutfd.2010.03766.1]
- Wolf SJ, Lavonas EJ, Sloan EP, Jagoda AS; American College of Emergency Physicians. Clinical policy: Critical issues in the management of 2 adult patients presenting to the emergency department with acute carbon monoxide poisoning. Ann Emerg Med 2008; 51: 138-152 [PMID: 18206551 DOI: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2007.10.012]
- Nelson LS, Howland MA, Lewin NA, Smith SW, Goldfrank LR, Hoffman RS. Goldfrank's Toxicologic Emergencies. 11th ed. In: 3 Tomaszewski C. Carbon Monoxide. United States: McGraw Hill, 2019
- 4 Raub JA, Mathieu-Nolf M, Hampson NB, Thom SR. Carbon monoxide poisoning -- a public health perspective. Toxicology 2000; 145: 1-14 [PMID: 10771127 DOI: 10.1016/s0300-483x(99)00217-6]
- Hampson NB, Hauff NM. Risk factors for short-term mortality from carbon monoxide poisoning treated with hyperbaric oxygen. Crit Care 5 Med 2008; 36: 2523-2527 [PMID: 18679118 DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31818419d8]
- Weaver LK, Hopkins RO, Chan KJ, Churchill S, Gregory Elliott C, Clemmer P, Orme JF, Thomas FO, Morris AH. Hyperbaric oxygen for 6 acute carbon monoxide poisoning. N Engl J Med 2002; 347: 1057-1067 [DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa013121]
- Shiva S, Huang Z, Grubina R, Sun J, Ringwood LA, MacArthur PH, Xu X, Murphy E, Darley-Usmar VM, Gladwin MT. Deoxymyoglobin is a 7 nitrite reductase that generates nitric oxide and regulates mitochondrial respiration. Circ Res 2007; 100: 654-661 [PMID: 17293481 DOI: 10.1161/01.RES.0000260171.52224.6b]
- Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, Shu H, Xia J, Liu H, Wu Y, Zhang L, Yu Z, Fang M, Yu T, Wang Y, Pan S, Zou X, Yuan S, Shang Y. Clinical course 8 and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. Lancet Respir Med 2020; 8: 475-481 [PMID: 32105632 DOI: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5]
- Tobin MJ, Laghi F, Jubran A. Why COVID-19 Silent Hypoxemia Is Baffling to Physicians. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020; 202: 356-360 9 [PMID: 32539537 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202006-2157CP]
- 10 Carsana L, Sonzogni A, Nasr A, Rossi RS, Pellegrinelli A, Zerbi P, Rech R, Colombo R, Antinori S, Corbellino M, Galli M, Catena E, Tosoni A, Gianatti A, Nebuloni M. Pulmonary post-mortem findings in a series of COVID-19 cases from northern Italy: a two-centre descriptive study. Lancet Infect Dis 2020; 20: 1135-1140 [PMID: 32526193 DOI: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30434-5]
- 11 Tomashefski JF Jr, Davies P, Boggis C, Greene R, Zapol WM, Reid LM. The pulmonary vascular lesions of the adult respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Pathol 1983; 112: 112-126 [PMID: 6859225]
- 12 Mangalmurti NS, Reilly JP, Cines DB, Meyer NJ, Hunter CA, Vaughan AE. COVID-19-associated Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Clarified: A Vascular Endotype? Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020; 202: 750-753 [PMID: 32631071 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202006-2598LE]
- Mo X, Jian W, Su Z, Chen M, Peng H, Peng P, Lei C, Chen R, Zhong N, Li S. Abnormal pulmonary function in COVID-19 patients at time of 13 hospital discharge. Eur Respir J 2020; 55 [PMID: 32381497 DOI: 10.1183/13993003.01217-2020]
- 14 Nusair S. Abnormal carbon monoxide diffusion capacity in COVID-19 patients at time of hospital discharge. Eur Respir J 2020; 56 [PMID: 32703822 DOI: 10.1183/13993003.01832-2020]
- Mizumoto K, Kagaya K, Chowell G. Early epidemiological assessment of the transmission potential and virulence of coronavirus disease 2019 15 (COVID-19) in Wuhan City, China, January-February, 2020. BMC Med 2020; 18: 217 [PMID: 32664866 DOI: 10.1186/s12916-020-01691-x]
- 16 Kaya H, Coşkun A, Beton O, Zorlu A, Kurt R, Yucel H, Gunes H, Yılmaz MB. COHgb levels predict the long-term development of acute myocardial infarction in CO poisoning. Am J Emerg Med 2016; 34: 840-844 [PMID: 26947364 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajem.2016.01.036]
- Coşkun A, Eren FA, Eren ŞH, Korkmaz İ. Predicting of neuropsychosis in carbon monoxide poisoning according to the plasma troponin, 17 COHb, RDW and MPV levels: Neuropsychoses in carbon monoxide poisoning. Am J Emerg Med 2019; 37: 1254-1259 [PMID: 30268441 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajem.2018.09.017]
- Prockop LD. Carbon monoxide brain toxicity: clinical, magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance spectroscopy, and 18 neuropsychological effects in 9 people. J Neuroimaging 2005; 15: 144-149 [PMID: 15746226 DOI: 10.1177/1051228404273819]
- 19 Choi IS. Carbon monoxide poisoning: systemic manifestations and complications. J Korean Med Sci 2001; 16: 253-261 [PMID: 11410684 DOI: 10.3346/jkms.2001.16.3.253]
- 20 Choi SA, Choi IS. Clinical manifestations and complications in carbon monoxide intoxication. J Korean Neurol Assoc 1998; 16: 500-505
- 21 Thom SR, Bhopale VM, Han ST, Clark JM, Hardy KR. Intravascular neutrophil activation due to carbon monoxide poisoning. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006; 174: 1239-1248 [PMID: 16931637 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.200604-557OC]
- 22 Koç S, Özaslan A. Forensic Medicine Handbook for Primary Care. Ankara: Turkish Medical Association Publication, 1999: 36-82.
- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, Liu L, Shan H, Lei CL, Hui DSC, Du B, Li LJ, Zeng G, Yuen KY, Chen RC, Tang CL, 23 Wang T, Chen PY, Xiang J, Li SY, Wang JL, Liang ZJ, Peng YX, Wei L, Liu Y, Hu YH, Peng P, Wang JM, Liu JY, Chen Z, Li G, Zheng ZJ, Qiu SQ, Luo J, Ye CJ, Zhu SY, Zhong NS; China Medical Treatment Expert Group for Covid-19. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 1708-1720 [PMID: 32109013 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032]
- 24 Mason RJ. Pathogenesis of COVID-19 from a cell biology perspective. Eur Respir J 2020; 55 [PMID: 32269085 DOI: 10.1183/13993003.00607-2020]
- 25 Marini JJ, Gattinoni L. Management of COVID-19 Respiratory Distress. JAMA 2020; 323: 2329-2330 [PMID: 32329799 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.6825]
- Busana M, Giosa L, Cressoni M, Gasperetti A, Di Girolamo L, Martinelli A, Sonzogni A, Lorini L, Palumbo MM, Romitti F, Gattarello S, 26 Steinberg I, Herrmann P, Meissner K, Quintel M, Gattinoni L. The impact of ventilation-perfusion inequality in COVID-19: a computational model. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2021; 130: 865-876 [PMID: 33439790 DOI: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00871.2020]
- Chiumello D, Busana M, Coppola S, Romitti F, Formenti P, Bonifazi M, Pozzi T, Palumbo MM, Cressoni M, Herrmann P, Meissner K, 27 Quintel M, Camporota L, Marini JJ, Gattinoni L. Physiological and quantitative CT-scan characterization of COVID-19 and typical ARDS: a matched cohort study. Intensive Care Med 2020; 46: 2187-2196 [PMID: 33089348 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-020-06281-2]
- 28 Gattinoni L, Chiumello D, Caironi P, Busana M, Romitti F, Brazzi L, Camporota L. COVID-19 pneumonia: different respiratory treatments for different phenotypes? Intensive Care Med 2020; 46: 1099-1102 [PMID: 32291463 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-020-06033-2]
- Magro C, Mulvey JJ, Berlin D, Nuovo G, Salvatore S, Harp J, Baxter-Stoltzfus A, Laurence J. Complement associated microvascular injury 29 and thrombosis in the pathogenesis of severe COVID-19 infection: A report of five cases. Transl Res 2020; 220: 1-13 [PMID: 32299776 DOI: 10.1016/j.trsl.2020.04.007]



- Oudkerk M, Büller HR, Kuijpers D, van Es N, Oudkerk SF, McLoud T, Gommers D, van Dissel J, Ten Cate H, van Beek EJR. Diagnosis, 30 Prevention, and Treatment of Thromboembolic Complications in COVID-19: Report of the National Institute for Public Health of the Netherlands. Radiology 2020; 297: E216-E222 [PMID: 32324101 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2020201629]
- Wichmann D, Sperhake JP, Lütgehetmann M, Steurer S, Edler C, Heinemann A, Heinrich F, Mushumba H, Kniep I, Schröder AS, Burdelski 31 C, de Heer G, Nierhaus A, Frings D, Pfefferle S, Becker H, Bredereke-Wiedling H, de Weerth A, Paschen HR, Sheikhzadeh-Eggers S, Stang A, Schmiedel S, Bokemeyer C, Addo MM, Aepfelbacher M, Püschel K, Kluge S. Autopsy Findings and Venous Thromboembolism in Patients With COVID-19: A Prospective Cohort Study. Ann Intern Med 2020; 173: 268-277 [PMID: 32374815 DOI: 10.7326/M20-2003]
- Guler SA, Ebner L, Aubry-Beigelman C, Bridevaux PO, Brutsche M, Clarenbach C, Garzoni C, Geiser TK, Lenoir A, Mancinetti M, Naccini 32 B, Ott SR, Piquilloud L, Prella M, Que YA, Soccal PM, von Garnier C, Funke-Chambour M. Pulmonary function and radiological features 4 mo after COVID-19: first results from the national prospective observational Swiss COVID-19 Lung study. Eur Respir J 2021; 57 [PMID: 33419891 DOI: 10.1183/13993003.03690-2020]
- 33 Huang Y, Tan C, Wu J, Chen M, Wang Z, Luo L, Zhou X, Liu X, Huang X, Yuan S, Chen C, Gao F, Huang J, Shan H, Liu J. Impact of coronavirus disease 2019 on pulmonary function in early convalescence phase. Respir Res 2020; 21: 163 [PMID: 32600344 DOI: 10.1186/s12931-020-01429-6]
- Li K, Fang Y, Li W, Pan C, Qin P, Zhong Y, Liu X, Huang M, Liao Y, Li S. CT image visual quantitative evaluation and clinical classification 34 of coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Eur Radiol 2020; 30: 4407-4416 [PMID: 32215691 DOI: 10.1007/s00330-020-06817-6]
- Hui DS, Wong KT, Ko FW, Tam LS, Chan DP, Woo J, Sung JJ. The 1-year impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome on pulmonary 35 function, exercise capacity, and quality of life in a cohort of survivors. Chest 2005; 128: 2247-2261 [PMID: 16236881 DOI: 10.1378/chest.128.4.2247]
- Ong KC, Ng AW, Lee LS, Kaw G, Kwek SK, Leow MK, Earnest A. 1-year pulmonary function and health status in survivors of severe acute 36 respiratory syndrome. Chest 2005; 128: 1393-1400 [PMID: 16162734 DOI: 10.1378/chest.128.3.1393]
- Ong KC, Ng AW, Lee LS, Kaw G, Kwek SK, Leow MK, Earnest A. Pulmonary function and exercise capacity in survivors of severe acute 37 respiratory syndrome. Eur Respir J 2004; 24: 436-442 [PMID: 15358703 DOI: 10.1183/09031936.04.00007104]
- Su MC, Hsieh YT, Wang YH, Lin AS, Chung YH, Lin MC. Exercise capacity and pulmonary function in hospital workers recovered from 38 severe acute respiratory syndrome. Respiration 2007; 74: 511-516 [PMID: 16960439 DOI: 10.1159/000095673]
- 39 Xie L, Liu Y, Fan B, Xiao Y, Tian Q, Chen L, Zhao H, Chen W. Dynamic changes of serum SARS-coronavirus IgG, pulmonary function and radiography in patients recovering from SARS after hospital discharge. Respir Res 2005; 6: 5 [PMID: 15638943 DOI: 10.1186/1465-9921-6-5]
- Ngai JC, Ko FW, Ng SS, To KW, Tong M, Hui DS. The long-term impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome on pulmonary function, 40 exercise capacity and health status. Respirology 2010; 15: 543-550 [PMID: 20337995 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1843.2010.01720.x]
- Meo SA, Abukhalaf AA, Alomar AA, Alessa OM. Wildfire and COVID-19 pandemic: effect of environmental pollution PM-2.5 and carbon 41 monoxide on the dynamics of daily cases and deaths due to SARS-COV-2 infection in San-Francisco USA. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2020; 24: 10286-10292 [PMID: 33090440 DOI: 10.26355/eurrev 202010 23253]
- Meo SA, Abukhalaf AA, Alomar AA, Alessa OM, Sami W, Klonoff DC. Effect of environmental pollutants PM-2.5, carbon monoxide, and 42 ozone on the incidence and mortality of SARS-COV-2 infection in ten wildfire affected counties in California. Sci Total Environ 2021; 757: 143948 [PMID: 33321340 DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143948]
- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, Xiang J, Wang Y, Song B, Gu X, Guan L, Wei Y, Li H, Wu X, Xu J, Tu S, Zhang Y, Chen H, Cao 43 B. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2020; **395**: 1054-1062 [PMID: 32171076 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3]
- In 't Veen JCCM, Kappen JH, van Schayck OCP. [Air pollution: a determinant for COVID-19?]. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd 2020; 164 [PMID: 44 327498251





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

