

20.06.2023

## REVISION LETTER

Dear Editor/ Reviewer;

We have received your revision request. Thank you for taking the time to review our article. We have reviewed the revision articles you have submitted to us one by one and have provided as many corrections as we can. I will try to answer what has been done point by point.

Reviewer 1: The article is within the scope of the journal, and deals with an interesting topic. It is well written and organized. reading is fluent It presents some interesting results. A well-designed experiment has been carried out, the results are presented, and a discussion takes place. However, to be accepted, it should be improved in several aspects:

a) The state of the art of the article should be extended.

- We have endeavored to expand the article as requested by us.

b) The discussion should be improved to compare the results presented with other similar works in order to expose the limitations and advances of the work.

- We made the discussion section more comprehensive and made different explanations. We improved the discussion to compare the results presented with other similar works in order to expose the limitations and advances of the work.

- We have added paragraphs to express the result more clearly.

c) The conclusions should summarize the scientific contribution of the article.

- Necessary additions were made to provide the summary of the conclusions section of the scientific contribution of the article.

Reviewer 2: Thank you for your submission. Your manuscript was an interesting read. But the manuscript is not well organized and does not follow a clear flow. In this manuscript, the authors have compared CO poisoning and COHb measurements in the blood of these patients during the epidemic and before the epidemic. Considering that this CO poisoning had nothing to do with the covid disease and was caused by factors other than covid. What does comparing CO poisoning during the epidemic and before the epidemic prove and show? What does CO poisoning (a foreign agent) have to do with covid disease?

- In the study where we evaluated the COVID/CO relationship, it was asked what it has to do with it. The diffusion of CO and its effects on the body as a result of pulmonary and respiratory damage in patients with COVID-19 were evaluated. Since this is the main theme of the study, a revision or change was not possible.

Reviewer 3: Dear Author thank you for sharing this research. No substantial changes needed. Regards.

- Thank you for your review.
- In addition, the entire article was re-evaluated in terms of language and grammar revision.
- Newly added parts are painted with yellow font.
- Rewritten sections due to language revision are also marked with red font.
- I would like to state that we are ready to do our best if we have any shortcomings or mistakes.

Almost all of the revision requests were met.

We look forward to your approval and acceptance.

Respects.

Abuzer COSKUN, Assoc. Prof.

Corresponding Author