

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 85172

Title: Post-COVID-19 Cholangiopathy: Systematic Review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03730379 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Portugal

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-17 12:39

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-27 11:46

Review time: 9 Days and 23 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
uns manuscript	[] Grade D. No creativity of illitovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [Y] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [Y] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors The topic is very interesting as there is a new disease recently diagnose. The language might be extensively review as there are many grammatical errors. There are also some questions that might be answererd: - Which liver enzymes increase more? GGT? Alkaline phosphatase? How is the magnitude? Does the absolute value of liver enzymes relates with prognosis? - Is the prognosis of PCC related with severity of COVID-19? - Did all patients had previous normal liver tests and ultrasound? - What was the outcome of patients that did not receive OLT? - Are there any risk factors for PCC? You must clarify the terms COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2 and one is the disease and the other the virus, and both are used erroneously during the text.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 85172

Title: Post-COVID-19 Cholangiopathy: Systematic Review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05531699 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-03 09:55

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-06 08:59

Review time: 2 Days and 23 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
uns manuscript	[] Grade D. No cleativity of fillovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors present a systematic review to synthesize evidence on post-COVID-19 cholangiopathy. The topic appears intriguing and not so-explored in literature. The paper is overall well written and the results are interesting. Some comments, in a constructive spirit: - are the first lines of the introduction really necessary? I feel that every reader of this journal (and, let me say, everybody) knows about the genesis and consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic. -the literature research dates back to March 2022: more than one year ago. The Authors must perform an additional literature research to check for any new paper, and revise the manuscript accordingly. - Conclusions should be expanded, to better present Authors' views on the topic.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 85172

Title: Post-COVID-19 Cholangiopathy: Systematic Review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05531699 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-15

Reviewer chosen by: Li Li

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-07 06:21

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-07 08:56

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I am sorry, but the Authors do not have addressed my comments. While I acknowledge that my request to shorten the Introducton can be ignored, the Authors should update their literature research.