RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Dear Editors & Reviewers of the World Journal Of Cardiology,

We hope this message finds you well. We are writing to express our sincere gratitude for the consideration given to our recent submission entitled " *Candida Endocarditis: Update on Management Considerations* " We are honored to have had the opportunity to share our findings and experience with the esteemed readership of your prestigious journal.

First and foremost, we would like to acknowledge the invaluable insights and guidance provided by the peer reviewers during the evaluation process. Their thorough review and constructive feedback have undoubtedly strengthened the quality and impact of our work.

Specific Comments to Authors:

#1 Although guidelines may already exist, I think a flowchart of the authors' current ideas for diagnosis and treatment of candida endocarditis would be helpful for readers to understand. We have created a central illustration

#2 The authors provided data comparing TEE and TTE in the imaging section. The authors had better describe the possible reasons for the decreased detection of IE in prosthetic valves with TEE.

We have addressed this and added a sentence "which could be related to the small sample size of patients with PVE that underwent TEE."

#3 Regarding language polishing: We have rigorously edited the language and grammar, while also improving sentence transitions, clarity, and structure

Once again, we sincerely thank the entire editorial board and reviewers for your support, encouragement, and confidence in our work.

Yasser Jamil, MD Yale School Of Medicine Department of Internal Medicine New Haven, CT, USA