

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Cardiology*

Manuscript NO: 85193

Title: Candida endocarditis: Update on management considerations

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02446694

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACC, FACP, FAHA, FESC, MD, PhD

Professional title: Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-17

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-21 13:59

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-26 21:33

Review time: 5 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comprehensive review of infective endocarditis caused by candida and is considered very educational. #1 Although guidelines may already exist, I think a flowchart of the authors' current ideas for diagnosis and treatment of candida endocarditis would be helpful for readers to understand. #2 The authors provided data comparing TEE and TTE in the imaging section. The authors had better describe the possible reasons for the decreased detection of IE in prosthetic valves with TEE.