

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics Manuscript NO: 85235 Title: Silver (Ag) Nanoparticle (NP) Technology in Orthopaedic Infections Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 02446061 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD Professional title: Full Professor, Research Scientist Reviewer's Country/Territory: Mexico Author's Country/Territory: India Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-18 Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-28 00:42 Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-29 02:54

Review time: 1 Day and 2 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is interesting as a mini-review. However, some suggestions can be considered to improve it. a) Check the entire manuscript to avoid typo mistakes. I found three in text and two in Figure 2. b) Enrich the mechanisms of action. For example, check the figure 4 at (https://jnanobiotechnology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12951-017-0308-z) c) I suggest the addition of a figure showing biomaterial using AgNP (as those described in section "AgNP in orthopaedic infections") d) Enrich the content of the results in patients whose use devices with NPs. There are some recent reports in this field. e) Please improve the originality of your work. Several other recent reviews are in the field (Sakthi Devi, R., Girigoswami, A., Siddharth, M., & Girigoswami, K. (2022). Applications of gold and silver nanoparticles in theranostics. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 194(9), 4187-4219.; Damle, A., Sundaresan, R., Rajwade, J. M., Srivastava, P., & Naik, A. (2022). A concise review on implications of silver nanoparticles in bone tissue engineering. Biomaterials Advances, 213099. Wang, N., Fuh, J. Y. H., Dheen, S. T., & Senthil Kumar, A. (2021). Functions and applications of metallic and metallic oxide nanoparticles in



orthopedic implants and scaffolds. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials, 109(2), 160-179.Joshi, M. U., Kulkarni, S. P., Choppadandi, M., Keerthana, M., & Kapusetti, G. (2023). Current state of art smart coatings for orthopedic implants: A comprehensive review. Smart Materials in Medicine.)



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics Manuscript NO: 85235 Title: Silver (Ag) Nanoparticle (NP) Technology in Orthopaedic Infections Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 00722252 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor Reviewer's Country/Territory: Tunisia Author's Country/Territory: India Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-18 Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-04 07:13 Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-08 16:04

Review time: 4 Days and 8 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written article



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics Manuscript NO: 85235 Title: Silver (Ag) Nanoparticle (NP) Technology in Orthopaedic Infections Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 02489549 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PharmD, PhD Professional title: Doctor, Lecturer, Pharmacist Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom Author's Country/Territory: India Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-18 Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-04 11:41 Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-13 10:31

Review time: 8 Days and 22 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have done a nice job summarizing the applications of silver nanoparticles in prevention of bacterial infections following orthopaedic procedures. However, there are some issues which need to be addressed before the manuscript can be considered for publication. 1. The sections "Silver (Ag) Nanoparticles (NP) technology" and "AgNP in orthopaedic infections" lack enough references. The arguments should be backed up by providing relevant references for each argument. 2. The sentence "Recent technologies use NP to load Ag ions which may be used as an antimicrobial agent in a target-specific manner" should be followed by further clarification and/or some examples of how target-specific antimicrobial activity is achieved. 3. Regarding the mechanism of action of Ag nanoparticles in infections, it is not clarified if all the named mechanisms happen sequentially or if these are independent of each other and could happen in different types of bacteria. Also, no references are cited in this section. 4. There are some grammatical mistakes throughout the manuscript such as switching between the present and past tense within the same sentence or paragraph. 5. Some formatting is required e.g., in vitro should be italicized. 6. There is a lack of sufficient recent literature and



almost 50% of the references are older than 10 years. The manuscript should be updated by adding more recent literature.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics Manuscript NO: 85235 Title: Silver (Ag) Nanoparticle (NP) Technology in Orthopaedic Infections Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 02489549 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PharmD, PhD Professional title: Doctor, Lecturer, Pharmacist Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom Author's Country/Territory: India Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-18 Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-26 22:06 Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-27 10:06

Review time: 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would like to thank the authors for applying the suggested corrections to the first draft of the manuscript. However, there is still one more issue to be addressed: The first two paragraphs in the section "TOXICITY OF AGNP" do not have even a single citation. As mentioned in my previous comments, every argument or information provided should be backed up by some references. To be more clear, please add citation to the relevant references after each group of sentences which point out an argument or refer to the literature information.