

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The topic of this work is interesting. Identifying the core factors influencing spiritual wellbeing of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patients undergoing chemotherapy and formulating individualized spiritual care regimens can improve quality of life. The manuscript investigated the spiritual wellbeing of Chinese patients with PDAC undergoing chemotherapy and analyzed its influencing factors for the first time. Although the idea of the study is not novel enough, however, the manuscript is well written: the title reflects the main subject of the article, abstract and keywords well summarize the arguments. The methodology is described in detail and is well structured. Data were extracted from the Questionnaire results and Multivariate regression analysis to identify factors associated with Spiritual wellbeing among PDAC patients. The discussion is well articulated according to results and the authors have clearly underlined the limitations and drawbacks of the manuscript. Thank you for giving opportunity to review this study. However, the following points must be considered before publication. In my opinion, the discussion section is a bit lengthy and could be more concise. Also, the conclusion section needs to be more explicit. Besides, this study identify factors influencing spiritual wellbeing among patients with PDAC receiving chemotherapy. Guiding subsequent clinical evaluation and intervention, clinicians must maintain keen awareness of these risk factors when treating PDAC patients. I suggest that it could be published early on WJP.

We feel great thanks for your professional review work on our article. As you are concerned, there are several problems that need to be addressed: the discussion section is a bit lengthy and the conclusion section needs to be more explicit. According to your nice suggestions, We have re-written this part according to the Reviewer's suggestion. Thank you again for your valuable comments.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Manuscript Title: Factors influencing spiritual wellbeing among pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients receiving chemotherapy. 1- Title reflected the main subject of the manuscript. 2- The abstract summarized and reflect the described in the manuscript. However, It would be better if you could simplify it. 3- Key words reflected the focus of the manuscript. 4- The manuscript adequately described the background, presented status and significance of the study. 5- The manuscript described methods (e.g., Sample size calculation, Patient characteristics, Study tools, Data collection methods and Statistical Analysis, etc.) in adequate detail. 6- The research objectives are achieved by the experiments used in this study. Authors analyzed factors influencing the

spiritual wellbeing of PDAC patients undergoing chemotherapy. 7- The manuscript interpreted the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. However, for results, not only presented in tables, but also requires a simple description in the text as clear. 8- The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented and the style, language and grammar are accurate and appropriated.

We sincerely thank the editor and all reviewers for their valuable feedback that we have used to improve the quality of our manuscript. As you are concerned, we have simplified the abstract. For results, we have described it briefly. Thank you again for your valuable comments.