Dear, Editor

Title: Large colonic lipoma with lateral spreading tumor treated with endoscopic submucosal

dissection: A case report

Authors: Jun Yong Bae, MD, Hyun Kyu Kim, MD, Yee Jin Kim, MD, Se Woong Kim, MD, Youngeun

Lee, MD, Chang Beom Ryu, MD, PhD, Moon Sung Lee, MD, PhD

Name of Journal: World Journal of clinical cases

Manuscript Number: 85506

Manuscript Type: case report

Thank you for your kind E-mail. We are very grateful to have the opportunity of revision to the World Journal of clinical cases. We appreciate the kind and detailed comments of the reviewers, and the paper has been revised to reflect their opinions. Each text that has been altered was highlighted yellow color in the revised manuscript. We hope that the revised manuscript meets the requirements for publication in this World Journal of Clinical Case.

Thank you very much.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Good job. It's interesting rare case.

Answer: Thank you for your kind and nice comments on our article.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This paper have presented a rare case of joined mucosal lesion and submucosal lipoma. They have tested hypothesys of better outcome using one of the methods, and in this case it has proven to be right. The quality and importance of this manuscript are evident in well described and photograficaly documented case, wich is important in clinical work and decision making. They do not introduce new methods, but propose a recomendation of a different method used in cases like this. Limitation lies in the fact that this is a case report, so it can not have the significance like some multicentered study wich should cover much more cases and prove which method is more efective The title "Large colonic lipoma with lateral spreading tumor treated with endoscopic submucosal dissection: A case report" reflects the main subject detailed in the manuscript. The abstract in adequate manner presents the main ideas described in the manuscript. - in Case section, LST abreviation is without the explanation! The key words are chosen well, reflecting the focus of the manuscript. Backround is clear and concise and adequately describes the problematic issues in delayed perforation in the endoscopic removal of colonic lipoma. DSuggesting that although endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) technique is better choice to minimize electrical thermal injury. The manuscript contain methods under the Case presentation section of the manuscript. It is describet sufficiently considering that it is a case report. Case presentation section is clear, and delivers important dta for anamnestic and current dissease status. My remark is that there is a subsection "Final diagnosis", even before the treatment subsection and definite pathohistology report?! It could be working diagnosis, clinical diagnosis...but final diagnosis should be at tha end, like "Final diagnosis and followup" perhaps. Discussion of the manuscript interprets the findings adequately and appropriately. It conveys the key points: a) incidence and clinical course of lipomas; b) strong and weak points of EMR and ESD techniques and c) conclusion, that it is thought that the ESD technique may be more helpful for endoscopic resection than the EMR technique in order to reduce tissue electrical thermal injury and obtain negative resection margin in large colonic lipomas with mucosal lesion. Paper contains three composite figures which appears to be of good quality and illustrative. The manuscript does not require statistical analysis. Table is well organized. The manuscript cites appropriate, new and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. Manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. Style, language and grammar are accurate and appropriate.

Answer: Thank you for your kind and detailed reviews and comments on our articles.

Reviewer #3:

Scientific Quality: Grade D (Fair)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Rejection

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors reported a case of resected lipoma with TVA. They described that 6 cases of resected lipoma with mucosal lesions have been reported. There are some

concerns of this article. 1. Please provide NBI and magnifying images of the lesion. 2. Please provide

other SMT with mucosal lesions, especially adenomas.

Answer:

1. We were able to visually classify the subtype of LST as LST granular homogenous type

before ESD treatment. And since a biopsy was performed on the lesion and it was reported

that it was a tubular adenoma, NBI magnifying endoscopy was not performed because it

was considered unnecessary.

2. Except for lipoma of the colon, no other cases of mucosal lesions accompanying SMT were

found in the search.

Thank you for considerating the review.

Best regards.

Jun Yong Bae

Address: Internal Medicine and Digestive Disease Center, Seoul Medical Center, Seoul 02053, South

E-Mail address: echosys05@gmail.com

Chang Beom Ryu

Address: Internal medicine and Digestive Disease Center and Research institute, Soon Chun Hyang

University of School of Medicine, 170, Jomaru-ro, Bucheon-si, Gyeonggi-do, Bucheon 14584, South

Korea. ryuchb@gmail.com

E-Mail address: ryuchb@gmail.com