

Dear Editor,

We thank the reviewers and editorial team for taking their efforts to improve the article to increase its value for publication. Herewith we submit the revised version of the article addressing the reviewer's comments and the action taken for their valuable suggestions have been mentioned below.

Reviewer 1 comments	Authors Reply	Action Taken
The paper provides a comprehensive overview of ChatGPT, an AI language model developed by OpenAI, its potential applications in healthcare, education, and scientific research, and the ethical challenges associated with its use. The authors highlight the need for guidelines, regulations, and collaboration among various stakeholders to ensure the responsible and ethical use of AI tools like ChatGPT. While the paper covers relevant aspects of ChatGPT's capabilities and limitations, it needs a more critical analysis of the presented information. It also needs to discuss potential solutions to the identified ethical concerns. Overall, the paper provides a good introduction to the topic but could benefit from a more in-depth examination of the issues and stronger recommendations.	Thanks for the comment.	Addressed
Some major concerns include a lack of critical analysis and comprehensive analysis of limitations and ethical challenges associated with ChatGPT. This may arise due to the limited resources available on this topic. The authors should evaluate the impact of identified limitations, address concerns raised by researchers, and explore ethical risks.	Thanks for the keen observation.	Addressed
Additionally, the paper should discuss biases in AI systems, propose strategies for mitigation, provide specific recommendations for addressing ethical challenges, and thoroughly analyze the impact of AI tools on human intelligence.	Thanks for the valuable comment.	Addressed
Some recommendations include including the main findings in the abstract, ensuring a focused introduction outlining the paper's structure and objectives, enhancing coherence with better transitions between ideas, incorporating more citations for supporting claims and referencing studies, and concluding with a summary of key points and concrete recommendations for future advancements and addressing ethical challenges.	Thanks for the keen observation.	Addressed
Reviewer 2 Comments	Authors Reply	Action Taken
I have thoroughly reviewed your narrative review on the applications, limitations, and ethical	Thanks for the comment.	None

considerations of ChatGPT based on existing literature. Overall, I find the paper to be excellent, addressing a highly relevant and current topic while organizing a range of viewpoints in a coherent manner. It is certainly worthy of publication in a reputable journal.		
I have a suggestion to enhance the comprehensiveness of the review by including discussions on AI application ethics from both an AI perspective and a human perspective. This addition would make the review more comprehensive and enriched. For instance, in the previous papers published before your review, there are relevant studies on AI ethical limitations that you could reference, such as "Artificial intelligence-assisted psychosis risk screening in adolescents: Practices and challenges" published in World Journal of Psychiatry in 2022.	Thanks for the comment.	Addressed