

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 85554

Title: Network pharmacology and molecular docking to explore Polygoni Cuspidati

Rhizoma et Radix treatment for acute lung injury

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06060832 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-08 00:35

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-09 04:18

Review time: 1 Day and 3 Hours

Scientific quality Good
Scientific quality Good
[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fai [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fai
this manuscript [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Acute lung injury can be caused by various factors, including severe lung infections caused by viruses, Mycoplasma, or bacteria. This study aimed to identify the ingredients related to Polygoni Cuspidati Rhizoma et Radix using multiple databases to obtain potential targets using target fishing. The manuscript is overall well designed and the results are very interesting. Comments: 1. Some minor language polishing should be revised. 2. Too many figures, please check and reduce the figures. 3. The quality of the images should be improved. 4. Please discuss the limit of the study.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 85554

Title: Network pharmacology and molecular docking to explore Polygoni Cuspidati

Rhizoma et Radix treatment for acute lung injury

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06060831 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Research Associate

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-05-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-06 03:27

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-10 02:16

Review time: 3 Days and 22 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study of the ingredients related to Polygoni Cuspidati Rhizoma et Radix for treatment of acute lung injury using multiple databases to obtain potential targets for fishing. The study is well performed, and findings are well discussed. After a minor editing, this manuscript can be accepted.