

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86227

Title: Analysis of prognostic factors in patients with emergency sepsis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06143860 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-07 06:51

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-18 08:17

Review time: 11 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study aimed to analyse the factors influencing the prognosis of patients with emergency sepsis in order to provide a basis for individualised patient treatment and care. The authors conducted a comprehensive analysis of factors such as age, gender, underlying disease, etiology and site of infection, inflammatory indicators, multi-organ failure, cardiovascular function, therapeutic measures, immune status and severity of infection. The aim of the study is clear, and the methods are described in detail. The results are interesting and well discussed. Minor comments: 1. The manuscript requires a minor editing. Some minor language polishing should be revised. 2. The references should be reduced. Please only keep the most important references.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86227

Title: Analysis of prognostic factors in patients with emergency sepsis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06110696 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-06 02:52

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-18 10:20

Review time: 12 Days and 7 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
-	,



Scientific significance of the	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade D: No scientific significance
	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language
Language quality	polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing []
	Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)
	[] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study of the analysis of prognostic factors in patients with emergency sepsis. The findings of this brief study are interesting. The reviewer recommends to accept this manuscript after a minor editing.