

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics Manuscript NO: 86313 Title: Vancomycin flushing syndrome in orthopaedic practice: A case report Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 02446627 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: FACP, MD, MPhil Professional title: Full Professor, Professor Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-13 Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-22 14:52 Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-28 17:22

Review time: 6 Days and 2 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The abstract says the Vancomycin beads were removed and patient recovered. Where as in the case presentation, it is mentioned that beads were kept for 4 months before removing. Please resolve this major controversial statement 2. The table should have just the author last name and some detail rather that whole citation in the table. table should be restructured 3. The figure should be labelled and arrows should be placed at the point of significance 4. Before the conclusion racist should be changed to racial (as they both mean very different)



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics Manuscript NO: 86313 Title: Vancomycin flushing syndrome in orthopaedic practice: A case report Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 02446627 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: FACP, MD, MPhil Professional title: Full Professor, Professor Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-13 Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-18 14:10

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-19 15:53

Review time: 1 Day and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have revised the manuscript as per teh request