

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 86358

Title: Rectal sparing within a liver-first strategy for rectal cancer with resectable liver metastases: the first case series and review of the literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05562744

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Senior Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: France

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-27 08:40

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-29 08:05

Review time: 1 Day and 23 Hours

| | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | Good |
| | [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair |
| this manuscript | [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |



| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
|--|---|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y]Yes []No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Briefly, 7 patients underwent liver metastatic resection with curative intent. The R0 rate was 100%. Six and two patients underwent local excision and a watch-and-wait approach, respectively. All patients had T3N1 tumors at diagnosis and had good clinical response after CRT. The median survival time was 60 (range, 14-127) months. Three patients were disease free for 5, 8, and 10 years after the procedure. Five patients developed metastatic recurrence in the liver (n=5) and/or lungs (n=2). Only one patient developed local recurrence concurrent with metastatic recurrence 24 months after the watch-and-wait approach. Two patients died during follow-up. This an important concept and the authors have written the article very well. My only suggestion would be to add the limitations of the study to the discussion section.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 86358

Title: Rectal sparing within a liver-first strategy for rectal cancer with resectable liver metastases: the first case series and review of the literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04122937

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MD, MS

Professional title: Postdoctoral Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: France

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-13

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-30 07:10

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-04 09:40

Review time: 4 Days and 2 Hours

| | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: |
|---|---|
| Scientific quality | Good |
| | [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [<mark>Y</mark>] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |
| | |



| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [<mark>Y</mark>] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
|--|---|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection |
| Re-review | []Yes [Y]No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you so much for hard work but this case does not bring any new idea.