

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 86632

Title: Impact of tacrolimus intra-patient variability in adverse outcomes after organ

transplantation

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05821524 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACP, FASN, FEBS, FRCP, MBChB, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Consultant Physician-Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Iraq

**Author's Country/Territory:** Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-06 06:08

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-11 11:43

**Review time:** 5 Days and 5 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
tilis manuscript	[ ] Grade D. No cleativity of fillovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks to all authors for such an effort I have the following points: 1. It would be better to add a section about the process of literature review and how authors selected these studies, 2. An additional self explanatory table of these studies and their main findings will be better, 3. The authors should describe the difference between the methods of assessing IPV and explain why there are differences in the methodologies of different studies, adult vs. pediatric, and if there is an organ specific approach?



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 86632

Title: Impact of tacrolimus intra-patient variability in adverse outcomes after organ

transplantation

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00503257 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-12 08:41

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-13 00:27

**Review time:** 15 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review article is potentially interesting. However, the authors' opinion remains cloudy. Thus, some tables and figures which summarized the core tips of current literature's knowledges are needed for easy understanding. Then, the authors should discussed this theme logically more depth.



### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 86632

Title: Impact of tacrolimus intra-patient variability in adverse outcomes after organ

transplantation

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00503257 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-28

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-02 05:18

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-02 05:23

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The revised MS is almost addressed well.