RESPONSES TO REVIEWERS COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

Reviewer #1 (Number ID : 05392001) :

1. The patient's informed consent and relevant ethical material information need to be supplemented.

Authors response : Informed patient consent and ethical material information was completed.

2. The typical lesions in Figure 3 of the immunohistochemical results need to be labeled.

Authors response : Figure 3 typical lesions of the immunohistochemical results have been labeled :

Immunohistochemical results : the tumours cells are positive for Bap1 (A,×200), p16 (A,×200) and MDM2 (B,×200) and negative for actin, desmin, calretinin, PS100, melana A, ERG, p63, cytokeratin AE1/AE3, EMA, chromogranin A and CDK4

Reviewer #2 (Number ID : 03809896) :

1. The Introduction section is poorly written. **Authors response** :The introduction has been improved

2. Authors should add a scale bar to their microscopic slides (Figure 3). **Authors response** : A scale bar has been added.

3. I suggest authors add a list of abbreviations at the end of the manuscript. **Authors response** : A list of abbreviations at the end of the manuscript has been added.

CDK4 : Cyclin-dependent kinase 4 CT : Computed tomography DDLS : Dedifferentiated liposarcoma EMA : Epithelial membran antigen ERG : ETS related gene FISH : Fluorescence in situ hybridization MDM2 : Murine double minute 2 PS 100 : Protien S-100