comment1. Limited Database Search: The manuscript's primary weakness lies in the limited database sear ch conducted. Given the increasing competitiveness of meta-analyses, it is customary for most studies to s earch five or more databases, including unpublished literature. To ensure a comprehensive analysis, I reco mmend expanding the database search.

## A. "Directory of Open Access Journals, and Europe PMC" was added and searched through a total of five databases.


#### Abstract

comment2. Unclear Selection Criteria: The criteria used for selecting papers lack clarity. The authors menti oned applying some standards for paper screening, but generally, two independent reviewers should asses s the papers, and any discrepancies should be resolved through discussion or arbitration by a third review er. This process is vital, particularly for a meta-analysis, as it guarantees the accuracy of the original analy sis. It is essential to provide a more detailed description of this selection process in the manuscript.


## A. Discrepancy documents were reviewed by including a third reviewer.

comment3. Publication Bias: The issue of publication bias should be addressed in the paper. I suggest usi ng a forest plot to represent potential biases and their impact on the results.
A. I added it because you told me to add a picture
comment4. Insufficient Studies in Each Analysis: Another concern is that the number of studies included in each analysis is too limited. Conducting a meta-analysis with only three papers may not yield significant $r$ esults. The authors should consider including more studies to strengthen the robustness of their findings. I n conclusion, this research holds promise and can contribute valuable insights to the field. However, the $m$ entioned shortcomings need to be rectified before the paper can be considered for publication. I encourag e the authors to revise the manuscript, addressing the points raised above, to enhance its quality and imp act.
A. It was removed from the results because the number of papers on child development was too small.

