



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 86806

**Title:** Pathological Diagnosis and Immunohistochemical Analysis of Minute Pulmonary Meningothelial-like Nodules: A case report and Literature Review

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05429012

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Research Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Jordan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-07-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu (Quit 2023)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-08-21 01:44

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-08-28 23:13

**Review time:** 7 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

**Abstract:** The abbreviation "MPMN" ought to be initially delineated with its full terminology before subsequent employment. This measure aligns with conventional scholarly practices for enhancing textual clarity and comprehension. **Case Presentation:** The refinement of the visual representation within Figures 3 and 4 is warranted to align with a more sophisticated visual demeanor. The strategic inclusion of directional indicators, such as arrows, is advisable to facilitate the identification of salient points of interest. Moreover, the elucidation of the immunohistochemistry figures necessitates a heightened precision in description, conducive to the advancement of scholarly rigor. **Conclusion Section:** Regrettably, the omission of a conclusive segment diminishes the comprehensive closure that is quintessential to scholarly manuscripts. Integration of a structured conclusion would not only fortify the textual coherence but also provide a fitting summation of the insights garnered. **Immunohistochemistry:** The visual depictions presented evince a commendable level of quality, underscoring the visual impact of the immunohistochemistry outcomes. However, it is incumbent upon the authors to furnish a comprehensive account of the immunohistochemistry protocols

employed, a detail that has regrettably been omitted. Addressing this lacuna is paramount for assuring the reproducibility and methodological transparency of the conducted research. Biomarker Selection Rationale: The criteria underpinning the authors' selection of specific biomarkers necessitates elucidation in accordance with the scholarly requisites. Delving into the rationale governing the biomarker selection process would engender a deeper comprehension of the scientific underpinnings that steer the study's investigative trajectory.