

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Surgical Procedures*

Manuscript NO: 86845

Title: Combined laparoscopic and endoscopic method for foreign body removal from descending colon: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05464780

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Lecturer, Postdoctoral Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Malaysia

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-12

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-05 02:10

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-05 02:47

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Through the author's description, we have seen the combination of laparoscopy and enteroscopy for the removal of intrarectal foreign bodies. But I think there are several key issues that need to be clarified: 1. First, the patient's diagnosis of foreign body in the large intestine is clear, and there are no signs of peritonitis or perforation. So has the author used a colonoscope alone to try to remove this foreign body, or what are the difficulties in using a colonoscope alone? 2. Based on the patient's medical history and imaging tests, it is usually not difficult to diagnose foreign bodies in the large intestine. So what are the advantages of laparoscopy in the treatment of foreign bodies in the large intestine? 3. Which patients need or are suitable for the above-mentioned dual endoscope combination? 4. When there is no indication for emergency laparotomy, what medical treatments may help to expel foreign bodies?