



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

## **JOURNAL EDITORIAL BOARD'S REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**Manuscript NO:** 87026

**Title:** Exploring influences on return to sport and work after lateral ankle sprain: A systematic review and meta-analysis

**Journal Editor-in-Chief/Associate Editor/Editorial Board Member:** Yi Zhang

**Country/Territory:** China

**Editorial Director:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Date accepted review:** 2023-11-28 06:58

**Date reviewed:** 2023-11-28 07:30

**Review time:** 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## **JOURNAL EDITORIAL BOARD COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear authors, thank your for your submission. Based on the report from the reviewer, the manuscript cannot be considered for publication in the World Journal of Meta-analysis. Two reviewers have indicated the inconsistence between title and content of manuscript.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

## JOURNAL EDITORIAL BOARD'S REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**Manuscript NO:** 87026

**Title:** Exploring influences on return to sport and work after lateral ankle sprain: A systematic review and meta-analysis

**Journal Editor-in-Chief/Associate Editor/Editorial Board Member:** Jing Sun

**Country/Territory:** Australia

**Editorial Director:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Date accepted review:** 2023-11-29 02:50

**Date reviewed:** 2023-11-29 02:53

**Review time:** 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## JOURNAL EDITORIAL BOARD COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Suggestions: major revision The paper aims to identify influential factors impacting sports and work after a lateral ankle sprain. Nevertheless, the introduction falls short in providing detailed descriptions of the latest research updates on these factors, focusing primarily on the prevalence (paragraph 1) and negative effects of lateral ankle sprain (paragraph 2). Therefore, the most crucial content is missing from the research background. In the methods section, there was an absence of information regarding the time frame for literature search and the expected outcomes for this study, necessitating clarification. Furthermore, there was no analysis conducted for publication bias, a critical aspect in ensuring a thorough comprehension of the research domain and addressing concerns such as information selectivity or reporting bias in the results. Moreover, the discussion section lacks a clear logic that aligns with the results. In the results section, several influential factors are elucidated, including various treatment methods, surgical approaches, and immobilization

durations. However, corresponding explanations for these findings are lacking. A recommended enhancement is to commence the discussion with an overall summary of the findings, followed by a thorough exploration of the identified influential factors and potential explanations.