PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 87092

Title: COVID-19 frequency and clinical course in children with asthma

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05426817

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Neurosurgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-31 01:46

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-02 20:21

Review time: 2 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors need to expand to discuss risk for SAH PMID: 35340712 and risk for stroke PMID: 35762309.   If the above are addressed and references included, paper would be of interest.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 87092

Title: COVID-19 frequency and clinical course in children with asthma

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06139511

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-26

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu (Quit 2023)

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-22 04:56

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-03 05:54

Review time: 12 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The data that has been obtained is extensive but not enough analysis has been conducted. Few correlation studies would help to strengthen the conclusions. The correlation studies need to have the r values and their significance. The discussion is too extensive and wordy to obtain a clear picture of what the authors wish to convey. The figures are too amateur and need to be represented in a more professional way.