

Dear editors of *World Journal of Gastroenterology* and reviewers,

With this letter, you will receive the revised version of our manuscript by Demers *et al.* entitled 'Scoping review on health-related physical fitness in patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Assessment, interventions, and future directions', manuscript ID: 87195. We would like to thank the reviewers for the thorough review of our manuscript and for addressing relevant points for improvement. We have carefully addressed the reviewers' comments below:

Reviewer #1: *The article is very interesting. Analyzed a large number of studies. There are no comments on the methodology.*

We would like to thank this reviewer for the effort of reviewing this manuscript carefully and for the kind words.

Reviewer #2: *In General: it's a good paper and the subject of the manuscript is applicable and useful. Title: the title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article. Abstract: abstract contains an appropriate summary for the article, the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand, and there are no suggestions for improvement. Introduction: authors do provide adequate background on the topic and reason for this article and describe what the authors hoped to achieve. MATERIALS AND METHODS: - The variables selected for the study are described clearly and are appropriate, given the nature of the question asked. - The research design is described in detail. - The research design is appropriate and does not contain particular weaknesses. - The measurement instrument, including its psychometric qualities, is described clearly. - The population of interest and the sampling procedure are defined clearly. - The data collection procedure is clearly described. - The setting in which the study took place is described. - The data analysis procedures are stated in precise terms. - The data analysis procedures are appropriate. Results: the results are presented clearly, the authors provide accurate research results, and there is sufficient evidence for each result, Specific data accompany the result statement, and Tables and figures are used efficiently. Conclusion: in general: Good and the research provides sample data for the authors to make their conclusion. Grammar: There are a lot of grammatical errors. This must be taken care of and addressed. (Check The Paper Comments).*

We are thankful for the detailed evaluation and positive remarks provided by the reviewer. We are pleased that the title, abstract, introduction, materials and methods, results, and conclusion have been found satisfactory. We have also taken note of the reviewer's grammatical points for improvement and have thoroughly addressed and corrected them as suggested in the paper comments. In addition, we provided a language editing certificate to verify that our manuscript has reached grade A proficiency in English. The specific changes have been highlighted in yellow in the revised manuscript.