

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 87237

Title: The value of Cardiac Magnetic Resonance on the risk stratification of

cardiomyopathies

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03498422 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-06 20:42

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-21 22:49

Review time: 15 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Peer-reviewer statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors wrote an interesting review on the role of CMR for risk stratification of patients with cardiomyopathies; please find here some points to address: -On page 5, "NIDCM is characterized by left ventricular enlargement, systolic dysfunction, and myocardial fibrosis without significant coronary artery disease" should also specify that abnormal loading conditions are another exclusion criterion (hypertensive, valvular or congenital heart disease) -In NIDCM, there is no mention of T2-STIR, T1, T2 and ECV mapping. The importance of all these 4 sequences should be briefly discussed. HCM, there is no mention of t2-STIR for oedema detection; the experimental studies on DTI should be also mentioned. -The paragraph on endomyocardial fibrosis should be expanded to mention three different (but overlapping) diseases: endomyocardial fibrosis (EMF), hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES) with cardiac involvement and endocardial fibroelastosis (EFE). Of course, little is known about patients' prognosis. paragraph about cardiac amyloidosis (6 pages) appears disproportionate compared to the other diseases; I would suggest the Authors to move some information in a Table. there is no mention of t2-STIR for oedema detection; as for NIDCM and -In ACM, HCM, the possibility of a "hot phase" presentation of ACM should be discussed, in particular its relationship with arrhythmias. -I would strongly suggest the Authors to add some figures about DCM, HCM, amyloid and LVNC, besides the Figure they have already prepared about ACM.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal:	World	Journal	of Card	iology
------------------	-------	---------	---------	--------

Manuscript NO: 87237

Title: The value of Cardiac Magnetic Resonance on the risk stratification of

cardiomyopathies

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04925605 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Additional Professor, Director, Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-30

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-28 01:54

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-28 02:16

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality Good		[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:		
	Scientific quality	Good		
[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish		[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish		
Novelty of this manuscript [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty	Novelty of this manuscript			
Creativity or innovation of [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair	Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair		
this manuscript [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation	this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation		



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript reviews the significant contribution of cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) to the diagnosis and management of patients with cardiomyopathies, with special attention to risk stratification, hence is interesting.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal:	World Journal	al of Cardiology
------------------	---------------	------------------

Manuscript NO: 87237

Title: The value of cardiac magnetic resonance on the risk stratification of

cardiomyopathies

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03498422 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-30

Reviewer chosen by: Cong Lin

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-20 17:45

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-21 22:32

Review time: 1 Day and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors address most comments and improved the manuscript.