

# **CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS**

Manuscript page number (Without Figures): 21 Rate: 6.2 CNY per page
Editing Fee: <u>130.2 CNY</u>
Ethics and relevant document handling time (Clinical and Basic Research Original Articles) <sup>1</sup> : <u>3 h</u>
Rate: <u>60 CNY per h</u>
Editing Fee: <u>75 CNY</u>
Ethics and relevant document handling time (Case Report and Other Types of Articles) <sup>1</sup> : 2 h
Rate: <u>60 CNY per hour</u>
Editing Fee:CNY
Image count: <u>5</u> Image handling time: min Rate: <u>1 CNY per min</u>
Editing Fee:CNY
XML and PDF converting time: min Rate: 1 CNY per min
Editing Fee: CNY
Manuscript word count: 4296
Total Editing Fee: CNY
Scientific Editor: Yu-Lu Chen

Date of signature: 02/27/2024 (month/day/year)

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes	
1	Manuscript NO.: 87244	[Y]
	Column: Retrospective Study	
	Title: Prevalence and Risk Factors of Diabetes Mellitus among	



	Filted Detions in the Local Community	
	Elderly Patients in the Lugu Community	
	Authors: Li-Zhen Zhao, Wei-Min Li and Ying Ma	
	<b>Reviewer code:</b> 07917337, and 07917276	
	<b>First decision:</b> 2024-01-09 08:42	
	Editorial Office's Comments	
	Science Editor: Remind Revision at 2024-01-17 08:21	
	Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review	
	Report, and full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the	
	basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Diabetes, and	
	the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript	
	to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report,	
	Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript	
	Revision by Authors.When revising the manuscript, it is	
	recommended that the author supplement and improve the	
2	highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further	[Y]
	improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are	
	advised to apply PubMed, or a new tool, the RCA, of which data	
	source is PubMed. RCA is a unique artificial intelligence system for	
	citation index evaluation of medical science and life science	
	literature. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords	
	entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked	
	by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can	
	then be used to further improve an article under	
	preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database	
	for more information at:	



	https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/, or visit PubMed at:	
	https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	[Y]
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	[Y]
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	
	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific	
	contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed	
	in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by	
	abbreviated first and middles names.	
	e.g., "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang	
7	CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the	[Y]
	research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed	
	the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and	
	analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and	
	Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors	
	have read and approve the final manuscript."	
	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial	
8	support and includes the corresponding identification number(s)	[Y]
	and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	
	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding	
9	author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g., MD,	[Y]
<i>,</i>	PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address	[*]
	(including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and	



	1	
	contains no spelling errors.	
	The Manuscript Tracking information ( <i>i.e.</i> , Received, Peer review	
10	started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and	[Y]
	Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor	
	and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	
	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific	
	style (structured vs unstructured) and word count thresholds, as	
	follows:	
	Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,	
	Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight:	
	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.	
11	Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor:	[Y]
	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS	
	(no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	
	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
name of the first author (sur) name of all author capitalized, followed by	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	[Y]
	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	
	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	
	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. <i>World J</i>	



	<i>Gastroenterol</i> 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	[Y]
14	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	
	readers.	
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,	
15	with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in	
15	the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [i.e.,	[Y]
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I',	
	'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	
	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are	
	described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the	
	current study, the information is presented carefully.	
	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately	
16	describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data	[V]]
10	presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the	[Y]
	study.	
	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	[Y]
17	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	
	the text, a table or figure ( <i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is	
	not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and	
	figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
18	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed	[Y]
10	as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$ , ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ( $P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted).	[ 1 ]



	If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, $^{c}P < 0.05$ and $^{d}P < 0.01$ are used,	
	and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as ${}^{e}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{f}P < 0.01$ .	
	Statistical data is expressed as mean $\pm$ SD or mean $\pm$ SE.	
	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
19	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	
19	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	[Y]
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	
20	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	[N ]
20	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	
21	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	[N]
	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	[Y]
	number should be placed following the name ( <i>i.e,</i> "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For	
22	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	
	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma <sup>[1]</sup>	
	reported", "Pan et al <sup>[2-5]</sup> indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity <sup>[6,9]</sup> ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
	-	



	style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
	( <i>e.g.</i> , Pan <i>et al</i> <sup>[2-5]</sup> , please see reference [8]).	
	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no	
	duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	
	references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	
22	journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	
23	each word capitalized (e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi); the name of	[Y]
	journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
	information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
	with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as	
	follows:	
24	<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	[Y ]
24	<u>Review:</u> no less than 100;	
	<u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26;	
	Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	
	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the	
25	manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	[Y]
	statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	
	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	
26	at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	[Y]
	JL).	
	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
07	tables ( <i>e.g.</i> , +, -, ×, $\div$ , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	[Y]
27	footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	
	consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	
	that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	



	Definition of the structure of the struc	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	
28	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[Y]
	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
20	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	
29	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
20	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	
30	the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoReview; <i>e.g.,</i> 870- Review).	
	The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name:	
31	Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); e.g., 870-Peer-review(s)).	[Y]
	The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	
	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1)	
	Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any	
	approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application	
	form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript	[Y ]
	NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file	
	name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical	
	trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial	
32	registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form	
	or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	
	statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee	
	approval form or document (file name: Manuscript	
	NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7)	
	Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name:	
	Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	
	manageript i vo. miormed conociti suitement).	



All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	
33 name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; <i>e.g.</i> ,	[Y]
870-Copyright license agreement).	
The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	
34 speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[Y]
Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; e.g., 870-Language certificate).	
The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	
are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript	[N]
NoAgreement for use of personal photos; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Agreement for	
use of personal photos).	
This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors)	
36 has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific	[Y]
editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list).	
A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal	
content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and	
the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript via	
the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document	
contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of	
journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list".	[Y]
The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at	
1440 $\times$ 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has	
been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report	
(e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been	
performed to further ensure publication of original content.	
The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with	
38 1.5 line spacing.	[Y]



	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the
<b>D</b> 11177	entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling,
Responsibilities	grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and
of scientific	legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, $\times$ , $\div$ , %, *) in
editors	tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript
	according to the reviewers' comments.
	Manuscript reception and registration $\rightarrow$ Initial review by scientific editor $\rightarrow$ Peer
	review $\rightarrow$ End of peer review $\rightarrow$ First round of meeting evaluation $\rightarrow$ To be
	accepted $\rightarrow$ Revision by the author(s) $\rightarrow$ Second round of meeting evaluation $\rightarrow$ To be
	accepted/revised/rejected $\rightarrow$ Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality
Publication	control for academic content and language quality) $\rightarrow$ Final acceptance and charging
process	of publication fee $\rightarrow$ Language editing $\rightarrow$ Production $\rightarrow$ Proofreading by scientific
	editor $\rightarrow$ Proofreading by deputy editor $\rightarrow$ Final review by Editor-in-Chief $\rightarrow$ Release
	of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website $\rightarrow$ Release of
	online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the
	author(s) $\rightarrow$ End of the publication process.
	<sup>1</sup> Ethics and Relevant Document Handling Time
	For the checking of the ethics and relevant document, the editing fee should be
	calculated independently to the Scientific Editor. The handling time of the ethics
Nutra	and relevant documents for clinical and basic researches is calculated as 3 hours, for
Notes	case reports and other types of manuscript is calculated as 2 hours.
	If any errors are found in ethics and relevant document after a manuscript is
	published, the Scientific Editor's one day Welfare provided by the Baishideng
	Publishing Group will be deducted.