World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

World J Gastrointest Surg 2023 November 27; 15(11): 2382-2673





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJGS

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 11 November 27, 2023

REVIEW

2382 Recent advances in computerized imaging and its vital roles in liver disease diagnosis, preoperative planning, and interventional liver surgery: A review

Horkaew P, Chansangrat J, Keeratibharat N, Le DC

MINIREVIEWS

Diagnosis and treatment of post-cholecystectomy diarrhoea 2398 Huang RL, Huang WK, Xiao XY, Ma LF, Gu HZR, Yang GP

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

2406 Trans-anal endoscopic microsurgery for non- adenomatous rectal lesions Shilo Yaacobi D, Bekhor EY, Khalifa M, Sandler TE, Issa N

Retrospective Study

2413 Effects of cytoreductive surgery combined with hyperthermic perfusion chemotherapy on prognosis of patients with advanced gallbladder cancer

Wu JX, Hua R, Luo XJ, Xie F, Yao L

2423 Effect of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy on related variables of obesity complicated with polycystic ovary syndrome

Wang XT, Hou YS, Zhao HL, Wang J, Guo CH, Guan J, Lv ZG, Ma P, Han JL

2430 Advantage of log odds of positive lymph nodes in prognostic evaluation of patients with early-onset colon cancer

Xia HB, Chen C, Jia ZX, Li L, Xu AM

2445 Correlation between preoperative systemic immune inflammation index, nutritional risk index, and prognosis of radical resection of liver cancer

Li J, Shi HY, Zhou M

2456 Correlation between pre-treatment serum total blood bilirubin and unconjugated bilirubin and prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer

Tong H, Xing P, Ji ZN

Correlation between the expressions of metastasis-associated factor-1 in colon cancer and vacuolar ATP 2463 synthase

He M, Cao ZF, Huang L, Zhong WJ, Xu XM, Zeng XL, Wang J

2470 Risk factors for anastomotic fistula development after radical colon cancer surgery and their impact on prognosis Wang J, Li MH



.	World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery
Conten	Monthly Volume 15 Number 11 November 27, 2023
2482	Effects and mechanisms of nutritional interventions on extradigestive complications in obese patients
	Jiang L, Xu LL, Lu Y, Gu KF, Qian SY, Wang XP, Xu X
2490	Hepatic venous pressure gradient: Inaccurately estimates portal venous pressure gradient in alcoholic cirrhosis and portal hypertension
	Zhang D, Wang T, Yue ZD, Wang L, Fan ZH, Wu YF, Liu FQ
2500	Nomogram for predicting early complications after distal gastrectomy
	Zhang B, Zhu Q, Ji ZP
2513	Application of CD34 expression combined with three-phase dynamic contrast-enhanced computed tomography scanning in preoperative staging of gastric cancer
	Liu H, Zhao KY
	Observational Study
2525	Predictive value of frailty assessment tools in patients undergoing surgery for gastrointestinal cancer: An observational cohort study
	Zhang HP, Zhang HL, Zhou XM, Chen GJ, Zhou QF, Tang J, Zhu ZY, Wang W
2537	Multi-national observational study to assess quality of life and treatment preferences in patients with Crohn's perianal fistulas
	Karki C, Athavale A, Abilash V, Hantsbarger G, Geransar P, Lee K, Milicevic S, Perovic M, Raven L, Sajak-Szczerba M, Silber A, Yoon A, Tozer P
2553	Does gastric stump cancer really differ from primary proximal gastric cancer? A multicentre, propensity score matching-used, retrospective cohort study
	Wang SH, Zhang JC, Zhu L, Li H, Hu KW
	SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS
2564	Global, regional, and national burden of gallbladder and biliary diseases from 1990 to 2019
	Li ZZ, Guan LJ, Ouyang R, Chen ZX, Ouyang GQ, Jiang HX
2579	Risk and management of post-operative infectious complications in inflammatory bowel disease: A systematic review
	Mowlah RK, Soldera J
2596	Effect of perioperative branched chain amino acids supplementation in liver cancer patients undergoing surgical intervention: A systematic review
	Yap KY, Chi H, Ng S, Ng DH, Shelat VG

CASE REPORT

2619 Organ sparing to cure stage IV rectal cancer: A case report and review of literature Meillat H, Garnier J, Palen A, Ewald J, de Chaisemartin C, Tyran M, Mitry E, Lelong B

2627 Metachronous primary esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and duodenal adenocarcinoma: A case report and review of literature

Huang CC, Ying LQ, Chen YP, Ji M, Zhang L, Liu L



Conton	World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery
Conten	Monthly Volume 15 Number 11 November 27, 2023
2639	Isolated traumatic gallbladder injury: A case report
	Liu DL, Pan JY, Huang TC, Li CZ, Feng WD, Wang GX
2646	Comprehensive treatment and a rare presentation of Cronkhite-Canada syndrome: Two case reports and review of literature
	Lv YQ, Wang ML, Tang TY, Li YQ
2657	Gastric inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor, a rare mesenchymal neoplasm: A case report
	Fernandez Rodriguez M, Artuñedo Pe PJ, Callejas Diaz A, Silvestre Egea G, Grillo Marín C, Iglesias Garcia E, Lucena de La Poza JL
2663	Systematic sequential therapy for <i>ex vivo</i> liver resection and autotransplantation: A case report and review of literature
	Hu CL, Han X, Gao ZZ, Zhou B, Tang JL, Pei XR, Lu JN, Xu Q, Shen XP, Yan S, Ding Y



III

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 11 November 27, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Osman Nuri Dilek, FACS, Professor, Department of Surgery, Division of Hepatopancreatobiliary Surgery, Izmir Katip Çelebi University School of Medicine, İzmir 35150, Turkey. osmannuridilek@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery (WJGS, World J Gastrointest Surg) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJGS as 2.0; IF without journal self cites: 1.9; 5-year IF: 2.2; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.52; Ranking: 113 among 212 journals in surgery; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 81 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Rui-Rui Wu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-9366 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
November 30, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Peter Schemmer	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wignet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
November 27, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



S W C



Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Surg 2023 November 27; 15(11): 2596-2618

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i11.2596

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

Effect of perioperative branched chain amino acids supplementation in liver cancer patients undergoing surgical intervention: A systematic review

Kwan Yi Yap, HongHui Chi, Sherryl Ng, Doris HL Ng, Vishal G Shelat

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology	Kwan Yi Yap, HongHui Chi, Sherryl Ng, Vishal G Shelat, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117597, Singapore
Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer	Doris HL Ng , Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore 308433, Singapore
reviewed. Peer-review model: Single blind	Doris HL Ng, Vishal G Shelat, Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 636921, Singapore
Peer-review report's scientific quality classification	Vishal G Shelat, Department of General Surgery, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore 308433, Singapore
Grade A (Excellent): A Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0	Corresponding author: Vishal G Shelat, DNB, FICS, FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS, MMed, MNAMS, MS, Associate Professor, Director, Surgical Oncologist, Department of General Surgery, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, No. 11 Jalan Tan Tock Seng, Singapore 308433, Singapore. vgshelat@gmail.com
P-Reviewer: Giacomelli L, Italy;	Abstract
Received: July 31, 2023 Peer-review started: July 31, 2023 First decision: September 5, 2023 Revised: September 15, 2023 Accepted: October 23, 2023	BACKGROUND Branched chain amino acid (BCAA) supplementation has been associated with favourable outcomes in liver malignancies requiring definitive resection or liver transplantation. Currently, there are no updated systematic reviews evaluating the efficacy of perioperative BCAA supplementation in patients undergoing surgery for liver cancer.
Article in press: October 23, 2023 Published online: November 27, 2023	<i>AIM</i> To evaluate the efficacy of perioperative BCAA supplementation in patients undergoing surgery for liver cancer.
	METHODS A systematic review of randomized control trials and observational studies was

conducted on PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Scopus, and Web of Science to evaluate the effect of perioperative BCAA supplementation compared to standard in-hospital diet, in liver cancer patients undergoing surgery. Clinical outcomes were extracted, and a meta-analysis was performed on relevant outcomes.

RESULTS



新新教

16 studies including 1389 patients were included. Perioperative BCAA administration was associated with reduced postoperative infection [risk ratio (RR) = 0.58 95% confidence intervals (CI): 0.39 to 0.84, P = 0.005] and ascites [RR = 0.57 (95%CI: 0.38 to 0.85), P = 0.005]. There was also a reduction in length of hospital stay (LOS) [weighted mean difference (WMD) = -3.03 d (95%CI: -5.49 to -0.57), *P* = 0.02] and increase in body weight [WMD = 1.98 kg (95%CI: 0.35 to 3.61, P = 0.02]. No significant differences were found in mortality, cancer recurrence and overall survival. No significant safety concerns were identified.

CONCLUSION

Perioperative BCAA administration is efficacious in reducing postoperative infection, ascites, LOS, and increases body weight in liver cancer patients undergoing surgical resection.

Key Words: Branched-chain amino acid; Liver cancer; Liver surgery; Nutritional supplement; Perioperative supplementation

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Liver surgery has been associated with anthropometric disturbances and systemic catabolism, which can be improved with perioperative branched chain amino acid (BCAA) supplementation. However, it remains undetermined if the reported advantages of BCAA supplementation warrant routine perioperative use. This systematic review compares sixteen studies including 1389 patients. We found that perioperative BCAA supplementation was efficacious in reducing postoperative infection, ascites, length of hospital stay and increases body weight in liver cancer patients undergoing surgical resection.

Citation: Yap KY, Chi H, Ng S, Ng DH, Shelat VG. Effect of perioperative branched chain amino acids supplementation in liver cancer patients undergoing surgical intervention: A systematic review. World J Gastrointest Surg 2023; 15(11): 2596-2618 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v15/i11/2596.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v15.i11.2596

INTRODUCTION

Liver cancer is a global health issue with an estimated incidence of over 1 million cases by 2025[1]. Secondary liver cancer is more prevalent than primary liver cancer^[2-4]. Lung and colorectal primaries account for half of the cases^[5]. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounts for approximately 90 percent of all primary liver cancers[1], and is the third most common cause of cancer mortality in the world[6]. Hepatectomy and liver transplant are the predominant curative treatments for liver cancers[7,8].

Although technological innovation and adoption of prehabilitation strategies have made hepatic surgery safe, there are still substantial morbidity risks, especially in cirrhotic patients[9]. Emerging evidence suggests that levels of branched chain amino acids (BCAAs), namely valine (Val), leucine (Leu) and isoleucine (Ile), are decreased in various forms of hepatic injury[10]. As important substrates for protein synthesis and regulators of protein turnover, BCAAs are involved in the pathophysiology of HCC by affecting gene expression, apoptosis, and regeneration of hepatocytes[10]. Additionally, advanced liver diseases are usually associated with systemic catabolism and depletion of muscle mass[11].

In rat model studies, BCAAs have been reported to promote hepatocyte proliferation and suppress growth of HCC. Kim et al[12] reported that after major hepatectomy, supplementation with BCAAs helps not only to maintain a stable plasma BCAA/aromatic amino acids ratio, but also promotes liver regeneration in rats. BCAAs delay progression of carbon tetrachloride(CCl₄)-induced chronic liver injury by attenuating hepatic apoptosis and stimulating the production of hepatocyte growth factors[13,14]. Miuma et al[15] reported that all three BCAAs down-regulate vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression during HCC development. Through these mechanisms, supplementation with BCAA may potentially suppress HCC development and accelerate post-surgical recovery. Furthermore, many studies have reported that preoperative malnutrition increases the risks of postoperative morbidity and mortality [16-19]. The benefits of administering BCAA to patients with HCC undergoing surgical treatment appear clear and promising.

Despite studies favouring BCAA supplementation, evidence of actual and measurable benefit is lacking. A 2012 Cochrane review showed that nutritional interventions for patients undergoing liver transplant did not offer benefits[20]. Another review demonstrated that oral BCAA supplementation improved 3-year mortality in HCC patients, but without impact on cancer recurrence^[21]. In a meta-analysis on the use of supplemental BCAAs during the perioperative period in gastrointestinal cancer patients, Cogo et al[22] reported an improvement in morbidity from postoperative infection but no reduction in cancer recurrence.

Therefore, from current literature, it is unclear if the reported advantages of BCAA supplementation during surgical interventions in liver cancer warrant routine perioperative administration. Given these knowledge gaps, it is necessary to appraise the current evidence to determine if BCAA supplementation has beneficial impact on patients undergoing liver resection for various oncological indications. Thus, the aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to evaluate the role of perioperative BCAA supplementation in patients undergoing liver resection.



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature search

This systematic review was performed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines[23]. This review is part of a systematic review protocol registered on PROSPERO (CRD42022341658). Search of five databases (PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Scopus, and Web of Science) was conducted on July 6, 2022 for articles published since inception up to 6 July 2022. Keywords related to the terms ("BCAA" or "Branched-chain amino acid" or "Leu" or "Val" or "Ile" or "amino acid"), [("liver" or "hepatic" or "hepatocellular") and ("carcinoma" or "cancer" or "malignancy" or "tumour" or "neoplasm")], ("resection" or "surgery" or "preoperative" or "perioperative" or "postoperative" or "hepatectomy" or "liver transplantation") were used in literature search. The full search strategy is available in Supplementary Table 1.

Study selection

Studies comparing outcomes of BCAA vs no supplementation in the perioperative period among liver cancer patients were considered for inclusion. Clinical trials and observational studies fulfilling the following criteria were included in the review: (1) Patients with a diagnosis of primary or secondary cancer in the liver; (2) patients underwent either hepatectomy or liver transplant; and (3) study has a control arm (placebo or normal usual diet). We excluded studies with patients undergoing liver surgery for other indications, or undergoing treatment procedures for liver cancer, such as radiofrequency ablation or transarterial chemoembolisation, without surgical intervention. All other studies were included, and details of source databases used in each included study were collected. The details of inclusion and exclusion criteria of this review according to the Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes and Study framework are documented in Supplementary Table 2.

Data extraction

Three reviewers (Yap KY, Chi H, Ng S) independently performed the literature search and data extraction and all disagreements were resolved by mutual consensus. Data extracted include information on patient demographics (number of patients, age, sex, comorbid liver disease), cancer type and histopathology (HCC or metastatic or other cancers, tumour size and number, stage of cancer), surgical details (extent of hepatectomy, type of liver transplant) and mean duration of follow-up.

Risk of bias

Risk of bias and quality of studies were assessed. For randomised control trials (RCTs), quality control was performed by two co-authors (Yap KY and Chi H) using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool 2[24], which assesses five domains: randomization process, deviations from intended interventions, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, and selection of the reported result. For observational studies, quality control was performed using the ROBINS-1 tool[25], which assesses seven domains in total: for pre-intervention (confounding and participation selection), during intervention (classification of intervention) and post-intervention (deviations from intended interventions, missing data, measurement of outcomes and selection of reported results) stages.

Outcomes of review

Primary outcomes of interest in this review were perioperative and oncological outcomes. Perioperative outcomes include postoperative morbidity, mortality, and length of stay (LOS). Oncological outcomes include recurrence and overall survival (OS). Secondary outcomes were changes in serum albumin, anthropometrics, and overall quality of life (QOL) in patients.

Postoperative infections were defined as any infectious complication arising in the postoperative period, including surgical site infections, septic complications, urinary tract infections, chest infections, liver abscesses and infected ascites. Ascites was defined as either new onset postoperative ascites or refractory ascites requiring diuretic agent for control. Allcause mortality was derived from OS in most studies, with a minimal follow-up time of 3 years (Supplementary Table 3). Recurrence was defined as reappearance of tumour with typical findings on imaging modalities. Changes in serum albumin were determined by comparing preoperative to postoperative measurements reported at 6- and 12-mo intervals. Anthropometrics reported by studies include body weight change, triceps skin-fold thickness and mid-arm circumference, but only body weight changes were included in this analysis.

Statistical analysis

Review Manager version 5.4 was used to pool and analyse results with reference to approaches from the Cochrane Handbook[26]. In studies without SD, P-values or confidence intervals (CI) were converted to SD. For studies without SD, P-values, and CI, we used the square-root of weighted mean variance of all other studies to estimate the SD[27]. Preintervention baseline imbalances were corrected using the simple analysis of change scores method for panel data and longitudinal outcomes. In studies reporting the outcome in different scales, a simple unit conversion was performed. Inverse variance was used to derive the pooled outcomes. The random-effects model was used in accounting for betweenstudy variance. l^2 and τ^2 statistics were used to present between-study heterogeneity: Low heterogeneity ($l^2 < 30\%$), moderate heterogeneity (l^2 30%-60%), and substantial heterogeneity (l^2 > 60%). Two-sided P value of < 0.05 was regarded as significant[26,28,29]. The statistical methods of this study were reviewed by Vishal G Shelat from National University of Singapore.





DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i11.2596 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 1 Preferred reporting items of systematic reviews and meta-analyses flow diagram of study selection.

RESULTS

Study selection

A systematic search identified 8024 studies, of which 2949 duplicate studies were excluded. Subsequent screening of title and abstract performed independently by authors (Yap KY, Chi H, Ng S) identified 50 studies for full-text evaluation. Finally, 12 prospective RCTs and 4 non-randomised studies (1 non-randomised trial and 3 observational studies) were included. A detailed PRISMA diagram is shown in Figure 1. The studies included were assessed for risk of bias, with a summary of the assessment shown in Figure 2 and Table 1 for trials and non-interventional studies, respectively. The PRISMA checklist is appended in Supplementary Figure 1.

Table 1 Risk	Table 1 Risk of bias assessment of non-randomised studies, using Cochrane ROBINS-1 tool												
Ref.	Confounding factor bias	Selection bias	Bias in classification of interventions	Bias due to deviations from intended intervention	Bias due to missing data	Bias in measurement of outcomes	Bias in selection of the reported result	Overall bias					
Ardito <i>et al</i> [<mark>45</mark>]	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low					
Kobayashi <i>et</i> al <mark>[36</mark>]	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate					
Okabayashi et al <mark>[33</mark>]	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low					
Shirabe <i>et al</i> [<mark>37</mark>]	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low					

Baseline characteristics

The sixteen studies comprised a total cohort of 1389 patients. 645 patients were randomized into the intervention group, consisting of various perioperative regimens of BCAA supplementation, and 744 patients into the control group. The total sample mean age is 60.5 years, intervention mean age is 62.3 years, control mean age is 58.9 years, and the total sample



Yap KY et al. Perioperative BCAA in HCC: Systematic review

Study ID	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	Overall	
Beppu 2015	+	+	•	+	!	!	
Fan 1994	•	!	•	+	!	!	
Hachiya 2020	+	+	+	+	+	+	High risk
Ichikawa 2013	•	!	•	+	+	!	- Ingrition
Ishikawa 2010	•	!	+	+	•	!	D1 Randomisation process
Kikuchi 2016	+	+	+	•	+	+	D2 Deviations from the intended interventions
Krapf 2021	+	+	+	+	+	+	D3 Missing outcome data
Meng 1999	+	•	+	+	+	+	D4 Measurement of the outcome
Nagasue 1997	•	!	•	•	!	!	D5 Selection of the reported result
Okabayashi 2010	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Okabayashi 2011	•	!	•	1	1	!	
Тодо 2005	•	•	+	!	+	+	

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i11.2596 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 2 Risk of bias assessment of included randomised control trials, using version 2 of the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2).

male is 78.7%. Table 2 summarises the baseline data of included studies. The subsequent tables outline surgical details (Table 3) and the presence and severity of comorbid liver diseases (Table 4).

Use of BCAA supplementation

The BCAA supplementation used were mainly Aminoleban EN (Ajinomoto Pharma, Tokyo, Japan)[30-37] and Livact (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan)[37-42]. The compositions of Aminoleban EN and Livact are compared in Supplementary Table 4. Three studies used generic BCAA supplements[43-45]. The BCAA supplements were administered perioperatively in varying dose and duration, while patients in the control groups did not receive BCAA supplements for the same specified duration. Table 5 summarises the intervention and control protocol of all included studies. Patients were not blinded due to the lack of suitable placebos that share similar taste to the BCAA supplements.

Postoperative infections

Seven out of sixteen studies [30,31,35,36,40,41,43] including 473 patients (227 in the BCAA group and 246 in the control group) reported data on postoperative infections. There was low statistical heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 0\%$). Postoperative infections were found to be significantly lower in the BCAA group [risk ratio (RR) = 0.58 (95%CI: 0.39 to 0.84), P = 0.005] (Figure 3).

In a study involving BCAA supplementation in liver transplant patients, Shirabe *et al*[37] discovered that normal usual diet without BCAA supplementation significantly increased the risk of postoperative bacteraemia [OR = 4.32 (95%CI: 1.137 to 16.483), *P* = 0.031]. Although more than half of the study population had liver cancer, the study was not included in the meta-analysis as it was unclear if the effect of BCAA supplementation was generalisable to liver cancer patients.

Postoperative ascites

Five out of sixteen studies[35,40-43] including 412 patients (190 in the BCAA group and 222 in the control group) reported data on postoperative ascites. There was low statistical heterogeneity between studies ($l^2 = 0\%$). Postoperative ascites was found to be significantly lower in the BCAA group [RR = 0.57 (95%CI: 0.38 to 0.85), P = 0.005] (Figure 4). Additionally, Kikuchi *et al*[41] reported that the incidence of refractory ascites and/or pleural effusion in the BCAA group was significantly lower than in the non-BCAA group (P = 0.047).

All-cause mortality (> 3 years follow-up)

Six out of sixteen studies[31,32,35,36,39,40] including 534 patients (254 in the BCAA group and 280 in the control group) reported data on mortality due to all causes (> 3 years follow-up). Follow-up periods were between 3-4 years for most included studies, and 3.5-6 years for one study. There was low statistical heterogeneity between included studies ($l^2 = 14\%$). There was no evidence of significant difference between the BCAA and control groups for all-cause mortality [RR = 1.05 (95%CI: 0.79 to 1.40), P = 0.72] (Figure 5). A separate analysis did not find any significant difference in 90-day mortality between the BCAA and control group [RR = 1.69 (95%CI: 0.23 to 12.24), P = 0.60] (Supplementary Figure 2).

Table 2 Baseline p	articipant informa	tion of included rando	mised control	trials, obs	ervatio	nal studies an	d non-ran	domised trials						
D (0	Study design	Sample size			Gender (M/F)		Male (%)		Age (yr)		Pathology		
Ref.	Country/year		Intervention	Control	Total	Intervention	Control	Intervention	Control	Intervention	Control		Intervention	Control
Beppu et al[<mark>38</mark>]	Japan, 2015	RCT	13	15	28	9/4	10/5	69.2	66.7	64.7 (30.0)	68.4 (18.0)	Metastasis	1	2
												HCC	11	10
												Cholangiocarcinoma	1	3
												Others	0	0
Fan <i>et al</i> [43]	Hong Kong, 1994	RCT	64	60	124	56/9	53/7	87.5	88.3	51 (33.4)	53 (35)	Metastasis	0	0
												HCC	64	60
												Cholangiocarcinoma	0	0
												Others	0	0
Hachiya <i>et al</i> [39]	Japan, 2020	RCT	74	80	154	59/15	66/14	79.7	82.5	NR	NR	Metastasis	0	0
												HCC	74	80
												Cholangiocarcinoma	0	0
												Others	0	0
Ichikawa et al[40]	Japan, 2013	RCT	26	30	56	20/6	18/12	76.9	60	64.5 (11.4)	64.7 (9.8)	Metastasis	0	0
												HCC	26	30
												Cholangiocarcinoma	0	0
												Others	0	0
Ishikawa et al[<mark>30</mark>]	Japan, 2010	RCT	10	10	20	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Metastasis	2	1
												HCC	7	7
												Cholangiocarcinoma	1	2
												Others ¹	1	3
Kikuchi et al[41]	Japan, 2016	RCT	39	38	77	31/8	29/9	79.5	76.3	69.4 (7.5)	71.9 (7.4)	Metastasis	0	0
												HCC	39	38
												Cholangiocarcinoma	0	0
												Others	0	0
Krapf et al[44]	Austria, 2021	RCT	12	9	21	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Metastasis	NR	NR

												HCC	NR	NR
												Cholangiocarcinoma	NR	NR
												Others	NR	NR
Meng et al[31]	Hong Kong, 1999	RCT	21	23	44	19/2	18/5	90.5	78.3	51.5 (10.8)	53.3 (12.8)	Metastasis	0	0
												HCC	21	23
												Cholangiocarcinoma	0	0
												Others	0	0
Nagasue <i>et al</i> [32]	Japan, 1997	RCT	67	65	132	54/13	55/10	80.6	84.6	NR	NR	Metastasis	NR	NR
												HCC	NR	NR
												Cholangiocarcinoma	NR	NR
												Others	NR	NR
Okabayashi <i>et al</i> [33]	Japan, 2010	RCT	13	13	26	9/4	8/5	69.2	61.5	68.2 (11.0)	63.5 (5.7)	Metastasis	0	0
												HCC	8	7
												Adenocarcinoma	5	6
												Cholangiocarcinoma	0	0
												Others	0	0
Okabayashi <i>et al</i> [<mark>34</mark>]	Japan, 2011	RCT	40	36	76	29/11	24/12	72.5	66.7	68.7 (7.6)	65.1 (11.3)	Metastasis	0	0
												HCC	32	26
												Cholangiocarcinoma	8	10
												Others	0	0
Togo et al[42]	Japan, 2005	RCT	21	22	43	17/5	17/6	81	77.3	66.5 (4.5)	64.3 (9.1)	Metastasis	NR	NR
												HCC	NR	NR
												Cholangiocarcinoma	NR	NR
												Others	NR	NR
Ardito et al[45]	Japan, 2020	Retrospective	107	205	312	NR	NR	NA	NA	NR	NR	Metastasis	83	163
		Cohort										НСС	18	36
												Cholangiocarcinoma	6	6
												Others ²	6	48

Okabayashi et al <mark>[35</mark>]	Japan, 2008	Retrospective	40	72	112	29/11	55/17	72.5	76.4	65.7 (8.6)	68.3 (8.1)	Metastasis	0	0
		Cohort										HCC	40	72
												Cholangiocarcinoma	0	0
												Others	0	0
Shirabe <i>et al</i> [37]	Japan, 2011	Retrospective	72	56	128	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Metastasis	NR	NR
		Cohort										HCC	72	56
												Cholangiocarcinoma	NR	NR
												Others	NR	NR
Kobayashi et al[<mark>36</mark>]	Japan, 2019	Non- randomised trial	26	10	36	21/5	5/5	80.8	50	69.2 (29.0)	64.8 (26.7)	Metastasis	4	2
												HCC	22	8
												Cholangiocarcinoma	0	0
												Others	0	0

¹Benign.
²Benign, intra-hepatic stones, others.
RCT: Randomised controlled trial; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; NR: Not reported.

LOS

Six out of sixteen studies[31,35,40,41,43,45] including 787 patients (303 in the BCAA group and 484 in the control group) reported LOS data. There was considerable statistical heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 69\%$), and a random effects model was employed. LOS was reduced by 3.03 d in the BCAA group compared to controls [weighted mean difference (WMD) = -3.03 d (95%CI: -5.49 to -0.57), P = 0.02] (Figure 6).

Recurrence

Five out of sixteen studies [31,32,39,40,42] including 429 patients (209 in the BCAA group and 220 in the control group) reported data on cancer recurrence. Median follow-up period varied from 12 to 30 mo. One author reported recurrence but was not included in analysis as recurrence was not an end point in the original study[34]. A subgroup analysis in Hachiya *et al*[39] of patients under 72 years of age with haemoglobin A1C levels below 6.4% revealed that recurrence-free survival was higher in the BCAA group compared to the control group (P = 0.015). There was low statistical hetero-geneity between included studies ($I^2 = 0\%$). There was no statistically significant difference between the BCAA and control groups for recurrence [RR = 0.88 (95% CI: 0.71 to 1.08), P = 0.22] (Figure 7).

OS

Four out of sixteen studies [35,36,39,40] reported OS data. There was low statistical heterogeneity ($l^2 = 0\%$). OS did not differ significantly between the BCAA and control groups [hazard ratio = 1.26 (95%CI: 0.72 to 2.21), P = 0.41] (Figure 8).

Table 3 Relevant surgical details of included studies										
D (0			A ()	Blood loss/mL1					
Ket.	Surgical method		Intervention	Control	Intervention					
Ardito et al[45], 2020	Hepatectomy	Minimally invasive liver surgery	33	74	NR					
		Major hepatic resection	16	62						
		Multiple resections	43	44						
		Total	92	180						
Beppu <i>et al</i> [38], 2015	Hepatectomy	Right hemihepatectomy	8	7	NR					
		Left hemihepatectomy	0	1						

		1				
		Total	92	180		
Beppu <i>et al</i> [38], 2015	Hepatectomy	Right hemihepatectomy	8	7	NR	NR
		Left hemihepatectomy	0	1		
		Sectionectomy	1	3		
		Left hemihepatectomy + sectionectomy	0	1		
		Total	9	12		
Fan et al[43], 1994	Hepatectomy	Major hepatic resection	47	42	2600 (300-20000)	1900 (400-10500)
		Minor hepatic resection	17	18		
		Total	64	60		
Hachiya <i>et al</i> [<mark>39</mark>], 2020	Hepatectomy	Non-anatomical resections	19	25	348 (5-3400)	359 (5-3741)
		Anatomical resections	55	55		
		Total	74	80		
Ichikawa <i>et al</i> [40] , 2013	Hepatectomy	Major hepatic resection	10	14	716 +/- 704	492 +/- 329
		Limited resection of the liver	16	16		
		Total	26	30		
Ishikawa <i>et al</i> [30], 2010	Hepatectomy	Major hepatic resection	7	5	802.7 +/- 350.6	838.8 +/- 597.6
		Minor hepatic resection	6	8		
		Total	13	13		
Kikuchi et al[41], 2016	Hepatectomy	Partial hepatectomy	13	12	665.7 +/- 528.9	578.5 +/- 492.1
		Segmentectomy	0	6		
		Bisgmentectomy/sectionectomy	13	10		
		Bisectionectomy or more	13	10		
		Total	39	38		
Kobayashi <i>et al</i> [<mark>36</mark>], 2019	Hepatectomy	Major hepatic resection	4	0	454 (140-5103)	365 (35-3650)
		Minor hepatic resection	22	10		
		Total	26	10		
Krapf et al[44], 2021	Liver transplant-	Major hepatic resection	24	12	NR	NR
	Tiepatectomy	Hepatic resection	9	12		
		Total	33	24		
Meng et al[31], 1999	Hepatectomy	Major hepatic resection	13	18	NR	NR
		Minor hepatic resection	8	5		
		Total	21	23		
Nagasue <i>et al</i> [32], 1997	Hepatectomy	Major hepatic resection	19	26	NR	NR
		Minor hepatic resection	48	39		
		Total	67	65		
Okabayashi et al[35], 2008	Hepatectomy	Major hepatic resection	10	19	516 +/- 354	821 +/- 552
		Minor hepatic resection	30	53		



Control NR

		Total	40	72		
Okabayashi et al[33], 2010	Hepatectomy	Major hepatic resection	5	7	1252 +/- 1205	669 +/- 575
		Minor hepatic resection	8	6		
		Total	13	13		
Okabayashi et al[34], 2011	Hepatectomy	Hemihepatectomy	4	4	945 +/- 827	676 +/- 695
		Segmentectomy	12	10		
		Limited hepatic resection	24	22		
		Total	40	36		
Shirabe <i>et al</i> [37], 2011	Liver transplant	Total	129	107	7388 +/- 9031	6448 +/- 6547
Togo <i>et al</i> [42], 2005	Hepatectomy	Partial hepatectomy	8	8	1163 +/- 853	1209 +/- 872
		Segmentectomy	7	8		
		Sectionectomy	4	3		
		Hemihepatectomy	2	3		
		Total	21	22		

¹Data is expressed as n or mean +/- SD (median, range). NR: Not reported.

	BCA	Α	No BC	AA	Risk ratio			Risk	ratio	
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95%CI	Year	M-H, Fixed	, 95%CI	
Fan 1994	11	64	22	60	40.9%	0.47 [0.25, 0.88]	1994			
Meng 1999	8	21	9	23	15.5%	0.97 [0.46, 2.05]	1999	_		
Okabayashi 2008	2	40	11	72	14.2%	0.33 [0.08, 1.40]	2008			
Ishikawa 2010	0	11	2	13	4.2%	0.23 [0:01, 4.40]	2010 —	•		
Ichikawa 2013	2	26	3	30	5.0%	0.77 [0.14, 4.25]	2013			
Kikuchi 2016	5	39	4	38	7.3%	1.22 [0,35, 4.19]	2016		•	
Kobayashi 2019	5	26	5	10	13.0%	0.38 [0.14, 1.05]	2019			
Total (95%CI)		227		246	100%	0.58 [0.39, 0.84]		•		
Total events	33		56							
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 5$.	39, df = 6	5(P = 0)	.49); <i>I</i> ² =	0%			L			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.84 (<i>P</i>	? = 0.00)5)				0.01	0.1 1	10	100
	,							Favours BCAA	Favours no BCAA	

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i11.2596 **Copyright** ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 3 Forest plot of meta-analysis on postoperative infection. BCAA: Branched chain amino acid; CI: Confidence interval.

	В	CAA	No E	BCAA		Risk ratio	Risk ratio					
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95%CI	Year	M-H, Fixe	d, 95%CI			
Fan 1994	16	64	30	60	61.4%	0.50 [0.31, 0.82]	1994					
Togo 2005	5	21	5	22	9.7%	1.05 [0.35, 3.10]	2005					
Okabayashi 2008	3	40	12	72	17.0%	0.45 [0.13, 1.50]	2008		_			
Ichikawa 2013	2	26	1	30	1.8%	2.31 [0.22, 24.01]	2013					
Kikuchi 2016	2	39	5	38	10.0%	0.39 [0.08, 1.89]	2016		_			
⊺otal (95%CI)		190		222	100.0%	0.57 [0.38,0.85]		•				
Total events	28		53					-				
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 3$	3.21, df = 4	1 (P = 0)).52); <i>I</i> ² =	0%					I			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.78 (<i>P</i>	? = 0.00	5)					0.05 0.2 1	5 20			
			-					Favours BCAA	Favours no BCAA			

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i11.2596 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 4 Forest plot of meta-analysis on all-cause postoperative ascites. BCAA: Branched chain amino acid; CI: Confidence interval.

Saishideng® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

Postoperative change in serum albumin

Five out of sixteen studies [31,32,34,35,42] including 427 patients (197 in the BCAA group and 230 in the control group) reported data on change in serum albumin. There was low statistical heterogeneity ($l^2 = 0\%$). While individual studies reported faster albumin increase in the intervention group compared to the control group[22], change in serum albumin was not significant at 6 mo [WMD = 0.10 (95%CI: -0.08 to 0.29), P = 0.28] and 12 mo [WMD = -0.05 (95%CI: -0.24 to 0.15), P = 0.63] (Figures 9 and 10).

Anthropometrics

Four out of sixteen studies[34,36,40,43] including 292 patients (156 in the BCAA group and 136 in the control group) reported data on postoperative body weight change. There was considerable heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 74\%$), and a random effects model was employed. Postoperative body weight increased significantly by 1.98 kg in the BCAA group [WMD = 1.98 kg (95%CI: 0.35 to 3.61), P = 0.02] (Figure 11).

QOL

Three out of sixteen studies[32,34,44] reported data on QOL measures. Krapf *et al*[44] used the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer QOL Questionnaire Core 30 (EORTC QLQ-C30) to look at physical, psychological, and social functions across 30 questions, and found that there was no significant difference between study groups. However, the study authors noted that patients in the BCAA group had a greater amount of food intake and significantly better subjective rating of the meals.

Okabayashi *et al*[34] using the short-form 36 (SF-36) health sheet reported significant improvement in all 8 parameters (physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, general health perceptions, vitality, social functioning, role emotional and mental health) in the BCAA group at the 12-month follow-up while the control group shown no significant differences in postoperative QOL.

Nagasue *et al*[32] used the Kanovsky scale to evaluate performance status and reported that the percentage change from baseline to the 12 mo follow-up was significantly higher in the BCAA group.

Other outcomes

It is worth nothing that two of the sixteen studies included in this review described unique outcomes of BCAA supplementation. Beppu *et al*[38] found that patients undergoing portal vein embolisation (PVE) and subsequent major hepatectomy had significantly greater functional liver regeneration after PVE (P = 0.079) and better postoperative outcomes in the BCAA group compared to controls. A 2010 study by Okabayashi *et al*[33] concluded that BCAA supplementation resulted in significantly reduced immediate postoperative insulin resistance (P = 0.039), improved blood glucose levels and decreased need for insulin therapy in liver cancer patients.

DISCUSSION

This study shows perioperative BCAAs supplementation increases body weight, reduces infection, LOS and ascites in cancer patients undergoing liver surgery.

BCAAs are essential amino acids and contribute to protein synthesis, act as precursors of the tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates and are involved in key signalling pathways[46-48]. Figure 12A summarises the molecular effects of BCAA on skeletal muscle and liver. Figure 12B summarises the cellular signalling pathways involving BCAA with downstream effects. Leu, the most abundant amino acid, plays a major role in protein synthesis and cellular growth. It promotes mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway activation by binding to Sestrin2, preventing the latter from inhibiting mTOR complex 1 activity[49]. This contributes to downstream phosphorylation of ribosomal proteins and upregulates mRNA translation[50]. Val catabolites also serve signalling functions, particularly 3-hydroxyisobutyrate (3-HIB) and beta-amino-isobutyric acid (BAIBA). 3-HIB promotes the endothelial uptake of fatty acids into muscle, acting as an intermediary between protein and lipid metabolism[51]. BAIBA promotes hepatic beta-oxidation and reduce hepatic endoplasmic reticulum stress[52]. Ile is less well-studied. Some authors have postulated its immune modulating role in inducing the expression of host defence peptides and improving innate immunity by maintaining skin mucus barrier[53, 54].

BCAAs in liver disease

Over 85% of liver cancer patients are cachectic[55], resulting from malignancy and protein energy malnutrition on a background of cirrhosis[56]. Liver surgeries are often associated with ischemia-reperfusion periods. Poor nutritional status further exacerbates ischemic injury by accelerating glycolysis and rapidly depleting adenosine triphosphate, leading to irreversible cellular necrosis[57-59]. BCAA supplementation is well-established in liver disease. The European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism[60] recommend long-term oral BCAA supplements ($0.25 \text{ g} \times \text{kg}^{-1} \times \text{d}^{-1}$) in patients with advanced cirrhosis to improve event-free survival or QOL. The American Association for the Study of Liver [61] recommend BCAA administration as an alternative or additional agent to treat hepatic encephalopathy in patients who are unresponsive to conventional therapy. However, the evidence of benefit for BCAAs in liver cancer patients managed by surgical approaches is less clear.

Table 4 Presence and severity of li	ver diseases in pati	ents of inclue	led studies				
D .(Hepatitis		Cirrhosis		Chi	ld-Pugh Score	
Ref.	Intervention	Control	Intervention	Control		Intervention	Control
Ardito <i>et al</i> [45], 2020	NR	NR	NR	NR	А	NR	NR
					В	NR	NR
					С	NR	NR
Beppu <i>et al</i> [38], 2015 ¹	9	8	NR	NR	А	NR	NR
					В	NR	NR
					С	NR	NR
Fan et al[43], 1994	18	12	39	33	А	NR	NR
					В	NR	NR
					С	NR	NR
Hachiya <i>et al</i> [<mark>39</mark>], 2020	74	80	NR	NR	А	61	64
					В	13	16
					С	0	0
Ichikawa et al[40], 2013	10	7	16	23	А	21	25
					В	5	5
					С	0	0
Ishikawa <i>et al</i> [<mark>30</mark>], 2010 ¹	4	2	5	5	А	10	12
					В	1	1
					С	0	0
Kikuchi <i>et al</i> [<mark>41</mark>], 2016	39	38	NR	NR	А	39	38
					В	0	0
					С	0	0
Kobayashi <i>et al</i> [<mark>36</mark>], 2019 ¹	20	6	12	8	А	NR	NR
					В	NR	NR
					С	NR	NR
Krapf <i>et al</i> [44], 2021	NR	NR	NR	NR	А	NR	NR
					В	NR	NR
					С	NR	NR
Meng <i>et al</i> [<mark>31</mark>], 1999	18	19	15	15	А	17	20
					В	4	3
					С	0	0
Nagasue <i>et al</i> [32], 1997	21	10	46	53	А	53	50
					В	13	14
					С	1	1
Okabayashi <i>et al</i> [<mark>35</mark>], 2008	33	54	NR	NR	А	33	62
					В	7	10
					С	0	0
Okabayashi <i>et al</i> [33], 2010	8	7	NR	NR	А	10	11
					В	3	2
					С	0	0
Okabayashi <i>et al</i> [34],	22	16	NR	NR	А	28	25



Yap KY et al. Perioperative BCAA in HCC: Systematic review

2011 ¹					В	12	10
					С	0	0
Shirabe <i>et al</i> [37], 2011	NR	NR	26	18	А	3	18
					В	36	39
					С	90	50
Togo <i>et al</i> [42] , 2005	21	22	21	22	А	15	17
					В	7	5
					С	0	0

¹All participants, including non-hepatocellular carcinoma patients were included. NR: Not reported.

Importance of optimising nutrition in perioperative patients

Despite many studies reporting a strong association between malnutrition and poor surgical outcomes, oncological patients often lack opportune time to delay treatment for nutritional optimisation. In a study of nutrition and HCC, Huang et al[62] noted that patients assessed by dietitians to be malnourished had a significantly higher rate of major complications[63]. With an increasing focus on optimisation of postoperative surgical recovery, enhanced recovery after surgery protocols and prehabilitation initiatives have also emphasised the importance of perioperative nutrition[64].

Relevance of BCAAs to outcomes

This review investigated perioperative and oncological outcomes of BCAA supplementation in patients undergoing surgery for liver cancers unlike the previous review that included diverse oncological diagnoses[22]. We demonstrated that BCAA supplementation significantly reduced postoperative complications, with over 40% relative risk reduction of postoperative infection and ascites. The BCAA group also had slightly higher body weight and performed better on both perceived and actual QOL metrics, suggesting that BCAA intake can optimise recovery and function after surgery.

Effect of BCAA on oncological outcomes

BCAA supplementation had no impact on cancer recurrence and OS. This is consistent with the conclusions of recent meta-analyses[22,65]. The relationship between BCAA and liver cancer at the molecular level has been explored[66-68]. BCAAs suppress the development of liver cancers in rodent models[69,70], presumably improving insulin resistance in obesity or diabetes mellitus. Insulin resistance is involved in the pathogenesis of HCC, as insulin has oncogenic properties on HCC cells, stimulating cell growth and inducing anti-apoptotic activity [71,72]. Another study postulated suppression of VEGF expression in tumour cells as an alternative mechanism [73]. The catabolism of BCAAs has also been extensively implicated in carcinogenesis[67] through various molecular pathways, including accumulation of branched-chain αketoacids and activation of the mTORC1 pathway [74,75]. Ericksen et al [76] validated oncogenic pathways and linked high dietary BCAA intake to tumour burden and mortality specifically in HCC patients.

Given the apparent contradictory effects of BCAA on liver cancer development and prognosis, it may be challenging to interpret our findings. BCAAs may potentially improve liver function and body weight postoperatively but also contribute to tumour recurrence via the above-mentioned pathways. The beneficial oncological effects of BCAA supplementation remain inconclusive.

Effect of BCAA on postoperative complications

While oncological outcomes do not support routine use of BCAA supplementation in the perioperative period, our review found that the risk of postoperative infection and ascites were significantly lowered in BCAA groups.

Postoperative infection is a frequent complication of hepatic resection, with reported rates of up to 25% [77,78]. It can be associated with significant morbidity in the absence of early recognition and treatment [79]. After major liver surgery, impairment of innate immune function increases host susceptibility to infection[80]. Furthermore, surgery in HCC patients results in higher risk of infectious sequelae, presumably due to underlying cirrhosis and chronic liver dysfunction, bile leak with risk of abdominal sepsis, and postoperative pneumonia due to upper abdominal incision[81]. Another major risk factor for infection is malnutrition[82-85]. BCAA supplementation directly improves patients' preoperative nutritional status[86]. BCAAs also play an essential role in immune cell function relating to protein synthesis [87], and in immune regulation in patients with advanced cirrhosis[88-90].

Postoperative ascites is reported in 5% to 56% patients undergoing hepatectomy[91], and is associated with liver failure [92,93]. Chan et al [94] described higher 1-year mortality and lower recurrence-free survival rates attributed to postoperative ascites.

BCAAs increase the synthesis and secretion of albumin by hepatocytes[95], and improve impaired metabolic turnover of albumin in cirrhotic patients[96]. Fukushima et al[97] reported that BCAAs effectively improved the oxidisation/ reduction imbalance of albumin in cirrhosis. In our study, BCAA supplementation did not significantly increase postoperative serum albumin levels. The underlying mechanisms behind the demonstrated efficacy of BCAA supplementation in reducing postoperative ascites remain uncertain.



Table 5 Intervention and control protocol for all included studies

Ref. li	• · ·	Intervention protocol ¹							Follow up period ²			
Ref.	Intervention type	Pro-operative regime	Total BCAA	Duration	Post-operative regime	Total BCAA	Duration	Control protocol	Interven	tion	Control	
	.)		received (g)/d	Duration	Post-operative regime	received (g)/d	Duration		Mean	Range	Mean	Range
Ardito <i>et al</i> [4 5], 2020	Preoperative, postoperative	BCAA 500 mg 2 tablets TDS with personalised diet (ERAS)	3	2/52	BCAA 500 mg 2 tablets TDS (ERAS)	3	1/12	Normal usual diet (ERAS)	NR	NR	NR	NR
Beppu <i>et al</i> [<mark>38</mark>], 2015	Preoperative, postoperative	Livact 4.15 g BD	8	6/12	NIL	NIL	NIL	Normal usual diet	NR	NR	NR	NR
Fan <i>et al</i> [<mark>43</mark>], 1994	Preoperative, postoperative	BCAA 1.5 g/kg	Weight dependent	1/52	BCAA 1.5 g/kg	Weight dependent	1/52	Normal usual diet	NR	NR	NR	NR
Hachiya <i>et al</i> [<mark>39]</mark> , 2020	Postoperative	NIL	NIL	NIL	Livact 4 g TDS	12	4 yr	Normal usual diet	21.8	1.2-48	NR	NR
Ichikawa et al [<mark>40]</mark> , 2013	Preoperative, postoperative	Livact 4.74 g TDS	12	2/52	Livact 4.74 g TDS	12	≥6/12	Normal usual diet	39.5 mo	7-48 mo	36.0 mo	6-50 mo
Ishikawa et al [<mark>30]</mark> , 2010	Preoperative, postoperative	Aminoleban EN 50 g BD	11.123	2/52	Aminoleban EN 50 g BD	11.123	1/52	Normal usual diet	NR	NR	NR	NR
Kikuchi <i>et al</i> [<mark>41</mark>], 2016	Preoperative, postoperative	Livact 4.74 g TDS	12	1/12	Livact 4.74 g TDS	12	1 yr	Normal usual diet (35-40 kcal/kg/d) + 4.74 g Livact TDS × 1 yr post-operatively	NR	NR	NR	NR
Kobayashi <i>et al</i> [<mark>36]</mark> , 2019	Preoperative, postoperative	Aminoleban EN 50 g ON	5.5615	2/52	Aminoleban EN ON	5.5615	12/52	Normal usual diet	NR	NR	NR	NR
Krapf <i>et al</i> [44], 2021	Postoperative	NIL	NIL	NIL	High BCAA diet	NA	2/52	Normal usual diet (standard isocaloric meal plan)	NR	NR	NR	NR
Meng <i>et al</i> [<mark>31</mark>], 1999	Postoperative	NIL	NIL	NIL	Aminoleban EN TDS with 40 g protein/d + 6300 kJ/d	NA	12/52	Normal usual diet (80 g protein/d + 6300 kJ/d)	511.6d	6-982 d	512.7d	48-983 d
Nagasue <i>et al</i> [<mark>32]</mark> , 1997	Postoperative	NIL	NIL	2/52	Aminoleban EN 50 g BD	11.123	≥1 yr	Normal usual diet	35.8 mo (17.9)	NR	36.0 mo (17.7)	NR
Okabayashi et al[<mark>35]</mark> , 2008	Preoperative	Aminoleban EN 50 g BD	11.123	2/52	NIL	NIL	NIL	Normal usual diet	16.3 mo	2-47 mo	23.3 mo	2-84 mo
Okabayashi <i>et</i> al[<mark>33</mark>], 2010	Preoperative	Aminoleban EN 50 g BD	11.123	2/52	NIL	NIL	NIL	Normal usual diet	NR	NR	NR	NR
Okabayashi <i>et</i> al[<mark>34]</mark> , 2011	Preoperative, postoperative	Aminoleban EN 50 g BD	11.123	2/52	Aminoleban EN 50 g BD	11.123	≥6/12	Normal usual diet	NR	NR	NR	NR
Shirabe <i>et al</i> [37], 2011	Preoperative	Regime 1: Livact 3 packets OD Regime 2: Aminoleban EN 50 g	Regime 1: 12Regime 2:	>1/12	NIL	NIL	NIL	Normal usual diet	NR	NR	NR	NR

Yap KY et al. Perioperative BCAA in HCC: Systematic review

		1 to 3 packets	5.5615-16.6845									
Togo <i>et al</i> [<mark>42</mark>], 2005	Post-operative	NIL	NIL	NIL	Livact 4.74 g TDS	12	1 yr	Normal usual diet	NR	NR	NR	NR

¹Composition of livact and Aminoleban described in supplementary table 4.

²Data is expressed as n or mean +/- SD (median, range).

Participants on branched chain amino acid supplementation were also taking normal usual diet. BCAA: Branched chain amino acid; ERAS: Enhanced recovery after surgery; TDS: Ter die sumendum; NR: Not reported; NIL: Nothing.

Effect of BCAA on QOL

2 out of 3 included studies[34,44] demonstrated significant improvement in QOL with BCAAs, but differing methodologies and domains for assessment were employed. Krapf *et al*[44] noted a significantly higher subjective rating of high BCAA content diet compared to normal usual diet, despite similar preparation methods and staff. If such an outcome is indeed replicated in future QOL studies, it may represent a potential benefit of BCAA supplementation in improving oral intake and avoiding malnutrition in post-surgical patients. These results are consistent with QOL improvements seen in RCTs on BCAA supplementation in cirrhosis[98,99].

Overall, more robust, large-scale clinical studies of surgical patients with liver cancer would need to be conducted with standardisation of total calorie and protein intake in intervention and control groups to conclude on the risk-benefit calculation of BCAA supplementation. Future studies should report BCAA to total protein intake ratio, protein to calorie ratio and type of BCAA to understand cause effect relation on perioperative outcomes of BCAA supplementation.

Side effects of BCAA

We reviewed side effects reported from routine BCAA ingestion in the included studies. Most studies did not report side effects with the exception that one RCT[43] reported 2 events (3%) relating to intravenous administration, while another [32] reported that 3 patients were unable to continue with BCAA administration due to adverse reactions. Overall, BCAAs have an extremely low incidence of side effects in the included studies.

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of this study include data pooling from newer RCTs and multiple real-world observational studies. While RCTs are considered the gold standard for ascertaining the efficacy and safety of a treatment, their methodologies may limit generalizability. The inclusion of observational studies provides more generalizable results applicable in the real-world situation. Since both types of study design have their strengths and limitations, they provide insight into the efficacy of BCAA supplementation.

Though many Japanese studies were included, exclusion of non-English articles may have led to language biases as a potential confounding factor in our conclusions. The included studies had varying doses of BCAA supplementation and a lack of data on composition of normal usual diet in the participating institutions. Most of the patients in this review had Child-Pugh score A cirrhosis and thus might not be malnourished. Further, there is no population data to suggest that HCC patients have deficiency of BCAA. A lack of long-term follow-up data in the included studies makes it difficult to study the impact of BCAA supplementation on oncological outcomes.



DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i11.2596 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 5 Forest plot of meta-analysis on all-cause mortality. BCAA: Branched chain amino acid; CI: Confidence interval.

		No BCA	A		Mean difference		Mean difference			
Study or subgro	up Mean	SD	Tota	al Mea	n SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95%C	[Year	IV, Random, 95%CI
Fan 1994 Meng 1999 Okabayashi 2008 Johikawa 2012	14.5 15.5 15.5	10.75 7 4.9	64 21 40	16 22.5 22.3	31 9.75 15.2	60 23 72	6.8% 13.4% 17.3%	-1.50 [-9.77, 6.77] -7.00 [-11.98, -2.02] -6.80 [-10.63, -2.97] -2.00 [-5.76 -0.24]	1994 1999 2008	
Kikuchi 2016 Ardito 2020 6	13.7 .333333 2.2	11.2 222222	39 113	12.7 7.333333	, 13.4 3.703704	38 261	11.9% 29.1%	-3.00 [-3.76, -0.24] 1.00 [-4.52, 6.52] -1.00 [1.61, -0.39]	2013 2016 2020	+
Total (95%CI) 303 48 Heterogeneity: Tau ² =5.32; Chi ² = 16.03, df = 5 (P = 0.007); I ² = 69 ⁴ Test for overall effect: Z = 2.41 (P = 0.02)						484 69%	100.0%	o -3.03 [-5.49, -0.5	7]	-10 -5 0 5 10 Favours BCAA Favours no BCAA

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i11.2596 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 6 Forest plot of meta-analysis on length of hospital stay. BCAA: Branched chain amino acid; CI: Confidence interval.

	В	CAA	No B	CAA		Risk ratio			Ri	sk ra	atio		
Study or subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95%CI	Year		M-H, Fiz	xed,	95%CI		
Nagasue 1997	39	67	39	65	40.1%	0.97 [0.73, 1.29]	1997			-	_		
Meng 1999	6	21	4	23	3.9%	1.64 [0.54, 5.03]	1999			+			
Togo 2005	2	21	2	22	2.0%	1.05 [0.16, 6.77]	2005			-+			-
Ichikawa 2013	7	26	17	30	16.0%	0.48 [0.23, 0.96]	2013		-	—			
Hachiya 2020	31	74	39	80	38.0%	0.86 [0.61, 1.22]	2020		_	•	-		
Total (95%CI)		209		220	100.0%	0.88 [0.71, 1.08]							
Total events	85		101										
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 4$	4.64, df = 4	4 (P = 0)).33); <i>I</i> ² =	14%			⊢			\rightarrow		— –	—
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.24 (A	P = 0.22	2)				0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	20
								Fa	avours BCA	AA	Favours	s no BCAA	
						DOI: 1	10.4240/wia	s.v15.i11	.2596 Co	ovrio	iht ©Th	e Author(s`) 2023.

Figure 7 Forest plot of meta-analysis on recurrence. BCAA: Branched chain amino acid; CI: Confidence interval.

CONCLUSION

Perioperative BCAA administration increases body weight and reduces postoperative infection, ascites, and LOS in liver cancer patients undergoing surgery.





Figure 8 Forest plot of meta-analysis on overall survival. BCAA: Branched chain amino acid; CI: Confidence interval.

		BCAA			No BC	CAA		Mean difference	e	Mean difference
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95%CI	Year	IV, Fixed, 95%CI
Nagasue 1997	0.44	0.69527	67	0.4	0.608769	65	68.6%	0.04 [-0.18, 0.26]	1997	
Meng 1999	0.32	0.673163	21	0.04	0.959525	23	14.4%	0.28 [0.21, 0.77]	1999	
Togo 2005	0.02	2.175443	21	-0.32	2.175443	22	2.0%	0.34 [-0.96, 1.64]	2005	
Okabayashi 2008	-0.1	3.128623	40	-0.3	3.096495	72	2.3%	0.20 [-1.00, 1.40]	2008	
Okabayashi 2011	0.4	1.53921	48	0.3	1.53921	48	9.0%	0.10 [-0.52, 0.72]	2011	
Ichikawa 2013	0.7	1.943193	26	0.31	1.723185	30	3.6%	0.39 [0.58, 1.36]	2013	
Total (95%CI)			223			260	100.0%	0.10[-0.08,0.29]		•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.31, c	lf = 5 (<i>P</i> =	0.93);	$I^2 = 0\%$	D					
Test for overall effect	Z = 1.	09 (<i>P</i> = 0.2	28)							-1 0.5 0 0.5 1
										Favours BCAA Favours no BCAA
								DOI: 10.4240/wjg	js.v15.i1:	1.2596 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 9 Forest plot of meta-analysis on change in serum albumin at 6 mo postoperatively. BCAA: Branched chain amino acid; CI: Confidence interval.

		BCAA			No BCA	Α		Mean difference		1	Mean di	fere	nce		
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Tota	l Weight	IV, Fixed, 95%CI Y	'ear	I	V, Fixed	, 95	%СІ		
Nagasue 1997	0.46	0.701783	67	0.55	0.616198	65	75.5%	-0.09 [-0.32, 0.14] 1	.997		_				
Meng 1999	0.31	0.816078	21	0.31	1.036678	23	12.7%	0.00 [-0.55, 0.55] 1	999			+			
Togo 2005	0.02	1.658381	21	-0.07	1.658381	22	3.9%	0.09 [-0.90, 1.08] 2	005	_		+			
Okabayashi 2008	0	2.777891	40	-0.2	2.741656	72	3.3%	0.20 [-0.87, 1.27] 2	008	_		+	•		
Okabayashi 2011	0.6	2.276209	48	0.4	2.276209	48	4.6%	0.20 [-0.71, 1.11] 20	011			+	•		
Total(95%CI)			197			230	100.0%	-0.05 [-0.24,0.15]			-				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	=0.73, df	f = 4 (<i>P</i> = 0	0.95); <i>I</i>	² =0%						-			+		
Test for overall efifect	t: Z = 0.	49 (P = 0.0)	63)							-1	0.5	0	0.	5	1
			,							Favo	ours BCA	1	Favou	rs no	BCA/
															<

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i11.2596 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 10 Forest plot of meta-analysis on change in serum albumin at 12 mo postoperatively. BCAA: Branched chain amino acid; CI: Confidence interval.

			No BCA	A		Mean difference			Mean difference				
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Tota	l Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95%	CI Year	IV, Ran	dom, 95%	∕₀ CI	
Fan 1994	0	4.125	64	-1.4	2.175	60	31.2%	1.40 [0.25, 2.55]	1994				
Okabayashi 2011	3	3.674314	26	-1.5	2.866261	30	25.9%	4.50 [2.75, 6.25]	2011			_	
lchikawa 2013	2	2.638473	40	1	2.71416	36	30.7%	1.00 [-0.21, 2.21]	2013		+		
Kobayashi 2019 ·	-0.9	3.34391	26	-1.45	5.813383	10	12.2%	0.55 [-3.28, 4.38]	2019				
Total (95%CI)	_ 1 00.	1	1 56	- 7 (P	- 0 008).	136 : $t^2 = 74$	100.0%	1.98 [0.35,3.61]				•	
Test for everall offers	- 1.00,	$C_{11} = 11.$	/5, ui	= 5 (P	= 0.008),	1 = 74	70		+			— I	+
Test for overall effect	.: Z = Z	.37(P = 0)	.02)						-10	-5	0	5	10
									1	avours BC	CAA Fav	ours no Bo	CAA
								DOI: 10.4240/w	jgs.v15.i11.2	2596 Copy	right ©⊺	ne Author(s) 2023.

Figure 11 Forest plot of meta-analysis on postoperative body weight change for branched chain amino acid group relative to control. BCAA: Branched chain amino acid; CI: Confidence interval.



DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i11.2596 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 12 Branched chain amino acid. A: Biochemical effect of Branched chain amino acid (BCAA) on skeletal muscles and the liver; B: Signalling pathways involving BCAA.

Saishideng® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Branched chain amino acids (BCAA) show promising results in improving surgical outcomes in liver cancer patients and potential for routine use.

Research motivation

Current studies on BCAA supplementation show varying results but with no clear conclusion and no updated reviews on the matter.

Research objectives

To provide the most updated review on whether BCAA supplementation provides measurable benefits in liver cancer patients for surgical intervention.

Research methods

Current trials and studies on BCAA supplementation in liver cancer patients undergoing surgery were appraised by three independent authors. Studies were identified and data extracted for meta-analysis of the relevant outcomes.

Research results

Perioperative BCAA supplementation reduced postoperative infections, length of stay and increased body weight in the studied patient groups but did not improve mortality, oncological recurrence, and long-term survival.

Research conclusions

This review has shown that BCAA supplementation improves postoperative outcomes with no significant side effects. However, benefits on oncological outcomes remain inconclusive.

Research perspectives

This review highlights the possible routine use of BCAA for liver cancer patients for surgical intervention. Further clinical research can be directed at assessing optimal BCAA supplementation regime for such patients.

FOOTNOTES

Co-first authors: Kwan Yi Yap and HongHui Chi.

Author contributions: Yap KY acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article, revising the article, final approval; Chi H acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article, revising the article, final approval; Ng S acquisition of data, interpretation of data, final approval; Ng DH revising the article; Shelat VG supervision, critical revision, final approval. Yap KY and Chi H contributed equally to this work as co-first authors. This research is the product of the collaborative effort of the team, and the designation of co-first authors authorship is reflective of the time and effort invested by the co-first authors into the completion of the research. Furthermore, the decision of co-first authors authorship acknowledges and respects the equal contribution made by both co-first authors throughout the process of writing the paper. As a whole, the team believes that designating Yap KY and Chi H as co-first authors is appropriate and reflective of the team's collective spirit and wishes.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors deny any conflict of interest.

PRISMA 2009 Checklist statement: The authors have read the PRISMA 2009 Checklist, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the PRISMA 2009 Checklist.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Singapore

ORCID number: Kwan Yi Yap 0000-0002-6766-9222; HongHui Chi 0009-0003-8888-0590; Sherryl Ng 0009-0003-1321-2408; Doris HL Ng 0009-0005-3360-6986; Vishal G Shelat 0000-0003-3988-8142.

Corresponding Author's Membership in Professional Societies: Singapore Medical Association, 12617I.

S-Editor: Qu XL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Wu RR

REFERENCES

- 1 Llovet JM, Kelley RK, Villanueva A, Singal AG, Pikarsky E, Roayaie S, Lencioni R, Koike K, Zucman-Rossi J, Finn RS. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2021; 7: 6 [PMID: 33479224 DOI: 10.1038/s41572-020-00240-3]
- 2 Ananthakrishnan A, Gogineni V, Saeian K. Epidemiology of primary and secondary liver cancers. Semin Intervent Radiol 2006; 23: 47-63 [PMID: 21326720 DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-939841]
- 3 Ahmed I, Lobo DN. Malignant tumours of the liver. Surgery (Oxford) 2009; 27: 30-37 [DOI: 10.1016/j.mpsur.2008.12.005]
- Kasper HU, Drebber U, Dries V, Dienes HP. [Liver metastases: incidence and histogenesis]. Z Gastroenterol 2005; 43: 1149-1157 [PMID: 4 16220456 DOI: 10.1055/s-2005-858576]
- 5 Wang ZG, He ZY, Chen YY, Gao H, Du XL. Incidence and survival outcomes of secondary liver cancer: a Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results database analysis. Transl Cancer Res 2021; 10: 1273-1283 [PMID: 35116454 DOI: 10.21037/tcr-20-3319]
- Sheriff S, Madhavan S, Lei GY, Chan YH, Junnarkar SP, Huey CW, Low JK, Shelat VG. Predictors of mortality within the first year post-6 hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma. J Egypt Natl Canc Inst 2022; 34: 14 [PMID: 35368234 DOI: 10.1186/s43046-022-00113-8]
- 7 Lim MS, Goh GB, Chang JP, Low JK, Shelat VG, Huey TC, Dan YY, Kow A, Shridhar I, Tan PS, Junnarkar SP, Tan CK. A study of 3013 cases of hepatocellular carcinoma: Etiology and therapy before and during the current decade. JGH Open 2021; 5: 1015-1018 [PMID: 34584969 DOI: 10.1002/jgh3.12624]
- Tsim NC, Frampton AE, Habib NA, Jiao LR. Surgical treatment for liver cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2010; 16: 927-933 [PMID: 20180230 8 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v16.i8.927]
- 9 Lei GY, Shen L, Junnarkar SP, Huey CT, Low J, Shelat VG. Predictors of 90-Day Mortality following Hepatic Resection for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Visc Med 2021; 37: 102-109 [PMID: 33981750 DOI: 10.1159/000510811]
- 10 Tajiri K, Shimizu Y. Branched-chain amino acids in liver diseases. Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 3: 47 [PMID: 30148232 DOI: 10.21037/tgh.2018.07.06]
- Choudry HA, Pan M, Karinch AM, Souba WW. Branched-chain amino acid-enriched nutritional support in surgical and cancer patients. J 11 Nutr 2006; 136: 314S-318S [PMID: 16365105 DOI: 10.1093/jn/136.1.314S]
- Kim SJ, Kim DG, Lee MD. Effects of branched-chain amino acid infusions on liver regeneration and plasma amino acid patterns in partially 12 hepatectomized rats. Hepatogastroenterology 2011; 58: 1280-1285 [PMID: 21937393 DOI: 10.5754/hge10389]
- Kuwahata M, Kubota H, Kanouchi H, Ito S, Ogawa A, Kobayashi Y, Kido Y. Supplementation with branched-chain amino acids attenuates 13 hepatic apoptosis in rats with chronic liver disease. Nutr Res 2012; 32: 522-529 [PMID: 22901560 DOI: 10.1016/j.nutres.2012.06.007]
- Tomiya T, Omata M, Fujiwara K. Significance of branched chain amino acids as possible stimulators of hepatocyte growth factor. Biochem 14 Biophys Res Commun 2004; 313: 411-416 [PMID: 14684177 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2003.07.017]
- Miuma S, Ichikawa T, Arima K, Takeshita S, Muraoka T, Matsuzaki T, Ootani M, Shibata H, Akiyama M, Ozawa E, Miyaaki H, Taura N, 15 Takeshima F, Nakao K. Branched-chain amino acid deficiency stabilizes insulin-induced vascular endothelial growth factor mRNA in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. J Cell Biochem 2012; 113: 3113-3121 [PMID: 22581719 DOI: 10.1002/jcb.24188]
- Zheng HL, Lu J, Li P, Xie JW, Wang JB, Lin JX, Chen QY, Cao LL, Lin M, Tu R, Huang CM, Zheng CH. Effects of Preoperative 16 Malnutrition on Short- and Long-Term Outcomes of Patients with Gastric Cancer: Can We Do Better? Ann Surg Oncol 2017; 24: 3376-3385 [PMID: 28699132 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-017-5998-9]
- Chan KS, Chia CLK, Ng FKL, Seow WHJ, Leong DY, Shelat VG. Impaired Handgrip Strength Does Not Predict Postoperative Morbidity in 17 Major Hepatobiliary Surgery. J Surg Res 2020; 256: 549-556 [PMID: 32799004 DOI: 10.1016/j.jss.2020.07.012]
- Lee B, Han HS, Yoon YS, Cho JY, Lee JS. Impact of preoperative malnutrition, based on albumin level and body mass index, on operative 18 outcomes in patients with pancreatic head cancer. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2021; 28: 1069-1075 [PMID: 33128839 DOI: 10.1002/jhbp.858]
- Leide da Silva Nunes F, Calado Ferreira Pinheiro Gadelha P, Damasceno de Souza Costa M, Carolina Ribeiro de Amorim AC, Bezerra da 19 Silva Mda G. Nutritional status and its impact on time and relocation in postoperative complications of abdominal patients undergoing surgery. Nutr Hosp 2014; 30: 629-635 [PMID: 25238841 DOI: 10.3305/nh.2014.30.3.7628]
- 20 Langer G, Großmann K, Fleischer S, Berg A, Grothues D, Wienke A, Behrens J, Fink A. Nutritional interventions for liver-transplanted patients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012; CD007605 [PMID: 22895962 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007605.pub2]
- Chen L, Chen Y, Wang X, Li H, Zhang H, Gong J, Shen S, Yin W, Hu H. Efficacy and safety of oral branched-chain amino acid 21 supplementation in patients undergoing interventions for hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. Nutr J 2015; 14: 67 [PMID: 26155840] DOI: 10.1186/s12937-015-0056-6]
- Cogo E, Elsayed M, Liang V, Cooley K, Guerin C, Psihogios A, Papadogianis P. Are Supplemental Branched-Chain Amino Acids Beneficial 22 During the Oncological Peri-Operative Period: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Integr Cancer Ther 2021; 20: 1534735421997551 [PMID: 33648360 DOI: 10.1177/1534735421997551]
- 23 Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021; 372: n71 [PMID: 33782057 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.n71]
- Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, Cates CJ, Cheng HY, Corbett MS, Eldridge SM, Emberson JR, Hernán 24 MA, Hopewell S, Hróbjartsson A, Junqueira DR, Jüni P, Kirkham JJ, Lasserson T, Li T, McAleenan A, Reeves BC, Shepperd S, Shrier I, Stewart LA, Tilling K, White IR, Whiting PF, Higgins JPT. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2019; **366**: 14898 [PMID: 31462531 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.14898]
- Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, Henry D, Altman DG, Ansari MT, Boutron I, Carpenter JR, 25 Chan AW, Churchill R, Deeks JJ, Hróbjartsson A, Kirkham J, Jüni P, Loke YK, Pigott TD, Ramsay CR, Regidor D, Rothstein HR, Sandhu L, Santaguida PL, Schünemann HJ, Shea B, Shrier I, Tugwell P, Turner L, Valentine JC, Waddington H, Waters E, Wells GA, Whiting PF, Higgins JP. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ 2016; 355: i4919 [PMID: 27733354 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.i4919]
- Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions 26 version 6.4. Aug 2023. [cited August 2023]. Available from: https://training.cochrane.org/handbook
- Furukawa TA, Barbui C, Cipriani A, Brambilla P, Watanabe N. Imputing missing standard deviations in meta-analyses can provide accurate 27



results. J Clin Epidemiol 2006; 59: 7-10 [PMID: 16360555 DOI: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2005.06.006]

- Walter SD, Yao X. Effect sizes can be calculated for studies reporting ranges for outcome variables in systematic reviews. J Clin Epidemiol 28 2007; **60**: 849-852 [PMID: 17606182 DOI: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2006.11.003]
- Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS). Evidence Synthesis and Meta-Analysis for Drug Safety: Report of 29 CIOMS Working Group X. 2016; [DOI: 10.56759/Lela7055]
- Ishikawa Y, Yoshida H, Mamada Y, Taniai N, Matsumoto S, Bando K, Mizuguchi Y, Kakinuma D, Kanda T, Tajiri T. Prospective 30 randomized controlled study of short-term perioperative oral nutrition with branched chain amino acids in patients undergoing liver surgery. Hepatogastroenterology 2010; 57: 583-590 [PMID: 20698232]
- Meng WC, Leung KL, Ho RL, Leung TW, Lau WY. Prospective randomized control study on the effect of branched-chain amino acids in 31 patients with liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. Aust NZJ Surg 1999; 69: 811-815 [PMID: 10553972 DOI: 10.1046/j.1440-1622.1999.01701.x]
- 32 Nagasue N, Yu-Chung C, Fukuda T, Hamazoe R, Handa Y, Hayashi T, Horisawa H, Kanamori H, Kawaguchi H, Kishi K, Kishimoto H, Kohno H, Kubota H, Kudo H, Kuratsuka H, Mishima I, Miyazaki Y, Nishimura O, Okita K, Takeuchi T, Taniguchi H, Yoshioka H, Yukaya H, Yumura M, Watanabe S. Long-term oral administration of branched chain amino acids after curative resection of hepatocellular carcinoma: a prospective randomized trial. The San-in Group of Liver Surgery. Br J Surg 1997; 84: 1525-1531 [PMID: 9393270 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800841109]
- Okabayashi T, Nishimori I, Yamashita K, Sugimoto T, Namikawa T, Maeda H, Yatabe T, Hanazaki K. Preoperative oral supplementation 33 with carbohydrate and branched-chain amino acid-enriched nutrient improves insulin resistance in patients undergoing a hepatectomy: a randomized clinical trial using an artificial pancreas. Amino Acids 2010; 38: 901-907 [PMID: 19399583 DOI: 10.1007/s00726-009-0297-9]
- 34 Okabayashi T, Iyoki M, Sugimoto T, Kobayashi M, Hanazaki K. Oral supplementation with carbohydrate- and branched-chain amino acidenriched nutrients improves postoperative quality of life in patients undergoing hepatic resection. Amino Acids 2011; 40: 1213-1220 [PMID: 20852905 DOI: 10.1007/s00726-010-0748-3]
- Okabayashi T, Nishimori I, Sugimoto T, Maeda H, Dabanaka K, Onishi S, Kobayashi M, Hanazaki K. Effects of branched-chain amino acids-35 enriched nutrient support for patients undergoing liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008; 23: 1869-1873 [PMID: 18717761 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2008.05504.x]
- 36 Kobayashi K, Kaneko J, Yamaguchi T, Kawaguchi Y, Arita J, Akamatsu N, Ishizawa T, Sekine R, Ijichi H, Kubota N, Fukatsu K, Kokudo N, Hasegawa K. Late-Evening Carbohydrate and Branched-Chain Amino Acid Snacks Improve the Nutritional Status of Patients Undergoing Hepatectomy Based on Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis of Body Composition. Gastrointest Tumors 2019; 6: 81-91 [PMID: 31768352 DOI: 10.1159/000501452]
- Shirabe K, Yoshimatsu M, Motomura T, Takeishi K, Toshima T, Muto J, Matono R, Taketomi A, Uchiyama H, Maehara Y. Beneficial effects 37 of supplementation with branched-chain amino acids on postoperative bacteremia in living donor liver transplant recipients. Liver Transpl 2011; **17**: 1073-1080 [PMID: 21542128 DOI: 10.1002/lt.22324]
- Beppu T, Nitta H, Hayashi H, Imai K, Okabe H, Nakagawa S, Hashimoto D, Chikamoto A, Ishiko T, Yoshida M, Yamashita Y, Baba H. 38 Effect of branched-chain amino acid supplementation on functional liver regeneration in patients undergoing portal vein embolization and sequential hepatectomy: a randomized controlled trial. J Gastroenterol 2015; 50: 1197-1205 [PMID: 25847401 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-015-1067-y]
- 39 Hachiya H, Aoki T, Iso Y, Shimizu T, Tago K, Park KH, Sakuraoka Y, Shiraki T, Mori S, Kubota K. Effects of branched-chain amino acids on postoperative tumor recurrence in patients undergoing curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma: A randomized clinical trial. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2020; 27: 819-829 [PMID: 32949091 DOI: 10.1002/jhbp.830]
- Ichikawa K, Okabayashi T, Maeda H, Namikawa T, Iiyama T, Sugimoto T, Kobayashi M, Mimura T, Hanazaki K. Oral supplementation of 40 branched-chain amino acids reduces early recurrence after hepatic resection in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a prospective study. Surg Today 2013; 43: 720-726 [PMID: 22890582 DOI: 10.1007/s00595-012-0288-4]
- Kikuchi Y, Hiroshima Y, Matsuo K, Kawaguchi D, Murakami T, Yabushita Y, Endo I, Taguri M, Koda K, Tanaka K. A Randomized Clinical 41 Trial of Preoperative Administration of Branched-Chain Amino Acids to Prevent Postoperative Ascites in Patients with Liver Resection for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol 2016; 23: 3727-3735 [PMID: 27338747 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-016-5348-3]
- Togo S, Tanaka K, Morioka D, Sugita M, Ueda M, Miura Y, Kubota T, Nagano Y, Matsuo K, Endo I, Sekido H, Shimada H. Usefulness of 42 granular BCAA after hepatectomy for liver cancer complicated with liver cirrhosis. Nutrition 2005; 21: 480-486 [PMID: 15811769 DOI: 10.1016/j.nut.2004.07.017]
- Fan ST, Lo CM, Lai EC, Chu KM, Liu CL, Wong J. Perioperative nutritional support in patients undergoing hepatectomy for hepatocellular 43 carcinoma. N Engl J Med 1994; 331: 1547-1552 [PMID: 7969324 DOI: 10.1056/nejm199412083312303]
- 44 Krapf J, Schuhbeck A, Wendel T, Fritz J, Scholl-Bürgi S, Bösmüller C, Oberhuber R, Margreiter C, Maglione M, Stättner S, Messner F, Berchtold V, Braunwarth E, Primavesi F, Cardini B, Resch T, Karall D, Öfner D, Margreiter R, Schneeberger S. Assessment of the Clinical Impact of a Liver-Specific, BCAA-Enriched Diet in Major Liver Surgery. Transplant Proc 2021; 53: 624-629 [PMID: 33139038 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2020.09.013]
- Ardito F, Lai Q, Rinninella E, Mimmo A, Vellone M, Panettieri E, Adducci E, Cintoni M, Mele MC, Gasbarrini A, Giuliante F. The impact of 45 personalized nutritional support on postoperative outcome within the enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program for liver resections: results from the NutriCatt protocol. Updates Surg 2020; 72: 681-691 [PMID: 32410162 DOI: 10.1007/s13304-020-00787-6]
- 46 Mattick JSA, Kamisoglu K, Ierapetritou MG, Androulakis IP, Berthiaume F. Branched-chain amino acid supplementation: impact on signaling and relevance to critical illness. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med 2013; 5: 449-460 [PMID: 23554299 DOI: 10.1002/wsbm.1219]
- Matthews DE. Observations of branched-chain amino acid administration in humans. J Nutr 2005; 135: 1580S-1584S [PMID: 15930473 DOI: 47 10.1093/jn/135.6.1580S
- Harper AE, Miller RH, Block KP. Branched-chain amino acid metabolism. Annu Rev Nutr 1984; 4: 409-454 [PMID: 6380539 DOI: 48 10.1146/annurev.nu.04.070184.002205]
- 49 Wolfson RL, Chantranupong L, Saxton RA, Shen K, Scaria SM, Cantor JR, Sabatini DM. Sestrin2 is a leucine sensor for the mTORC1 pathway. Science 2016; 351: 43-48 [PMID: 26449471 DOI: 10.1126/science.aab2674]
- Kimball SR, Jefferson LS. Signaling pathways and molecular mechanisms through which branched-chain amino acids mediate translational 50 control of protein synthesis. J Nutr 2006; 136: 227S-231S [PMID: 16365087 DOI: 10.1093/jn/136.1.227S]
- Jang C, Oh SF, Wada S, Rowe GC, Liu L, Chan MC, Rhee J, Hoshino A, Kim B, Ibrahim A, Baca LG, Kim E, Ghosh CC, Parikh SM, Jiang 51 A, Chu Q, Forman DE, Lecker SH, Krishnaiah S, Rabinowitz JD, Weljie AM, Baur JA, Kasper DL, Arany Z. A branched-chain amino acid



metabolite drives vascular fatty acid transport and causes insulin resistance. Nat Med 2016; 22: 421-426 [PMID: 26950361 DOI: 10.1038/nm.4057]

- Shi CX, Zhao MX, Shu XD, Xiong XQ, Wang JJ, Gao XY, Chen Q, Li YH, Kang YM, Zhu GQ. β-aminoisobutyric acid attenuates hepatic 52 endoplasmic reticulum stress and glucose/lipid metabolic disturbance in mice with type 2 diabetes. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 21924 [PMID: 26907958 DOI: 10.1038/srep21924]
- Yin L, Zhao Y, Zhou XQ, Yang C, Feng L, Liu Y, Jiang WD, Wu P, Zhou J, Zhao J, Jiang J. Effect of dietary isoleucine on skin mucus barrier 53 and epithelial physical barrier functions of hybrid bagrid catfish Pelteobagrus vachelli × Leiocassis longirostris. Fish Physiol Biochem 2020; **46**: 1759-1774 [PMID: 32654084 DOI: 10.1007/s10695-020-00826-4]
- Gu C, Mao X, Chen D, Yu B, Yang Q. Isoleucine Plays an Important Role for Maintaining Immune Function. Curr Protein Pept Sci 2019; 20: 54 644-651 [PMID: 30843485 DOI: 10.2174/1389203720666190305163135]
- 55 Wie GA, Cho YA, Kim SY, Kim SM, Bae JM, Joung H. Prevalence and risk factors of malnutrition among cancer patients according to tumor location and stage in the National Cancer Center in Korea. Nutrition 2010; 26: 263-268 [PMID: 19665873 DOI: 10.1016/j.nut.2009.04.013]
- Stickel F, Inderbitzin D, Candinas D. Role of nutrition in liver transplantation for end-stage chronic liver disease. Nutr Rev 2008; 66: 47-54 56 [PMID: 18254884 DOI: 10.1111/j.1753-4887.2007.00005.x]
- Caraceni P, Nardo B, Domenicali M, Turi P, Vici M, Simoncini M, De Maria N, Trevisani F, Van Thiel DH, Derenzini M, Cavallari A, 57 Bernardi M. Ischemia-reperfusion injury in rat fatty liver: role of nutritional status. *Hepatology* 1999; 29: 1139-1146 [PMID: 10094958 DOI: 10.1002/hep.510290407]
- Gasbarrini A, Borle AB, Farghali H, Caraceni P, Van Thiel D. Fasting enhances the effects of anoxia on ATP, Cai²⁺ and cell injury in isolated 58 rat hepatocytes. Biochim Biophys Acta 1993; 1178: 9-19 [PMID: 8329459 DOI: 10.1016/0167-4889(93)90105-x]
- Cornide-Petronio ME, Álvarez-Mercado AI, Jiménez-Castro MB, Peralta C. Current Knowledge about the Effect of Nutritional Status, 59 Supplemented Nutrition Diet, and Gut Microbiota on Hepatic Ischemia-Reperfusion and Regeneration in Liver Surgery. Nutrients 2020; 12 [PMID: 31973190 DOI: 10.3390/nu12020284]
- Bischoff SC, Bernal W, Dasarathy S, Merli M, Plank LD, Schütz T, Plauth M. ESPEN practical guideline: Clinical nutrition in liver disease. 60 Clin Nutr 2020; 39: 3533-3562 [PMID: 33213977 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2020.09.001]
- Vilstrup H, Amodio P, Bajaj J, Cordoba J, Ferenci P, Mullen KD, Weissenborn K, Wong P. Hepatic encephalopathy in chronic liver disease: 61 2014 Practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the European Association for the Study of the Liver. Hepatology 2014; 60: 715-735 [PMID: 25042402 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27210]
- 62 Huang TH, Hsieh CC, Kuo LM, Chang CC, Chen CH, Chi CC, Liu CH. Malnutrition associated with an increased risk of postoperative complications following hepatectomy in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. HPB (Oxford) 2019; 21: 1150-1155 [PMID: 30765200 DOI: 10.1016/j.hpb.2019.01.003
- Clavien PA, Barkun J, de Oliveira ML, Vauthey JN, Dindo D, Schulick RD, de Santibañes E, Pekolj J, Slankamenac K, Bassi C, Graf R, 63 Vonlanthen R, Padbury R, Cameron JL, Makuuchi M. The Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: five-year experience. Ann Surg 2009; 250: 187-196 [PMID: 19638912 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181b13ca2]
- 64 Wang B, Shelat VG, Chow JJL, Huey TCW, Low JK, Woon WWL, Junnarkar SP. Prehabilitation Program Improves Outcomes of Patients Undergoing Elective Liver Resection. J Surg Res 2020; 251: 119-125 [PMID: 32135382 DOI: 10.1016/j.jss.2020.01.009]
- McKay BP, Larder AL, Lam V. Pre-Operative vs. Peri-Operative Nutrition Supplementation in Hepatic Resection for Cancer: A Systematic 65 Review. Nutr Cancer 2019; 71: 179-198 [PMID: 30741015 DOI: 10.1080/01635581.2018.1560479]
- Wang J, Wang W, Zhu F, Duan Q. The role of branched chain amino acids metabolic disorders in tumorigenesis and progression. Biomed 66 Pharmacother 2022; 153: 113390 [PMID: 36076478 DOI: 10.1016/j.biopha.2022.113390]
- Ananieva EA, Wilkinson AC. Branched-chain amino acid metabolism in cancer. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2018; 21: 64-70 [PMID: 67 29211698 DOI: 10.1097/MCO.00000000000430]
- Lo EKK, Felicianna, Xu JH, Zhan Q, Zeng Z, El-Nezami H. The Emerging Role of Branched-Chain Amino Acids in Liver Diseases. 68 Biomedicines 2022; 10 [PMID: 35740464 DOI: 10.3390/biomedicines10061444]
- 69 Iwasa J, Shimizu M, Shiraki M, Shirakami Y, Sakai H, Terakura Y, Takai K, Tsurumi H, Tanaka T, Moriwaki H. Dietary supplementation with branched-chain amino acids suppresses diethylnitrosamine-induced liver tumorigenesis in obese and diabetic C57BL/KsJ-db/db mice. Cancer Sci 2010; 101: 460-467 [PMID: 19906067 DOI: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2009.01402.x]
- Cha JH, Bae SH, Kim HL, Park NR, Choi ES, Jung ES, Choi JY, Yoon SK. Branched-chain amino acids ameliorate fibrosis and suppress 70 tumor growth in a rat model of hepatocellular carcinoma with liver cirrhosis. PLoS One 2013; 8: e77899 [PMID: 24223741 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077899]
- Kang S, Song J, Kang H, Kim S, Lee Y, Park D. Insulin can block apoptosis by decreasing oxidative stress via phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-71 and extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase-dependent signaling pathways in HepG2 cells. Eur J Endocrinol 2003; 148: 147-155 [PMID: 12534368 DOI: 10.1530/eje.0.1480147]
- 72 Hagiwara A, Nishiyama M, Ishizaki S. Branched-chain amino acids prevent insulin-induced hepatic tumor cell proliferation by inducing apoptosis through mTORC1 and mTORC2-dependent mechanisms. J Cell Physiol 2012; 227: 2097-2105 [PMID: 21769869 DOI: 10.1002/jcp.22941]
- Yoshiji H, Noguchi R, Kitade M, Kaji K, Ikenaka Y, Namisaki T, Yoshii J, Yanase K, Yamazaki M, Tsujimoto T, Akahane T, Kawaratani H, 73 Uemura M, Fukui H. Branched-chain amino acids suppress insulin-resistance-based hepatocarcinogenesis in obese diabetic rats. J Gastroenterol 2009; 44: 483-491 [PMID: 19319465 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-009-0031-0]
- Tian Q, Yuan P, Quan C, Li M, Xiao J, Zhang L, Lu H, Ma T, Zou L, Wang F, Xue P, Ni X, Wang W, Liu L, Wang Z, Zhu F, Duan Q. 74 Phosphorylation of BCKDK of BCAA catabolism at Y246 by Src promotes metastasis of colorectal cancer. Oncogene 2020; 39: 3980-3996 [PMID: 32238881 DOI: 10.1038/s41388-020-1262-z]
- 75 Chi R, Yao C, Chen S, Liu Y, He Y, Zhang J, Ellies LG, Wu X, Zhao Q, Zhou C, Wang Y, Sun H. Elevated BCAA Suppresses the Development and Metastasis of Breast Cancer. Front Oncol 2022; 12: 887257 [PMID: 35785192 DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2022.887257]
- 76 Ericksen RE, Lim SL, McDonnell E, Shuen WH, Vadiveloo M, White PJ, Ding Z, Kwok R, Lee P, Radda GK, Toh HC, Hirschey MD, Han W. Loss of BCAA Catabolism during Carcinogenesis Enhances mTORC1 Activity and Promotes Tumor Development and Progression. Cell Metab 2019; 29: 1151-1165.e6 [PMID: 30661928 DOI: 10.1016/j.cmet.2018.12.020]
- Lai HF, Chau IY, Lei HJ, Chou SC, Hsia CY, Kao YC, Chau GY. Postoperative fever after liver resection: Incidence, risk factors, and 77 characteristics associated with febrile infectious complication. PLoS One 2022; 17: e0262113 [PMID: 35025947 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0262113]



- Moreno Elola-Olaso A, Davenport DL, Hundley JC, Daily MF, Gedaly R. Predictors of surgical site infection after liver resection: a 78 multicentre analysis using National Surgical Quality Improvement Program data. HPB (Oxford) 2012; 14: 136-141 [PMID: 22221576 DOI: 10.1111/j.1477-2574.2011.00417.x
- 79 D'Amico D, Cillo U. Impact of severe infections on the outcome of major liver surgery: a pathophysiologic and clinical analysis. J Chemother 1999; 11: 513-517 [PMID: 10678793 DOI: 10.1179/joc.1999.11.6.513]
- Schindl MJ, Redhead DN, Fearon KC, Garden OJ, Wigmore SJ; Edinburgh Liver Surgery and Transplantation Experimental Research Group 80 (eLISTER). The value of residual liver volume as a predictor of hepatic dysfunction and infection after major liver resection. Gut 2005; 54: 289-296 [PMID: 15647196 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2004.046524]
- Uchiyama K, Ueno M, Ozawa S, Kiriyama S, Kawai M, Hirono S, Tani M, Yamaue H. Risk factors for postoperative infectious complications 81 after hepatectomy. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2011; 18: 67-73 [PMID: 20676699 DOI: 10.1007/s00534-010-0313-1]
- 82 Figueiredo F, Dickson ER, Pasha T, Kasparova P, Therneau T, Malinchoc M, DiCecco S, Francisco-Ziller N, Charlton M. Impact of nutritional status on outcomes after liver transplantation. Transplantation 2000; 70: 1347-1352 [PMID: 11087151 DOI: 10.1097/00007890-200011150-00014
- Merli M, Giusto M, Gentili F, Novelli G, Ferretti G, Riggio O, Corradini SG, Siciliano M, Farcomeni A, Attili AF, Berloco P, Rossi M. 83 Nutritional status: its influence on the outcome of patients undergoing liver transplantation. Liver Int 2010; 30: 208-214 [PMID: 19840246 DOI: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2009.02135.x]
- Harrison J, McKiernan J, Neuberger JM. A prospective study on the effect of recipient nutritional status on outcome in liver transplantation. 84 Transpl Int 1997; 10: 369-374 [PMID: 9287402 DOI: 10.1007/s001470050072]
- Kaido T, Mori A, Oike F, Mizumoto M, Ogura Y, Hata K, Yoshizawa A, Iida T, Uemoto S. Impact of pretransplant nutritional status in 85 patients undergoing liver transplantation. Hepatogastroenterology 2010; 57: 1489-1492 [PMID: 21443108 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijid.2010.02.2072]
- Masuda T, Shirabe K, Yoshiya S, Matono R, Morita K, Hashimoto N, Ikegami T, Yoshizumi T, Baba H, Maehara Y. Nutrition support and 86 infections associated with hepatic resection and liver transplantation in patients with chronic liver disease. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2013; **37**: 318-326 [PMID: 22898793 DOI: 10.1177/0148607112456041]
- Calder PC. Branched-chain amino acids and immunity. J Nutr 2006; 136: 288S-293S [PMID: 16365100 DOI: 10.1093/jn/136.1.288S] 87
- Nakamura I, Ochiai K, Imawari M. Phagocytic function of neutrophils of patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis is restored by oral 88 supplementation of branched-chain amino acids. Hepatol Res 2004; 29: 207-211 [PMID: 15288012 DOI: 10.1016/j.hepres.2004.04.005]
- Nakamura I, Ochiai K, Imai Y, Moriyasu F, Imawari M. Restoration of innate host defense responses by oral supplementation of branched-89 chain amino acids in decompensated cirrhotic patients. Hepatol Res 2007; 37: 1062-1067 [PMID: 17608669 DOI: 10.1111/j.1872-034X.2007.00166.x]
- Tsukishiro T, Shimizu Y, Higuchi K, Watanabe A. Effect of branched-chain amino acids on the composition and cytolytic activity of liver-90 associated lymphocytes in rats. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2000; 15: 849-859 [PMID: 11022824 DOI: 10.1046/j.1440-1746.2000.02220.x]
- Ishizawa T, Hasegawa K, Kokudo N, Sano K, Imamura H, Beck Y, Sugawara Y, Makuuchi M. Risk factors and management of ascites after 91 liver resection to treat hepatocellular carcinoma. Arch Surg 2009; 144: 46-51 [PMID: 19153324 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.2008.511]
- Fernández-Esparrach G, Sánchez-Fueyo A, Ginès P, Uriz J, Quintó L, Ventura PJ, Cárdenas A, Guevara M, Sort P, Jiménez W, Bataller R, 92 Arroyo V, Rodés J. A prognostic model for predicting survival in cirrhosis with ascites. J Hepatol 2001; 34: 46-52 [PMID: 11211907 DOI: 10.1016/s0168-8278(00)00011-8
- Heuman DM, Abou-Assi SG, Habib A, Williams LM, Stravitz RT, Sanyal AJ, Fisher RA, Mihas AA. Persistent ascites and low serum sodium 93 identify patients with cirrhosis and low MELD scores who are at high risk for early death. Hepatology 2004; 40: 802-810 [PMID: 15382176 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20405]
- Chan KM, Lee CF, Wu TJ, Chou HS, Yu MC, Lee WC, Chen MF. Adverse outcomes in patients with postoperative ascites after liver 94 resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Surg 2012; 36: 392-400 [PMID: 22131090 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-011-1367-1]
- 95 Okuno M, Moriwaki H, Kato M, Muto Y, Kojima S. Changes in the ratio of branched-chain to aromatic amino acids affect the secretion of albumin in cultured rat hepatocytes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1995; 214: 1045-1050 [PMID: 7575508 DOI: 10.1006/bbrc.1995.2391]
- Moriwaki H, Miwa Y, Tajika M, Kato M, Fukushima H, Shiraki M. Branched-chain amino acids as a protein- and energy-source in liver 96 cirrhosis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2004; 313: 405-409 [PMID: 14684176 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2003.07.016]
- Fukushima H, Miwa Y, Shiraki M, Gomi I, Toda K, Kuriyama S, Nakamura H, Wakahara T, Era S, Moriwaki H. Oral branched-chain amino 97 acid supplementation improves the oxidized/reduced albumin ratio in patients with liver cirrhosis. Hepatol Res 2007; 37: 765-770 [PMID: 17573945 DOI: 10.1111/j.1872-034X.2007.00123.x]
- 98 Muto Y, Sato S, Watanabe A, Moriwaki H, Suzuki K, Kato A, Kato M, Nakamura T, Higuchi K, Nishiguchi S, Kumada H; Long-Term Survival Study Group. Effects of oral branched-chain amino acid granules on event-free survival in patients with liver cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005; 3: 705-713 [PMID: 16206505 DOI: 10.1016/s1542-3565(05)00017-0]
- Marchesini G, Bianchi G, Merli M, Amodio P, Panella C, Loguercio C, Rossi Fanelli F, Abbiati R; Italian BCAA Study Group. Nutritional 99 supplementation with branched-chain amino acids in advanced cirrhosis: a double-blind, randomized trial. Gastroenterology 2003; 124: 1792-1801 [PMID: 12806613 DOI: 10.1016/s0016-5085(03)00323-8]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

