

CHANGES MADE IN THE DRAFT

Respected editor,

Thanks for guidance.

There are many changes have been made in the document as per the reviewers' suggestion.

Sr No	Comments	Responses
1.	"No noteworthy differences in the total knowledge scores were found on the basis of duration of research experience, certification of GCP, and current academic position. This is shown in Table 2." - However, the p-value of 0.008 for the relationship between academic position and practice appears significant. There is also no explanation for the superscript a) preceding the p-value.	Supplementary table 1 and 2 was there that includes all significant findings, now attached to main text so that reviewer can go through.
2.	Similarly, in Fig 3, there is no explanation for the superscript b) preceding the p-value.	It is explained.
3.	All the significant findings and relationships noted in the text and abstract are not shown in the figures or tables. This is inappropriate since Figures and Tables are meant to show the most important parts of the results.	It is modified.
4.	Biostatistics Review Certificate: Please upload the PDF version of a statement affirming that the statistical review of the study was performed by a biomedical statistician to the system. See the attachment.	As there is no dept of Biostatitics in this institution, each study investigator has to do statistics by himself/herself with help of available software. Hence only IEC approval we can attach, if journal requires it mandatorily then investigator themselves can produce the same.
5.	Please make an audio record of your core tip. The accepted formats are: mp3 or wma.	It was submitted already, if not appropriate, let us know, it will be re-recorded.

Kindly review again and do needful.



Thanks again,

Dr PK Panda (Corresponding author)