# World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

World J Gastrointest Surg 2023 December 27; 15(12): 2674-2961





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

GS WŰ

## World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

#### Contents

#### Monthly Volume 15 Number 12 December 27, 2023

#### **REVIEW**

2674 Antimicrobial approach of abdominal post-surgical infections Fiore M, Corrente A, Di Franco S, Alfieri A, Pace MC, Martora F, Petrou S, Mauriello C, Leone S

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

- 2693 Indocyanine green fluorescence in gastrointestinal surgery: Appraisal of current evidence Kalayarasan R, Chandrasekar M, Sai Krishna P, Shanmugam D
- 2709 Post-cholecystectomy iatrogenic bile duct injuries: Emerging role for endoscopic management Emara MH, Ahmed MH, Radwan MI, Emara EH, Basheer M, Ali A, Elfert AA

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Case Control Study**

2719 Multidisciplinary diagnosis and treatment nutritional support intervention for gastrointestinal tumor radiotherapy: Impact on nutrition and quality of life

Hui L, Zhang YY, Hu XD

#### **Retrospective Cohort Study**

2727 Association between the early high level of serum tacrolimus and recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma in ABO-incompatible liver transplantation

Han JW, Choi JY, Jung ES, Kim JH, Cho HS, Yoo JS, Sung PS, Jang JW, Yoon SK, Choi HJ, You YK

2739 Determining the need for a thoracoscopic approach to treat a giant hiatal hernia when abdominal access is poor

Pérez Lara FJ, Zubizarreta Jimenez R, Prieto-Puga Arjona T, Gutierrez Delgado P, Hernández Carmona JM, Hernández Gonzalez JM, Pitarch Martinez M

2747 Predictive value of Hajibandeh index in determining peritoneal contamination in acute abdomen: A cohort study and meta-analysis

Hajibandeh S, Hajibandeh S, Evans L, Miller B, Waterman J, Ahmad SJ, Hale J, Higgi A, Johnson B, Pearce D, Helmy AH, Naguib N, Maw A

#### **Retrospective Study**

- Efficacy of pantoprazole plus perforation repair for peptic ulcer and its effect on the stress response 2757 Leng ZY, Wang JH, Gao L, Shi K, Hua HB
- 2765 Application of electroacupuncture in the prevention of low anterior resection syndrome after rectal cancer surgery

Xu LL, Xiang NJ, Cheng TC, Li YX, Chen P, Jiang ZW, Liu XX



Conton	World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery
Conten	Monthly Volume 15 Number 12 December 27, 2023
2774	Effects of remifentanil combined with propofol on hemodynamics and oxidative stress in patients undergoing resection of rectal carcinoma
	Huang J, Tian WJ
2783	Percutaneous microwave ablation and transcatheter arterial chemoembolization for serum tumor markers and prognostics of middle-late primary hepatic carcinoma
	Lin ZP, Huang DB, Zou XG, Chen Y, Li XQ, Zhang J
2792	Novel invagination procedure for pancreaticojejunostomy using double purse string sutures: A technical note
	Li J, Niu HY, Meng XK
2799	Laparoscopic resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection for treating gastric ectopic pancreas
	Zheng HD, Huang QY, Hu YH, Ye K, Xu JH
2809	Prediction of the lymphatic, microvascular, and perineural invasion of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors using preoperative magnetic resonance imaging
	Liu YL, Zhu HB, Chen ML, Sun W, Li XT, Sun YS
2820	Impact of hepatectomy and postoperative adjuvant transarterial chemoembolization on serum tumor markers and prognosis in intermediate-stage hepatocellular carcinoma
	Hu YD, Zhang H, Tan W, Li ZK
	Observational Study
2831	Analysis of nutritional risk, skeletal muscle depletion, and lipid metabolism phenotype in acute radiation enteritis
	Ma CY, Zhao J, Qian KY, Xu Z, Xu XT, Zhou JY
	Randomized Controlled Trial
2844	Holistic conditions after colon cancer: A randomized controlled trial of systematic holistic care vs primary care
	Wang J, Qiao JH
	Basic Study
2855	Mutational separation and clinical outcomes of <i>TP53</i> and <i>CDH1</i> in gastric cancer
	Liu HL, Peng H, Huang CH, Zhou HY, Ge J
2866	Hepatic vagotomy blunts liver regeneration after hepatectomy by downregulating the expression of interleukin-22
	Zhou H, Xu JL, Huang SX, He Y, He XW, Lu S, Yao B
	META-ANALTSIS

Recent evidence for subcutaneous drains to prevent surgical site infections after abdominal surgery: A 2879 systematic review and meta-analysis

Ishinuki T, Shinkawa H, Kouzu K, Shinji S, Goda E, Ohyanagi T, Kobayashi M, Kobayashi M, Suzuki K, Kitagawa Y, Yamashita C, Mohri Y, Shimizu J, Uchino M, Haji S, Yoshida M, Ohge H, Mayumi T, Mizuguchi T



<b>C</b>	World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery
Conten	Monthly Volume 15 Number 12 December 27, 2023
2890	Prognostic role of serum carcinoembryonic antigen in patients receiving liver resection for colorectal cancer liver metastasis: A meta-analysis
	Tang F, Huang CW, Tang ZH, Lu SL, Bai T, Huang Q, Li XZ, Zhang B, Wu FX
2907	Significance of carcinoembryonic antigen detection in the early diagnosis of colorectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis
	Wang R, Wang Q, Li P
	CASE REPORT
2919	Primary repair of esophageal atresia gross type C <i>via</i> thoracoscopic magnetic compression anastomosis: A case report
	Zhang HK, Li XQ, Song HX, Liu SQ, Wang FH, Wen J, Xiao M, Yang AP, Duan XF, Gao ZZ, Hu KL, Zhang W, Lv Y, Zhou XH, Cao ZJ
2926	Portal vein embolization for closure of marked arterioportal shunt of hepatocellular carcinoma to enable radioembolization: A case report
	Wang XD, Ge NJ, Yang YF
2932	Removal of a large rectal polyp with endoscopic submucosal dissection-trans-anal rectoscopic assisted minimally invasive surgery hybrid technique: A case report
	Polese L
2938	Successful treatment of invasive liver abscess syndrome caused by <i>Klebsiella variicola</i> with intracranial infection and septic shock: A case report
	Zhang PJ, Lu ZH, Cao LJ, Chen H, Sun Y
2945	Duodenojejunostomy treatment of groove pancreatitis-induced stenosis and obstruction of the horizontal duodenum: A case report
	Zhang Y, Cheng HH, Fan WJ
2954	Awake robotic liver surgery: A case report
	Delvecchio A, Pavone G, Conticchio M, Piacente C, Varvara M, Ferraro V, Stasi M, Casella A, Filippo R, Tedeschi M, Pullano C, Inchingolo R, Delmonte V, Memeo R



#### Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 12 December 27, 2023

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Lapo Bencini, PhD, General Surgery Unit, AOUC, Department of Oncology and Robotics, Careggi University Hospital, Florence 350134, Italy. lapbenc@tin.it

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery (WJGS, World J Gastrointest Surg) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, etc.

#### **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJGS as 2.0; IF without journal self cites: 1.9; 5-year IF: 2.2; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.52; Ranking: 113 among 212 journals in surgery; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 81 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q4.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Rui-Rui Wu; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-9366 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
November 30, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Peter Schemmer	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
December 27, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



S WĴ

## World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Surg 2023 December 27; 15(12): 2765-2773

DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i12.2765

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## **Retrospective Study** Application of electroacupuncture in the prevention of low anterior resection syndrome after rectal cancer surgery

Lu-Lu Xu, Neng-Jun Xiang, Tian-Cheng Cheng, Yi-Xian Li, Peng Chen, Zhi-Wei Jiang, Xin-Xin Liu

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

#### Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Ruengwongroj P, Thailand; Torres-Castillo S, Mexico

Received: September 27, 2023 Peer-review started: September 27, 2023

First decision: October 24, 2023 Revised: November 10, 2023 Accepted: November 24, 2023 Article in press: November 24, 2023 Published online: December 27, 2023



Lu-Lu Xu, Neng-Jun Xiang, Tian-Cheng Cheng, Yi-Xian Li, Peng Chen, The First Clinical Medical College, Nanjing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210023, Jiangsu Province, China

Zhi-Wei Jiang, Xin-Xin Liu, Department of General Surger, Jiangsu Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine Affiliated to Nanjing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu Province, China

Corresponding author: Xin-Xin Liu, MD, Doctor, Department of General Surger, Jiangsu Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine Affiliated to Nanjing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, No. 155 Hanzhong Road, Qinhuai District, Nanjing 210029, Jiangsu Province, China. liuxinxin2929@163.com

#### Abstract

#### BACKGROUND

Low anterior resection syndrome (LARS) is one of the common postoperative complications in patients with rectal cancer, which seriously affects their postoperative recovery and quality of life (QoL). Electroacupuncture therapy is one of the characteristic therapies of traditional Chinese medicine. There are few reports on the prevention and treatment of LARS by electroacupuncture therapy.

#### AIM

To explore the clinical effectiveness of electroacupuncture in managing rectal cancer patients with postoperative LARS.

#### **METHODS**

A total of 50 patients with LARS after rectal cancer surgery were retrospectively selected as the research subjects. According to the treatment methods, they were divided into an observation group (n = 25) and a control group (n = 25). During the four-week treatment period, the control group received standard defecation function training, while the observation group received electroacupuncture care and traditional defecation function training. The anal pressure index (which includes anal resting pressure, anal systolic pressure, and maximum tolerable volume), European Organization of Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QoL C30 (QLQ-C30) score, LARS Scale (LARSS) score, Wexner anal incontinence scale score, Xu Zhongfa five-item 10-point scale score, and the occurrence of adverse reactions were compared between the two groups before and after treatment.



#### RESULTS

The experimental group showed considerably enhanced LARSS scores compared to those in the control group after four weeks of treatment. In the first week, second week, and fourth week, the LARSS score and Wexner anal incontinence scale score decreased, and the Xu Zhong method five-item 10-point scale score increased, with significant differences (P < 0.05). The experimental group showed substantial improvements in anal resting pressure, anal systolic pressure, and maximum tolerance volume after undergoing 4 wk of therapy in the untreated group (P < 0.05). The experimental group's QLQ-C30 score on the EORTC QoL questionnaire was higher than that of the control group during the  $1^{st}$ ,  $2^{nd}$ , and  $4^{th}$  wk (P < 0.05). No significant variation between the groups in the frequency of adverse reactions (P > 0.05) was observed.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Electroacupuncture positively impacted LARS following rectal cancer surgery, effectively improving clinical symptoms and anal pressure indicators and patients' standard of life.

Key Words: Electroacupuncture; Low anterior resection syndrome; Rectal cancer

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Low anterior resection syndrome is a group of clinical syndromes that often occur in patients with rectal cancer after anus-preserving surgery, which seriously affects the postoperative rehabilitation effect of patients. Electroacupuncture therapy has the effects of improving immune function and regulating intestinal flora balance, but the prevention and treatment effect of anterior resection syndrome has not been reported in relevant literature. This study mainly analyzes the prevention and treatment effect of electroacupuncture on anterior resection syndrome, and provides a reference for clinical reduction of the incidence of anterior resection syndrome.

Citation: Xu LL, Xiang NJ, Cheng TC, Li YX, Chen P, Jiang ZW, Liu XX. Application of electroacupuncture in the prevention of low anterior resection syndrome after rectal cancer surgery. World J Gastrointest Surg 2023; 15(12): 2765-2773 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v15/i12/2765.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v15.i12.2765

#### INTRODUCTION

In China, rectal cancer is a prevalent digestive tract cancer. However, the development of numerous anal preservation procedures has significantly increased the chance of survival in rectal cancer patients in recent years[1]. However, after receiving anal preservation surgery, some patients experience a series of symptoms, such as urgency, frequency of stool, fecal incontinence, and functional stomach evacuation disturbance, which are collectively called low anterior resection syndrome (LARS), with an incidence of up to 56.0%[2], seriously affecting postoperative rehabilitation and patient quality of life (QoL). The specific pathophysiology of LARS after rectal cancer surgery remains unclear and may be related to increased neorectum motility, anal sphincter dysfunction, and other factors[3]. No specific treatments for this condition have been found, and Western medicine mainly adopts symptomatic treatment measures such as diet, drugs, and biofeedback[4]. In traditional Chinese medicine, there is no disease called LARS, but it can be classified into constipation and diarrhea according to its clinical symptoms. Traditional Chinese medicine includes internal and external methods for LARS treatments; as patients do not readily accept Chinese herbs because of their exceptional bitter taste, external methods include electroacupuncture, external application, and moxibustion. Some studies have found[5] that electroacupuncture therapy can improve immune function and regulate the balance of intestinal flora. There is no published research on the use of electroacupuncture in LARS after rectal cancer surgery. This study investigated the application value of electroacupuncture therapy for LARS in 50 patients to provide a reference for clinical treatment.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Clinical data

A total of 50 patients with LARS after rectal cancer surgery admitted to our hospital from May 2022 to May 2023 were retrospectively selected. The following were the criteria for inclusion: The patients received a pathological diagnosis of rectal cancer, met the surgical indications, and received surgical treatment; in line with the diagnostic criteria for LARS defined by the international consensus in 2020; age from 18 to 80 years; LARS Scale (LARSS) score  $\geq$  21 points; an expected survival > 6 mo; Karnofsky functional status score  $\geq$  60 points; willing agreement to take part in the research and provision of their signature on the informed consent document. The following criteria determined exclusion: Symptoms such as urgency, frequency of stool and fecal incontinence caused by infection, irritable bowel syndrome, and



radiation enteritis; metastatic rectal cancer; fistula; local tumor recurrence; previous history of anal or pelvic surgery; contraindications to electroacupuncture; and participation in other clinical trials. Fifty patients were divided into an observation group (n = 25) and a control group (n = 25) according to the different treatment methods. The experimental group comprised 7 females and 18 males, aged 36 to 69 (average age =  $66.21 \pm 5.62$ ) years. The distance between the tumor and the anal margin was 3-14 cm, averaging 7.92 ± 1.33 cm. In comparison, the control group consisted of 16 males and 9 females, whose ages ranged from 37-84 years, with an average age of  $66.07 \pm 5.84$  years and a distance between the tumor and anal margin of 5-14 cm and an average of  $7.62 \pm 1.47$  cm. The two groups had no appreciable differences in overall data (P > 0.05).

#### Therapeutic methods

The control group received routine defecation function training, including anal lifting exercise, anal contraction exercise, and defecation reflex training. For the anal lifting exercise, which was performed 3-4 times a day, the protocol mainly involved a squatting-standing-squatting sequential exercise, standing to shrink the anus, squatting to relax the anus, with 30 repetitions each time. For the anal contraction exercise, which was performed 2 times a day, mild and moderate contractions were performed, and then a diastole exercise was performed 10 times, each lasting 5-10 min. For the defecation reflex training, patients were advised to develop regular defecation habits. Based on the training given to the control group, the observational group received electroacupuncture treatment. Acupoint selection was made based on the brain-gut axis theory. Acupoint group 1 included Baihui, Yintang, Tianshu, Qihai, Guanyuan, Zusanli, and Shangjuxu. Acupoint group 2 included Shenshu, Pangguangshu, Ciliao, Zhongliao, and Huiyang. Hwato acupuncture needles (specification of 0.35 mm × 40 mm, Suzhou Medical Supplies Factory Co., LTD., Suxiezhunzhu 20162270970) and a Nanjing Suko electric therapy instrument (XS998) were selected. For the operation method, the patient was placed in the supine and prone positions first. The skin at the patient's acupoints and the operator's fingers were disinfected with 75% alcohol cotton balls.

After determining the acupoint again, the skin was fixed with the operator's left hand, and the needle was held in the right hand. The acupuncture point was pierced with the clamping needle method and pierced 1 inch straight. After manipulating the needle and feeling the Qi, the needle was connected to the Nanjing Suko electric therapy instrument. The method of electroacupuncture was to set the adjacent acupoints on the same side into a group, with a total of 4 groups. The waveform stimulation index was set as a dilatational wave (2/100 Hz), and the current intensity was 2 mA (depending on the patient's tolerance). The needle was kept in place for 20 min. After the needle was removed, the acupoint was pressed locally with a dry cotton ball. The treatment frequency was twice a week for 4 consecutive weeks. A scale evaluation was performed before treatment and at 1 wk, 2 wk, and 4 wk, and the anal resting pressure, rectal defecation threshold, and maximum tolerance volume were monitored during treatment.

#### Observation targets

The LARSS score, Wexner anal incontinence scale score, Xu Zhongfa 5-item 10-point scale score, and European Organization of Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QoL C30 (QLQ-C30) score were compared in both groups before and following 1, 2, and 4 wk of treatment. Anal pressure indices were evaluated between the experimental and control groups before and after treatment for 4 wk. The occurrence of adverse responses during treatment was recorded in both groups. LARSS scoring[6]. The scoring content included five aspects: Exhaust incontinence, loose stool incontinence, defecation times, frequent defecation, and urgency. The sum of all scores was the overall score, and the overall score was graded, with 0-20 as zero, 21-29 as mild, and 30-42 as severe. Wexner anal incontinence scale score[7]. The scoring content included the ability to control stool in different traits, the use of sanitary pads, and lifestyle changes. According to the anal incontinence frequency of "never", "<1 time/mo", ">1 time/mo", ">1 time/wk", and ">1 time/k", and ">1 time/k ", and ">1 time/k", and ">1 time/k d", the score was rated as 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 points, respectively, and a higher score suggested worse anal function. Xu Zhong used a 5-item 10-point scale. The scoring included the intention of excretion, ability to control defecation, frequency of defecation, sensory function, and defecation time. According to the severity, 0, 1, and 2 points were given, respectively, and a lower score indicated worse anal function. Anal pressure indicators: The anal resting pressure, rectal defecation threshold, and the patient's maximum tolerated volume in the two groups before and after therapy were detected by a DRIVE manometer produced by the Korea Libo Company. QoL: EORTC QLQ-C30[8] scoring included body, cognition, role, emotion, and social function aspects, with an overall rating of 100, where a higher number indicated an improved standard of life.

#### Statistical methods

SPSS v.25.0 was used to analyze and manage the data that were acquired. The mean ± SD was employed to express measurement data that followed a normal distribution, and the t test was utilized for data comparison. The chi-square test assessed the data after they had been tallied and expressed them as either occurrences or percentages. Statistical significance is indicated by a *P* value lower than 0.05.

#### RESULTS

#### LARSS grading comparison between the groups before and following treatment

Before treatment, no significant change in the LARSS grading within either group (P > 0.05) was observed. However, after four weeks of administration, the observation group showed significantly better LARSS grades than the control group (P





DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i12.2765 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 1 Low anterior resection syndrome scale score comparison of the two groups before and after therapy. aP < 0.05. LARSS: Low anterior resection syndrome scale.



#### Figure 2 Comparison of Wexner anal incontinence scale scores before and after treatment. $^{a}P < 0.05$ .

#### < 0.05, Table 1).

#### Comparing the two groups' LARSS grades before and following therapy

The results revealed no statistically substantial variance in LARSS scores among the observational and control groups before treatment (P > 0.05). The LARSS scores of both groups decreased after therapy (P < 0.05). The observation group exhibited substantially lower scores after 1, 2, and 4 wk of treatment (P < 0.05) than the control group (Table 2, Figure 1).

#### Comparison of the Wexner anal incontinence scale scores before and after treatment in both groups

The Wexner Anal Incontinence Scale score showed no considerable variance between the two groups before treatment (P > 0.05). Following treatment, there was an average reduction in scores for both groups (P < 0.05). The scores of the experimental group were substantially lower than those of the control group after one, two, and four weeks of treatment (P <0.05, Table 3, Figure 2).

#### Comparison of the Xu Zhongfa 5-item, 10-point scale scores before and after therapy in the two groups

Before therapy, there was no statistically significant variance between the two groups in the Xu Zhongfa 5-item, 10-point scale scores (P > 0.05). The scores in both groups improved following treatment (P < 0.05), and the experimental group's scores considerably outperformed the control group's scores after one week, two weeks, and four weeks of therapy (P <0.05, Table 4, Figure 3).

#### Comparison of anal pressure indicators between the observational and control groups

Before the treatment, there were no discernible differences between the observational and control groups' measurements of anal pressure (P > 0.05). Following treatment, the rectal defecation threshold, anal resting pressure, and maximum tolerance volume of the two groups were increased, and the indicators in the observational group were considerably higher than those in the control group (P < 0.05, Table 5).

#### Comparison of QoL scores pre- and posttreatment

Before therapy, the two groups showed no variance in the QoL score (P > 0.05). The results showed that both groups had improved scores after receiving treatment. Additionally, the scores of the observation group were substantially more significant than those of the control group following 1, 2, and 4 wk of administration (P < 0.05, Table 6, Figure 4).

#### Comparing the occurrence of adverse events between the two groups

The occurrence of side effects within the two groups did not show a significant variance (P > 0.05), as shown in Table 7.



Table 1 Comparing the two groups' low anterior resection syndrome scale grades before and following therapy ( <i>n</i> = 50)												
Groups	Before treatment			Following 1 wk of treatment		Following 2 wk of treatment		Following 4 wk of treatment				
	No	Mild	Severe	No	Mild	Severe	No	Mild	Severe	No	Mild	Severe
Observational group	0	6	19	1	7	17	6	9	10	11	10	4
Control group	0	8	17	0	9	16	1	10	14	5	8	12
$\chi^2$	0.397			1.280			4.291			6.472		
<i>P</i> value	0.529			0.527			0.117			0.039		

#### DISCUSSION

Based on incomplete statistics [9], 3/4 of patients with rectal cancer have low-middle rectal cancer. With the continuous development of surgical technology, many patients with medium-low rectal cancer receive low or ultralow anal preservation surgery. Anal preservation surgery can help patients avoid permanent ostomy surgery, but the occurrence of postoperative LARS will seriously affect the quality of a patient's life[10]. The specific pathogenesis of LARS after rectal cancer surgery remains unclear. Most investigations have found that the incidence of LARS may be correlated with factors such as increased neorectum motility, anal sphincter dysfunction, and nerve damage. No specific treatment methods have been confirmed in clinical treatment, and symptomatic treatment measures such as biofeedback and pelvic rehabilitation therapy are mostly adopted. Among them, defecation training therapy mainly adopts anal lifting, anal retraction, and defecation reflex training to promote intestinal peristalsis and then reduce the threshold of rectal distention sensation to achieve a therapeutic effect[11].

In traditional Chinese medicine, there is no disease called "postoperative LARS of rectal cancer"; however, this condition is included in the categories of "constipation" and "diarrhea" according to its clinical symptoms and signs, and the defecation function of patients is closely associated with the anus. Previous studies have pointed out[12] that intraoperative sympathetic and parasympathetic nerve injury is an essential factor causing postoperative LARS in patients with rectal cancer. Electroacupuncture therapy is a kind of external treatment in traditional Chinese medicine that produces a continuous stimulus on the human body surface, which helps to repair the nerve injury of patients and further relieves the symptoms of defecation disorder[13]. Baliao points selected by electroacupuncture in this study belong to the Taiyang Bladder Meridian of Foot, which affects diseases of the urinary, anal and intestinal systems. From the perspective of anatomical structure, there are abundant sacral nerves near Baliao points, and the sacral nerves innervate the muscles around the anus. Some studies have found<sup>[14]</sup> that treating patients undergoing mixed hemorrhoidal surgery by acupuncture at Baliao points can improve the clinical efficacy, relieve anorectal pressure, reduce postoperative anal distension pain, and promote postoperative recovery.

In this study, electroacupuncture was applied with defecation training to treat postoperative LARS patients with rectal cancer. The observation group showed a significant improvement in the LARSS grade, a lower LARSS score, and a lower Wexner anal incontinence scale score compared to the control group. The Xu Zhongfa 5-item 10-point scale score was higher in the experimental group. The present findings indicate that electroacupuncture is an effective treatment for LARS after rectal cancer surgery and can improve the clinical symptoms experienced by patients. Following treatment, the observation group's anal resting pressure, anal canal systolic pressure, and maximum tolerated volume were substantially higher than those of the control group. The EORTC QLQ-C30 score was significantly different from that in the

#### Xu LL et al. Electroacupuncture treatment of LARS

Table 2 Before and after therapy low anterior resection syndrome scale score comparison of the two groups (points, mean ± SD)							
Group	n	Before treatment	Following 1 wk of treatment	Following 2 wk of treatment	Following 4 wk of treatment		
Observation group	25	36.89 ± 3.45	$30.64 \pm 5.23^{a}$	$20.27 \pm 2.15^{a}$	$15.21 \pm 3.62^{a}$		
Control group	25	36.62 ± 3.59	33.37 ± 3.67 <sup>a</sup>	$28.34 \pm 1.84^{a}$	$21.84 \pm 2.51^{a}$		
T value		0.271	2.136	14.259	7.497		
<i>P</i> value		0.756	0.038	< 0.001	< 0.001		

 $^{a}P < 0.05 vs$  pretreatment and treated groups.

Table 3 Comparison of the pretreatment and posttreatment scores on the Wexner anal incontinence scale (points, mean ± SD)							
Group	n	Before treatment	After 1 wk of treatment	After 2 wk of treatment	After 4 wk of treatment		
Observation group	25	$10.45 \pm 1.27$	$8.33 \pm 2.21^{a}$	$5.08 \pm 0.89^{a}$	$4.37 \pm 0.74^{a}$		
Control group	25	$10.13 \pm 1.48$	$9.56 \pm 1.84^{a}$	$7.22 \pm 0.97^{a}$	$6.52 \pm 0.89^{a}$		
T value		0.820	2.139	8.128	9.288		
<i>P</i> value		0.416	0.038	< 0.001	< 0.001		

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.05 vs pretreatment and treated groups.

Table 4 Comparison of Xu Zhongfa's 5-item, 10-point scale scores in both groups (points, mean ± SD)							
Group	n	Before treatment	After 1 wk of treatment	After 2 wk of treatment	After 4 wk of treatment		
Observation group	25	$6.21 \pm 0.88$	$7.75 \pm 0.67^{a}$	$8.93 \pm 0.65^{a}$	$9.12 \pm 0.57^{a}$		
Control group	25	$6.05\pm0.93$	$6.94 \pm 0.74^{a}$	$8.02 \pm 0.73^{a}$	$8.63 \pm 0.44^{a}$		
T value		0.625	4.057	4.655	3.402		
<i>P</i> value		0.535	0.709	< 0.001	< 0.001		

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.05 vs pretreatment and treated groups.

#### Table 5 Comparison of anal pressure indicators between observational and control groups (mmHg, mean ± SD)

		Anal resting pressure		Rectal defecation	on threshold	Maximum tolerance volume		
Group	n	Before treatment	After 4 wk of treatment	Before treatment	After 4 wk of treatment	Before treatment	After 4 wk of treatment	
Observation al group	25	49.51 ± 3.53	$59.73 \pm 2.12^{a}$	85.32 ± 3.34	115.54 ± 5.62 <sup>a</sup>	122.35 ± 9.84	$134.12 \pm 7.82^{a}$	
Control group	25	$49.34 \pm 3.62$	$54.41 \pm 2.86^{a}$	$85.09 \pm 3.62$	$107.31 \pm 6.49^{a}$	$120.18\pm10.45$	$128.36 \pm 11.41^{a}$	
T value		0.168	7.472	0.233	4.793	0.756	2.082	
P value		0.867	0.000	0.816	0.000	0.453	0.043	

 $^{a}P < 0.05 vs$  pretreatment and treated groups.

control group, indicating that adding electroacupuncture was more beneficial to the standard of the patient's life and improved the anal pressure indicators. The reason may be that electroacupuncture therapy can produce continuous and effective stimulation to patients' Baihui, Yintang, Tianshu, and Qihai acupoints, thus facilitating patients' intraoperative nerve injury, enhancing autonomic nerve innervation, and relieving the symptoms of anal sphincter dysfunction[15]. Combined with defecation training, electroacupuncture therapy can further improve patients' intestinal function and alleviate their clinical symptoms. Additionally, there was no statistically significant difference in the occurrence of negative side effects between the two groups during treatment, demonstrating that the use of hot compress therapy and

Baishideng® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 6 Comparison of the quality of life scores before and after treatment (points, mean ± SD)							
Group	n	Before treatment	Following 1 wk of treatment	Following 2 wk of treatment	Following 4 wk of treatment		
Observational group	25	$60.52 \pm 8.55$	$68.27 \pm 8.54^{a}$	$80.81 \pm 6.92^{a}$	85.56 ± 5.26 <sup>a</sup>		
Control group	25	$60.23 \pm 8.67$	$64.18 \pm 7.21^{a}$	$73.35 \pm 7.88^{a}$	$78.64 \pm 4.33^{a}$		
T value		0.119	2.277	3.557	5.079		
<i>P</i> value		0.906	0.027	0.001	< 0.001		

 $^{a}P < 0.05 vs$  pretreatment and treated groups.

Table 7 Comparing the occurrence of adverse events between the two groups							
Group	n	Local bleeding (cases)	Dizziness (cases)	Fever (cases)	Total incidence (%)		
Observation group	25	1	1	0	8.00		
Control group	25	0	1	0	4.00		
χ <sup>2</sup>					0.355		
<i>P</i> value					0.552		



DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i12.2765 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 3 Comparison of scores on the Xu Zhongfa 5-item, 10-point scale between the observational and control groups. P < 0.05.



DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v15.i12.2765 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

#### Figure 4 Comparison of quality-of-life scores within the two groups pre- and posttreatment. aP < 0.05.

electroacupuncture would not increase negative side effects and confirming a high degree of safety.

#### CONCLUSION

In summary, electroacupuncture effectively alleviated patients' clinical symptoms, improved the level of anal pressure indicators and QoL, showed promising clinical efficacy and good safety in treating postoperative LARS for rectal cancer and is worthy of promotion in clinical practice. There are some deficiencies in this study. If the sample size is too small, it is a retrospective study. In the future, the sample size will be expanded and multi-center prospective trials will be carried



Saishideng® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

out to further verify the research conclusions.

### **ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

#### Research background

In the future, the effect of electroacupuncture on the prevention and treatment of other postoperative complications in patients with rectal cancer will be further studied, and more laboratory indicators will be added to explore the mechanism of electroacupuncture.

#### Research motivation

The results of this study show that electroacupuncture is effective in treating low anterior resection syndrome (LARS) after rectal cancer surgery. It can effectively improve the clinical symptoms and anal pressure indicators of patients, improve the quality of life (QoL), and help to provide a convenient and effective treatment for clinical prevention and treatment of LARS after rectal cancer surgery.

#### Research objectives

The results of this study showed that compared with the simple western medicine treatment, the combination of electroacupuncture therapy on the basis of conventional western medicine treatment was helpful to improve the LARS Scale (LARSS) score classification and anal pressure index, reduce the LARSS score, Wexner anal incontinence scale score, improve the Xu Zhongfa five ten scale score and QoL, with less adverse reactions, but the impact on postoperative laboratory indicators of rectal cancer patients still needs further study.

#### Research methods

This study mainly adopts a retrospective cohort study. The observation indexes mainly analyze the changes of Wexner anal incontinence scale score, Xu Zhongfa five-tenth scale score and European Organization of Research and Treatment of Cancer QoL C30 score at different time points, which is helpful to dynamically observe the application value of electroacupuncture therapy.

#### Research results

This study mainly verifies the effect of electroacupuncture therapy on preventing and reducing the severity of LARS, which is helpful to improve the QoL of patients and promote their postoperative recovery. It is helpful to further promote electroacupuncture therapy and prevent the occurrence of LARS in patients with rectal cancer.

#### Research conclusions

This study mainly discusses the effect of electroacupuncture therapy on prevention and treatment, reduction of the severity of LARS and postoperative QoL of patients, so as to provide reference for clinical prevention and treatment.

#### Research perspectives

Some patients with rectal cancer are prone to LARS after receiving sphincter-preserving surgery, with a high incidence and a greater impact on the prognosis of patients. Electroacupuncture therapy is a continuous external treatment of traditional Chinese medicine through the human body surface, which helps to improve the patient's nerve injury and further alleviate the symptoms of defecation disorders. This study mainly analyzes the application value of electroacupuncture therapy in the prevention and treatment of postoperative LARS in patients with rectal cancer, in order to provide a basis for clinical prevention and treatment.

#### FOOTNOTES

Co-first authors: Lu-Lu Xu and Neng-Jun Xiang.

Author contributions: Xu LL and Xiang NJ contributed equally to this work and are co-first authors, including design of the study, acquiring and analyzing data from experiments, and writing of the actual manuscript; Xu LL, Xiang NJ and Li YX designed the experiment and conducted clinical data collection; Liu XX, Cheng TC and Chen P performed postoperative follow-up and recorded data; Xu LL, Xiang NJ, Jiang ZW and Liu XX conducted a number of collation and statistical analysis; all the authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Supported by Jiangsu Province Traditional Chinese Medicine Technology Development Plan Project, No. ZD201903; China Medical Education Association, No. 2022KTZ005.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Jiangsu Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine Affiliated to Nanjing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine.

Informed consent statement: All study participants or their legal guardian provided informed written consent about personal and



WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com

medical data collection prior to study enrolment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: We have no financial relationships to disclose.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Zhi-Wei Jiang 0000-0002-8631-3854; Xin-Xin Liu 0000-0003-1092-236X.

S-Editor: Qu XL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Yu HG

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Nguyen TH, Chokshi RV. Low Anterior Resection Syndrome. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2020; 22: 48 [PMID: 32749603 DOI: 10.1007/s11894-020-00785-z]
- 2 Bulfone G, Del Negro F, Del Medico E, Cadorin L, Bressan V, Stevanin S. Rehabilitation strategies for low anterior resection syndrome. A systematic review. Ann Ist Super Sanita 2020; 56: 38-47 [PMID: 32242534 DOI: 10.4415/ANN\_20\_01\_07]
- 3 Ye L, Huang M, Huang Y, Yu K, Wang X. Risk factors of postoperative low anterior resection syndrome for colorectal cancer: A metaanalysis. Asian J Surg 2022; 45: 39-50 [PMID: 34362620 DOI: 10.1016/j.asjsur.2021.05.016]
- 4 Christensen P, Im Baeten C, Espín-Basany E, Martellucci J, Nugent KP, Zerbib F, Pellino G, Rosen H; MANUEL Project Working Group. Management guidelines for low anterior resection syndrome - the MANUEL project. *Colorectal Dis* 2021; 23: 461-475 [PMID: 33411977 DOI: 10.1111/codi.15517]
- Keane C, Fearnhead NS, Bordeianou L, Christensen P, Espin Basany E, Laurberg S, Mellgren A, Messick C, Orangio GR, Verjee A, Wing K, Bissett I; LARS International Collaborative Group. International consensus definition of low anterior resection syndrome. *Colorectal Dis* 2020; 22: 331-341 [PMID: 32037685 DOI: 10.1111/codi.14957]
- 6 Emmertsen KJ, Laurberg S. Low anterior resection syndrome score: development and validation of a symptom-based scoring system for bowel dysfunction after low anterior resection for rectal cancer. *Ann Surg* 2012; 255: 922-928 [PMID: 22504191 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e31824f1c21]
- 7 Alavi K, Chan S, Wise P, Kaiser AM, Sudan R, Bordeianou L. Fecal Incontinence: Etiology, Diagnosis, and Management. J Gastrointest Surg 2015; 19: 1910-1921 [PMID: 26268955 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-015-2905-1]
- 8 Arraras JI, Suárez J, Arias de la Vega F, Vera R, Asín G, Arrazubi V, Rico M, Teijeira L, Azparren J. The EORTC Quality of Life questionnaire for patients with colorectal cancer: EORTC QLQ-CR29 validation study for Spanish patients. *Clin Transl Oncol* 2011; 13: 50-56 [PMID: 21239355 DOI: 10.1007/s12094-011-0616-y]
- 9 Sandberg S, Asplund D, Bisgaard T, Bock D, González E, Karlsson L, Matthiessen P, Ohlsson B, Park J, Rosenberg J, Skullman S, Sörensson M, Angenete E. Low anterior resection syndrome in a Scandinavian population of patients with rectal cancer: a longitudinal follow-up within the QoLiRECT study. *Colorectal Dis* 2020; 22: 1367-1378 [PMID: 32346917 DOI: 10.1111/codi.15095]
- 10 Dulskas A, Smolskas E, Kildusiene I, Samalavicius NE. Treatment possibilities for low anterior resection syndrome: a review of the literature. Int J Colorectal Dis 2018; 33: 251-260 [PMID: 29313107 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-017-2954-x]
- 11 Özin Y, Öztürk Ö, Tenlik I, Yüksel S, Bacaksız F, Arı D, Ramadan SU, Yalınkılıç ZM. Efficacy of combination of biofeedback therapy and pelvic floor muscle training in dyssynergic defecation. Acta Gastroenterol Belg 2021; 84: 577-583 [PMID: 34965039 DOI: 10.51821/84.4.008]
- Sun R, Dai Z, Zhang Y, Lu J, Xiao Y. The incidence and risk factors of low anterior resection syndrome (LARS) after sphincter-preserving surgery of rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Support Care Cancer* 2021; 29: 7249-7258 [PMID: 34296335 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-021-06326-2]
- Bai H, Gu RJ, Chen LY, Qian Y, Yu ML, Xu SL, Xia XF, Liu YC, Zhang HR, Gu YH, Lu SF. Electroacupuncture interventions alleviates myocardial ischemia reperfusion injury through regulating gut microbiota in rats. *Microvasc Res* 2021; 138: 104235 [PMID: 34453991 DOI: 10.1016/j.mvr.2021.104235]
- 14 Ye S, Zhou J, Guo X, Jiang X. Three Acupuncture Methods for Postoperative Pain in Mixed Hemorrhoids: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. Comput Math Methods Med 2022; 2022: 5627550 [PMID: 36199772 DOI: 10.1155/2022/5627550]
- 15 Wang L, An J, Song S, Mei M, Li W, Ding F, Liu S. Electroacupuncture preserves intestinal barrier integrity through modulating the gut microbiota in DSS-induced chronic colitis. *Life Sci* 2020; 261: 118473 [PMID: 32971101 DOI: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118473]

Raisbidena® WJGS | https://www.wjgnet.com



### Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

