

**Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery**

**Manuscript NO: 87804**

**Title: Unraveling the Efficacy Network: A Network Meta-Analysis of Adjuvant External Beam Radiation Therapy Methods after Hepatectomy**

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

On behalf of all the contributing authors, I would like to express our sincere appreciations of your letter and reviewers' constructive comments concerning our article entitled "Unraveling the Efficacy Network: A Network Meta-Analysis of Adjuvant External Beam Radiation Therapy Methods after Hepatectomy" (Manuscript No. 87804). The comments are all valuable, and helpful for improving our article. Based on the comments, we have made extensive modifications to our manuscript and supplemented the article with additional data to make the results more convincing. In the revised manuscript changes are highlighted with yellow colored text. Below, we have provided point-by-point responses to the reviewer comments.

**Reviewer #1:**

1. A novel article exploring radiation therapy

**Reply:** Thank you very much for your valuable suggestion.

**Editorial comments:**

I recommend the manuscript to be published in the World Journal of Gastrointestinal

Surgery. When revising the manuscript, it is recommended that the author supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply PubMed, or a new tool, the RCA, of which data source is PubMed. RCA is a unique artificial intelligence system for citation index evaluation of medical science and life science literature. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>, or visit PubMed at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>.

**Reply:** Thank you for your suggestion! We invited a third researcher to review the relevant literature again based on the review comments. We are pleased to have added two additional articles to include in this study, thereby improving the quality of the analysis. Thank you again for your suggestion.