

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Manuscript NO: 87822

Title: UKA METALLOSSIS TREATED WITH UNI-ON-UNI REVISION: A CASE REPORT
AND REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03999237

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MS

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-03 13:43

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-08 09:36

Review time: 4 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written article case details well described good clinical pictures, xrays and follow up 2 references to be included Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2020 Nov;140(11):1791-1808. doi: 10.1007/s00402-020-03560-x. Epub 2020 Jul 26. Metallosis after knee replacement: a review Ismail Sahan 1, Konstantinos Anagnostakos 2 PMID: 32715399 DOI: 10.1007/s00402-020-03560-x Multicenter Study Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2014 Feb;100(1):141-5. doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2013.10.013. Epub 2013 Dec 27. Is unicompartamental-to-unicompartamental revision knee arthroplasty a reliable option? Case-control study J A Epinette 1, M Leyder 2, D Saragaglia 3, G Pasquier 4, G Deschamps 5; Société Française de la Hanche et du Genou PMID: 24373806 DOI: 10.1016/j.otsr.2013.10.013 Unicompartamental Knee arthroplasty - metallosis has been reported earlier uni on uni revision has also been described The report has been written well The discussion has to be modified to present the unique features that are different from existing literature