

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 87852

Title: An unusual case of sporadic gastrinoma with refractory benign esophageal

stricture

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03479748 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sweden

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-08 07:32

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-17 10:56

Review time: 9 Days and 3 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity to read this interesting casse report on sporadic gastrinoma followed by esophageal stricture. A rare condition with an interesting presentation. Did you follow up on the patient making sure that she was taking PPI while she developed the stricture? When the patient failed to respond to previous EIT treatments did you consider changing treatment ie to stent for example? Were biopsies taken from the esophagus to exclude cancer in the stricture? Were blood samples taken postoperatively to exclude persisting elevated gastrin levels which could be due to generalized disease? The novelty of the paper could be questioned, however the combination of diseases in the case report is rare.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 87852

Title: An unusual case of sporadic gastrinoma with refractory benign esophageal

stricture

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03337874 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BM BCh, FACS, FRCS, FRCS (Ed)

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-18 13:46

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-19 04:28

Review time: 14 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
ino manaccipt	[] Glade D. 140 cleanvity of illiovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for asking me to review the manuscript describing a rare case of a rare tumor- Gastrinoma. It may be a good addition to reported literature on the subject. I will suggest following to improve the manuscript in context of comments on uploaded file of reviewed manuscript. 1. English language and grammar need improvement. Overall, manuscript need brevity and trimming. Perhaps help from a English expert is needed 2. Objectives of reporting the case needs clarity 3. Why such a radical surgical procedure rather than a simple procedure e.g., enucleation, Pylous preserving pancreatectomy in a young person. Authors may like to highlight the oesophageal problem. 4. Too many references for a case report. Authors may want to limit these to 7-10 5. Too many photographs! Authors may want to put representative MRI, Endoscopy and histology in one frame to save precious journal space.