



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 87872

**Title:** Effects of remifentanil combined with propofol on hemodynamics and oxidative stress in patients undergoing resection of rectal carcinoma

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 07746282

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** Greece

**Author’s Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-10-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-10-27 09:16

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-11-07 09:10

**Review time:** 10 Days and 23 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The article with the title “Effects of remifentanyl combined with propofol on hemodynamics and oxidative stress in patients undergoing resection of rectal carcinoma” is in generally well done. Authors evaluated the effects of remifentanyl combined with propofol on the hemodynamics and oxidative stress in patients undergoing rectal carcinoma surgery. These findings indicate that this combination improves hemodynamic stability, reduces oxidative stress, and results in a lower incidence of hypotension than sufentanyl alone. The design is smart and elegant. Overall, the work is well-written and the results are quite interesting. The figures and tables help the readers to make a more understanding of the study. However, some concerns have been noted including: -The results of operation, anesthesia and extubation time in the two groups are only presented in the pictures at present. Are there more exact data? -Regarding the occurrence of adverse reactions, the description in the results section is too simple. I suggested that the main adverse reactions should also be described in the text results.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 87872

**Title:** Effects of remifentanyl combined with propofol on hemodynamics and oxidative stress in patients undergoing resection of rectal carcinoma

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 07746254

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Australia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-10-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-10-26 00:45

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-11-07 10:05

**Review time:** 12 Days and 9 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The primary treatment of rectal carcinoma is laparoscopic surgery, which can induce significant hemodynamic changes and weaken immune function. It is necessary to understand the impact of different anesthesia methods on hemodynamics and oxidative stress during RC. In this retrospective study, by comparing the hemodynamic indices, oxidative stress indices, general data, consumption of remifentanil, and use of vasoactive agents of patients receiving remifentanil anesthesia and remifentanil combined with propofol anesthesia, Huang J and his colleagues concluded that remifentanil combined with propofol can improve hemodynamics and relieve oxidative stress in patients undergoing RC resection. It's well designed and the manuscript is appropriately written. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work and the background clearly report the present status of research in this field and the significance of the study. I congratulate the authors for their successful work. Language polishing is needed to make best sense of reading. Sincerely