



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Diabetes*

**Manuscript NO:** 87873

**Title:** MicroRNA-630 alleviates inflammatory reactions in rats with diabetic kidney disease by targeting toll-like receptor 4

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05224683

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** DSc, MSc

**Professional title:** Postdoc, Postdoctoral Fellow, Research Scientist, Senior Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Bangladesh

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-08-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-10-17 08:58

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-10-17 09:01

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



# Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://www.wjgnet.com**

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for nice article.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Diabetes*

**Manuscript NO:** 87873

**Title:** MicroRNA-630 alleviates inflammatory reactions in rats with diabetic kidney disease by targeting toll-like receptor 4

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05914645

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Netherlands

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-08-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-12-13 07:34

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-12-22 09:30

**Review time:** 9 Days and 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1 The title reflects the hypothesis of the manuscript. 2 The abstract summarizes and reflects the work (just the 1st and 2nd sentence under methods are saying absolutely the same thing and in the sentence after the second sentence there is lack of clarity which has not been clarified in the main manuscript). 3 The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4 The manuscript describes the background present state and importance of this study. ( a correction in the second sentence of introduction- while GFR declines in DKD, albuminuria progresses- in contrast to decline as stated by the authors) 5 The methods are described in adequate detail (i just have a minor detail I need clarification as mentioned under the abstract. 6 Results. The research objectives are achieved by the results of this experiment. The results established a clear link between microRNA-680 and inflammatory markers and interstitial fibrosis associated with DKD. The results show that microRNA-630 could be a potential biomarker for DKD and potentially a therapeutic target. 7 The manuscript interprets the results adequately and appropriately and their discussion is very relevant to clinical practice. 8 The illustrations and tables are good and describe the results clearly perhaps a timeline would have addressed the



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://www.wjgnet.com**

question I raised about about the lack of clarity of the third sentence in the methods under methods of abstract. 9 The manuscript meets the biostatistic requirements, 10 The manuscript meets the requirements of SI unit 11 References are good. 12 The manuscript is well presented, concise and coherent. 13 Authors prepared manuscript to Arrive standards (no document seen though). 14 Ethics statements.I cant find the ethical statement for animals, but since grants were given I suppose it id available but author have not provided could the author please provide, The study is a very important one providing insights molecular markers that may influence the developement and progression of DKD to ESRD and potentially introduces a clinical marker and potential therapeutic target, My specific comment were already addressed under the points. I would attach a document where these areas are highlighted,