

Dear Editor

World Journal of Clinical Cases.

Thank you for allowing us to submit a revised draft of our manuscript. We appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers have dedicated to providing your valuable feedback on my manuscript.

Comments from the reviewers with a response

S/no.	Reviewer questions	Responses
1	Title: The title is concise and relevant to the content of the manuscript. It might be helpful to add "Prospective Study" to the beginning to clearly state the nature of the research.	The title has been modified accordingly.
2	Abstract: Consider mentioning the number of patients in the study within the abstract. The term "maneuvers" is misspelled and should be "maneuvers" unless UK English is the standard for the journal.	The changes have been incorporated.
3	Introduction: There is repetition of information about the paucity of literature on arthroscopic findings post MUA. This can be streamlined for clarity.	The introduction has been adjusted accordingly.
4	Ensure all the references are chronologically ordered. For example, the reference numbers "1" and "2-4" should ideally come before "5-6".	The references have been chronologically mentioned.
5	Aims and Objectives: This section is already briefly covered in the Abstract. Consider integrating it with the Introduction to avoid repetition or provide more detailed context here.	This has been incorporated into the introduction.
6	Materials and Methods: Specify the criteria used to exclude patients from the study. Mention if any ethical approvals were obtained for the study and if informed consent was taken from the patients.	The changes have been incorporated.
7	Consider detailing the MRI techniques or the specific MRI sequences used.	The details have been mentioned in the manuscript
8	Describe the aftercare given to the patients post-operation and the duration of their follow-up.	The same has been mentioned in the manuscript.
9	Results: Consider using figures or diagrams to illustrate some of the findings for easier comprehension. The table is helpful; ensure it is properly formatted in the final version.	The table has been reformatted for easier comprehension.
10	Discussion: The discussion does a good job of	The discussion has been

	comparing the current findings with existing literature. It might be beneficial to discuss the clinical implications of these findings in more detail, particularly for surgeons.	reformatted.
11	Any limitations of the study should be mentioned and potential areas for future research.	The limitations have been mentioned
12	General Points: Ensure that the abbreviations used (like MUA, MRI, etc.) are introduced at their first mention in the text	The changes have been incorporated
13	There are some minor typographical and grammatical errors that need rectification (e.g., "efficacious" should be "efficacious", "rotatot" should be "rotator", "tretment" should be "treatment")	The grammatical errors have been rectified
14	Consider revising sentences to avoid passive voice where possible for clearer reading.	The manuscript has been adjusted accordingly
15	Conclusion: The conclusion is informative but could be more concise. Summarize the main findings and their clinical implications in a more succinct manner. A brief mention of the significance of the study in guiding future MUA practices might add value.	The conclusion has been rewritten
16	References: Ensure all cited references are included in the list and are in the required format of the journal.	All the references have been included.

Thank you

Ravi Mittal

