



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 87979

Title: Use of Inflammatory Markers as Predictor for Mechanical Ventilation in COVID-19 Patients with Stages IIIb-V Chronic Kidney Disease?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 05382254

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Associate Chief Physician, Doctor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: China

Author’s Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-04

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-07 10:53

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-11 15:51

Review time: 4 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this retrospective study of 118 patients with COVID-19 combined with stage IIIb-V chronic kidney disease, the authors aimed to illustrate the correlation between CRP, ferritin, and D-dimer levels and invasive and noninvasive mechanical ventilation, thus demonstrating a good clinical predictive value for the need for mechanical ventilation in the COVID-19 combined with chronic kidney disease population. The article is better structured with adequate data. There are a few shortcomings, and the authors are advised to revise them.

1. The authors found that CRP, ferritin, LDH, and D-dimer were good predictors of invasive mechanical ventilation, and CRP, ferritin, and D-dimer were good predictors of noninvasive mechanical ventilation, and further clarification of the mechanism or rationale for this is recommended.
2. Further clarification is recommended as to why the authors chose patients with stages IIIb-V chronic kidney disease.
3. Proposed the addition of references at "In our study, the demographic variables were similar to the previous studies.", "Initial studies demonstrated increased levels of inflammatory markers in COVID-19 patients that directly correlated with the disease severity." and "Although markers such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) were initially



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

explored, they are cost-prohibitive and thus unsuitable for routine monitoring in COVID-19 patients." 4. It is suggested that the word "Discussion" in the ABSTRACT section be replaced by the word "Conclusion".