

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 87980

Title: Rapid transformation of branched pancreatic duct-derived intraductal

tubulopapillary neoplasm into an invasive carcinoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02687374 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Director, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-05

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-26 09:13

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-08 16:51

Review time: 13 Days and 7 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper reported the diagnosis and treatment process of an ITPN patient. After comprehensive treatment for pancreatic cancer, the patient is currently in a stable disease state and has achieved a relatively good treatment outcome. The case presented in the article has a certain degree of typicality and provides some reference value for clinical work. The clinical data collection is comprehensive, and the diagnostic and treatment process is standardized. Here are two suggestions for the author to consider and revise before publication. First, ITPN should be distinguished from other pancreatic tumors such as IPMN. It is suggested that the author include discussions on differentiating these two conditions in terms of imaging or laboratory tests, for example. Second, it is recommended to add rulers to the imaging images.