



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 88124

Title: An isolated axillary tumor deposit consistent with primary breast carcinoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 03507996

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Professor, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Italy

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-18 11:26

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-26 11:22

Review time: 7 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this paper the authors aim to make some thoughts on the role of tumor deposits in breast cancer patients through a case study and literature review. The paper is scientifically satisfactory, rigorous and well documented. The title reflect the main subject of the manuscript, the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the paper, the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. The manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study, and describe methods in adequate detail. Pictures are adequate and the bibliography is good. Even though this is only one clinical case, however compatible with the rarity of the pathology, the study contributes significantly in defining whether to include tumor deposits in the prognosis staging and guiding treatment for breast cancer patients.