

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Hepatology*

**Manuscript NO:** 88135

**Title:** Hepatic pseudotumor associated with Strongyloides infection: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 04611317

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Chief Physician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Thailand

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-09-13

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-09-30 13:09

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-10-12 05:18

**Review time:** 11 Days and 16 Hours

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Scientific quality                          | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty   |
| Creativity or innovation of this manuscript | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation                                |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance   |
| <b>Language quality</b>   | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| <b>Conclusion</b>   | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection          |
| <b>Re-review</b>  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No  |
| <b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>                                     | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous  |
|   | Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No   |

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case is quite interesting and should be aware by general physicians about parasitic infection instead of malignancy. The overall scientific contents are acceptable. All of the scientific names should be italicized or underlined. For this case, the strong evidents are a strongyloides IgG antibody which should report the titer instead of positive or negative. Moreover, the authors needed to demonstrate the strongiloides larvae from stool examination as 1 figure. Furthermore, in my opinion, the MRI should be showed in parallel with CT scan. The English grammar needed to be slightly polished. The references needed to be rechecked again.