

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 88231

**Title:** Hemoglobin loss method calculates blood loss during pancreaticoduodenectomy and predicts bleeding-related risk factors

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02445477

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACS, MS

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-09-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-12-08 15:13

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-12-10 14:23

**Review time:** 1 Day and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Retrospective nature of study demeits the study. pancreaticoduodenectomy rate is relatively high , keeping in view international data Methodology is not sound to merit publishing

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 88231

**Title:** Hemoglobin loss method calculates blood loss during pancreaticoduodenectomy and predicts bleeding-related risk factors

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03769070

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-09-26

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-12-04 22:49

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-12-11 14:30

**Review time:** 6 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript ID: 88231 - World Journal of Gastroenterology Manuscript Title: Hemoglobin loss method calculates blood loss during pancreaticoduodenectomy and predicts bleeding-related risk factors Dear authors, The study evaluates retrospective patient data; however, no ethics committee approval document was provided. There is also no reference to this document in the manuscript. This study deals with a similar subject and presents the ethics committee document: DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0259682 In order to contribute to the improvement of the manuscript, some suggestions are proposed according to the guideline for retrospective study and the checklist: \*Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? Yes. \*Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? Yes. \*Key Words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? Yes. \*Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? Yes. Even though the abbreviations have been cited in the abstract, it is important to repeat them in the introduction (LPD, OPD...). \*Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [office@baishideng.com](mailto:office@baishideng.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

trials, etc.) in adequate detail? Provide the abbreviation "ASA" in full; Provide the approval number of the ethics committee. \*Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? Yes. What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? The study provides a calculation-based, less subjective alternative for analyzing blood loss during pancreaticoduodenectomy and predicts bleeding-related risk factors. \*Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Yes. Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Yes. Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? Yes. \*Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams, and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative, with labeling of figures using arrows, asterisks, etc, and are the legends adequate and accurately reflective of the images/illustrations shown? Yes. \*Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? Yes. \*Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Please note that the manuscript must be prepared using 12 pt Book Antiqua font. Do not use superscript numbers or symbols to identify the authors' affiliation; Coretip is absent. \*Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? NO.