

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 88408

Title: The effective time, correction speed and termination time of hemi-epiphysiodesis

in children

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02953717 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Portugal

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-23

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-08 09:16

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-19 23:18

Review time: 11 Days and 14 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade D: No scientific significance
	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language
Language quality	polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing []
	Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)
	[] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

For Pediatrician and Pediatric Ortopedic Docters is interessing this theme. The article is a revision not a meta-analyse or a systematic review, better to do that in the next theme. The revision is OK.