

RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

November 11, 2023



Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 88431-Manuscript File).

Title: "Inherited arrhythmias and gene therapy: are there any ethical considerations to take into account?"

Authors: Michael Spartalis, Eleftherios Spartalis, Gerasimos Siasos

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Cardiology*

Invited Manuscript NO: ID 03846666

Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript for possible publication in your distinguished journal. All Editorial and Reviewers' comments and recommendations have been addressed. In order to facilitate editing, all changes have been highlighted and underlined in yellow in the revised manuscript. Furthermore, all reviewers' comments have been inserted in italics in our detailed response to reviewers, along with our change. We hope that this revision will satisfy the high standards of your distinguished journal. Please do not hesitate to contact me, should any problems occur. We are looking forward to hearing from you at your earliest possible convenience.

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of the Editorial Office and the reviewers:

Reviewer

Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript. We strongly believe that your comments have added up greatly to the article.

1. This manuscript indicates that several ethical issues involved in novel treatment approaches for inherited arrhythmias must be considered, Hence is significant and interesting.

We would like to thank the reviewers for their kind words.

Editorial Office

Thank you very much for the revision of our manuscript.

1. *The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it is ready for the first decision.*

We would like to thank the Science Editor for reviewing our manuscript.

2. *I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Cardiology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. When revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.*

Done. The manuscript has been revised according to the peer-review report and Editorial's comments. The changes have been highlighted in yellow in the revised manuscript. We have added the latest highlight articles according to RCA database.

Thank you again for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript for possible publication in your distinguished journal.

Sincerely yours,

Michael Spartalis, MD, PhD, FESC, FEHRA, FACC, CCDS, CEPS-A

32 Tsakalof Ave, Athens 10673, Greece

E-mail: mssparta@med.uoa.gr

Tel: +306937291476