

Dear Reviewers and Editor,

We feel great thanks for your professional review work on our article. As you are concerned, there are several problems that need to be addressed. According to your nice suggestions, we have made extensive corrections to our previous draft, the detailed corrections are listed below.

*Reviewer #1:*

*Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)*

*Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)*

*Conclusion: Accept (General priority)*

*Specific Comments to Authors:*

*I read the case with great interest. Well-written article about a case of Cronkhite–Canada Syndrome with a long follow-up. I congratulate you on your conduct with the patient and for presenting the case. I recommend that it be accepted.*

Response to Reviewer #1:

We would like to express our sincere appreciations of your letter and constructive comments concerning our article. We've tried our best to collect all the important clinical evidence of this case and get the latest cutting-edge research results, in order to further improve the scientific quality and the content of the manuscript. Language problems existed in the revised manuscript, so we sent the revised manuscript to a professional English language editing company and highlighted the revised contents with yellow color in the revised manuscript.

Reply to EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS:

*1. Science editor:*

*Specific comments:*

*(1) Please provide the Figures cited in the original manuscript in the form of PPT. All text can be edited, including A,B, arrows, etc. With respect to the reference to the Figure, please verify if it is an original image created for the manuscript, if not, please provide the source of the*

*picture and the proof that the Figure has been authorized by the previous publisher or copyright owner to allow it to be redistributed. All legends require a general title and explanation for each figure. Such as A: ; B: ; C: .*

Reply: We have provided the Figures that met the requirements.

*(2) Ensure that the last keyword is case report.*

Reply: We have ensured.

*(3) Please provide all fund documents.*

Reply: We have provided all fund documents in the Upload Manuscript Files.

*(4) Figures: If the image does not involve faces, please mosaic the faces to protect patient privacy.*

Reply: In this case, the abnormal skin pigmentation was unevenly distributed throughout the body, with the face and both sides of the hands mainly affected, so the image does involve faces. We have mosaiced the eyes to protect patient privacy.

2. Company editor-in-chief:

*I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.*

*When revising the manuscript, it is recommended that the author supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply PubMed, or a new tool, the RCA, of which data source is PubMed.*

Reply: Thank you again for your positive comments and valuable suggestions to improve the quality of our manuscript. We have applied PubMed and the RCA to get the latest cutting-edge research results, in order to further improve the scientific quality and the content of the manuscript.

Yours sincerely,

Yu-Chen Tang