

## ANSWERING REVIEWERS



Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 8865-review.doc).

Title: Lipids levels in serum and cancerous tissues of colorectal cancer patients

Author: Xin Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xian-Wen Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Dong-Bo Liu<sup>1</sup>, Yan Wang<sup>2</sup>, Li-Li Du<sup>2\*</sup>, Jie-Xiang Jing<sup>2</sup>, Cun-Zhi Han<sup>2</sup>

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8865

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Format has been updated

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer

In this paper, authors compared the serum lipids levels of the colorectal cancer and benign illnesses and the health participants, also compared the lipids levels of the cancerous and paracancerous tissue. Moreover they also assessed relation between the lipids levels and the TNM stages and lymph node metastasis in patients with colorectal cancer. Therefore authors provided a lot of useful information for readers in this paper. Overall, the manuscript could be accepted.

However, some language or write errors need to be corrected, for example: 1. In abstract and manuscript --- “benign colorectal cancer” . 2. In results of abstract (line 1 of page 3)---whereas the serum HDL-C levels was significantly lower ( $P<0.01$ ) in patients with rectum cancer than in patients with colon cancer. 3. Line 12 of page 7--- However, there were no statistically significant differences in the serum levels of both TG and HDL-C among three groups ( $P<0.05$ )---P value error. 4. Line 17 of page 8---whereas TG levels in in the cancerous tissue of patients with lymph node metastasis were significantly lower. 5. Line 1 – 2 of page 9--- There were no significant differences in the levels of FCH, TG, TCH, HDL-C and LDL-C in in their cancerous tissue and paracancerous tissue. 6. Line 8 of page 9--- Statistical analyses of these data indicated that the differences in the levels of these

two lipids in serum between two groups of patients were statistically significant ( $P < 0.01$ ). The “statistically” is not indispensable.

Language and write errors have been corrected.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the World Journal of Gastroenterology.

Sincerely yours

LiLi Du