



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Experimental Medicine*

**Manuscript NO:** 88674

**Title:** The COVID-19 Mortality Paradox (USA vs Africa): Mass Vaccination vs Early Treatment

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 05104705

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** N/A

**Professional title:** Surgeon

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** China

**Author’s Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-10-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-11-29 08:34

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-12-03 12:01

**Review time:** 4 Days and 3 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The manuscript appears to be a report that expresses his own views and uses some inappropriate language. There is no detailed and convincing scientific data in the manuscript. The authors propose that in the early days of COVID-19, the Kelleni regimen, which is superior to vaccination, has a significantly lower mortality rate than in Western countries, and opposes mandatory vaccination.