

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 88701

Title: Garg Incontinence Scores (GIS): A new scoring system on the horizon to evaluate fecal incontinence- Will it make a difference?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03936932

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-07 06:43

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-14 13:48

Review time: 7 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade B: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript ID: 88701 Manuscript Title: Garg Incontinence Scores (GIS): A new scoring system on the horizon to evaluate fecal incontinence- Will it make a difference? Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology The MS can be considered for publication if the authors addresses the following issues. Abstract Section 1.Revise "There are several scoring systems in use for the last three" to "There were several scoring systems in use for the last three". 2.Revise "The prominent of them are" to "The prominent of them was". 3.Revise "we have tried the analyse" to "we tried to analyse". 4.Revise "GIS and compared it" to "GIS and to compare it". 5.Please supplement results and conclusions. Core tip Section 6.Revise "There are several scoring systems to assess" to "Several scoring systems were used to assess". 7.Revise "most commonly used are" to "most commonly used were". 8.Revise "we have analyzed the GIS" to "we analyzed GIS". 9.Revise "GIS seems to" to "GIS seemed to". Introduction Section 10.Revise "population worldwide. [1-6]" to "population worldwide[1-6].". 11.Revise "35 years[7-9] The" to "35 years[7-9]. The". 12.Revise "scoring system.[7] It " to "scoring system[7]. It ". Please pay attention to similar issues. 13.Revise "Jorge et al. (Table-1)" to "Jorge et al. (Table 1)".

14.Revise “scores (Table-2).” to “scores (Table 2).”. 15.Revise “in 1999 (Table-3)” to “in 1999 (Table 3)”. 16.Revise “Garg et al (Table-4)” to “Garg et al (Table 4)”. 17.Revise “nd GIS (Table-5).” to “nd GIS (Table 5).”. 18.Revise “it seems that the Garg incontinence scores (GIS)” to “it seems that GIS”.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 88701

Title: Garg Incontinence Scores (GIS): A new scoring system on the horizon to evaluate fecal incontinence- Will it make a difference?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05233134

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Slovenia

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-26 20:12

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-26 21:34

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The abstract is poorly written; the first sentence does not give the content of the paper or which scoring system it is about. The abstract is intended to present the problem; it does not give the classifications (Rome IV), etc., and does not define the problem in terms of classifications. It does not define what the contribution of the publication will be.
2. FI in IBD should be defined and the proportion in relation to the overall incidence reported. Causes of postpartum FI, causes, method of care for pelvic floor trauma and association with FI.
3. FI as a side-effect of medication or associated with a certain lifestyle, definition and definition is needed.
4. The significance of the individual indices should be compared with functional tests to demonstrate FI.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 88701

Title: Garg Incontinence Scores (GIS): A new scoring system on the horizon to evaluate fecal incontinence- Will it make a difference?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03936932

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-07 15:23

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-11 06:22

Review time: 3 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [**Y**] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript ID: 88701V1 Manuscript Title: Garg Incontinence Scores (GIS): A new scoring system on the horizon to evaluate fecal incontinence- Will it make a difference?
Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology The revised version can be accepted after the following minor errors are revised as follows. 1.Revise "Garg et al" to "Garg et al.". 2.Revise "Jorge et al" to "Jorge et al.". 3.Revise "Vaizey et al," to "Vaizey et al.". 4.Revise "Rockwood et al" to "Rockwood et al".