Dear reviewer:

I am very grateful to your comments for the manuscript. According with your advice, we amended the relevant part in manuscript. Some of your questions were answered blew. Specific comments 1. The indication for colonoscopy in this case. Clarification required 2. the term "without any pathological biopsy" is unusual and should be revised. It could be reworded as 'with no pathological findings' OR 'with normal endoscopic and histologic findings" 3. The term "intestinal cleanliness" is also unusual. Perhaps the bowel preparation was suboptimal 4. the term" she received antibiotics" might be better phrased as "she was managed with antibiotics... 5. the INTRO is one long paragraph. Please divide to enhance readability 6. was she diagnosed with appendicitis 10 hours after colonoscopy or did she start having symptoms 10 hours after? developed appendicitis 10 hours after colonoscopy is very specific 7. Is figure 1 really required? suggest to delete 8. The case presentation could be structured in a more standard fashion rather than staccato sections 9. the term fecoliths should be used 10. Can a CT definitively actually show inflammation? suggest to rephrase to describe the findings, such as wall thickening and so on. Whilst these findings on imaging may well represent inflammation, the outline should be more precise 11. Figure 2 includes an arrow. This should be explained in the figure legend 12. abdominal pain is described a symptom of colonoscopy. While pain may follow colonoscopy the current phrase should be reworded 13. There are numerous additional awkward sentences with poor construction. These impact adversely on readability. The whole MS should be carefully revised with regards to sentence structure, language and grammar 14. Please revise all references and correct those that do not fit the journal instructions. Some journal title abbreviations are incorrect, for example

(1): The patient volunteered for a routine physical status examination, with colonoscopy on

the list of requested tests.

- (2):" without any pathological biopsy" has been modified to "with normal endoscopic and histologic findings."
- (3):" intestinal cleanliness was not perfect" has been modified to the bowel preparation was suboptimal"
- (4): "she received antibiotics and recovered well" has been modified to "she was managed with antibiotics and recovered well"
- (5): The paragraph structure has been re-changed.
- (6): Changes have been made to clarify the definition in the Chief Complaints chapter of the case presentation.
- (7): Figure 1 has been deleted.
- (8): The case presentation have been revised according to the format of the journal.
- (9): In the description of the imaging examinations, "inflamed appendix with fecal liths (Figure 2A)" has been modified to "inflamed appendix with fecoliths (Figure 1A)."
- (10): The description of CT findings has rephased.
- (11): The explanation about the arrow has been added to the Figure 2 legend.
- (12-13): We have applied to Elsevier for the second language guidance of the article.
- (14): References have been revised and corrected according to journal requirements.