

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 88859

Title: Emerging space for non-polyethene-glycol bowel preparations in inflammatory

bowel disease-related colonoscopy: Veering toward better adherence and palatability

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06730456 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-13 14:36

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-16 04:42

Review time: 2 Days and 14 Hours

| | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Scientific quality | Good |
| | [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair |
| this manuscript | [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |
| Ť | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
|--|--|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y] Yes [] No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The purpose of this paper is the emergence of non-polyethylene glycol-based bowel preparation methods for patients with inflammatory bowel disease undergoing colonoscopy. non-polyethylene glycol-based bowel preparation methods are associated with better palatability and adherence, potentially improving patient compliance and satisfaction. It is a very meaningful editorial paper. Some questions remain: emergence of non-polyethylene glycol-based bowel preparation methods offers numerous advantages; however, this paper lacks specific details regarding these methods. Mere examples fail to provide sufficient information. 2.I am unable to comprehend the meaning of the abstract, as it appears incongruous with the intended objective of the article. Such as the sentence "Most available evidence has testified for a better profile of these (generally polyethylene glycol, PEG, based) than non-PEG low-volume (e.g., magnesium citrate plus picosulphate, oral sulphate solutions)." 3.The list of the table 1 is not comprehensive for such a sweeping statement. A number of clarifications and additions are required. 4.The language in the manuscript also needs polishing. Especially in the part of "WHAT PROBLEMS PLAGUE NON-PEG



PREPARATIONS, AND WHAT PIECE IS MISSING FOR THE LIBERALIZATION OF THEIR USE IN IBD?""



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 88859

Title: Emerging space for non-polyethene-glycol bowel preparations in inflammatory

bowel disease-related colonoscopy: Veering toward better adherence and palatability

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03262691 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MA

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-11

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-02 09:24

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-04 16:35

Review time: 2 Days and 7 Hours

| | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | Good |
| | [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | [Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair |
| this manuscript | [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |
| | |



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
|--|--|
| Language quality | [Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y] Yes [] No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The prerequisite for a clear visualization of a colonoscopy in patients with colon diseases including IBD is an adequate bowel preparation for which polyethylene glycol (PEG)based solutions have been utilized for years and many more to come. However, the demerits of PEG-based preparations are that they are not always palatable and tolerable in quite a few patients resulting in an unclear colonic mucosa due to insufficient intake of large volumes of solution. Complaints from patients are often heard in clinical settings. Nevertheless, low-volume non-PEG-based preparations are fortunately emerging. This editorial summarizes the new and recent clinical studies conducted in patients with IBD using non-PEG preparations to argue for their potential usefulness for comparable efficacy and safety to PEG-based preparations, and yet better tolerance and palatability. Although at present non-PEG-based preparations are not recommended in major international guidelines the authors call for a modification of the recommendations in future guideline updates under a conditional stratification of IBD patients upon different colonoscopy goals. Therefore, it is wise and farsighted to foresee the "emerging space" for non-PEG-based preparations "veering toward an approach for better adherence and



palatability". This editorial is well-written and organized in a very logical way, just hits the target.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 88859

Title: Emerging space for non-polyethene-glycol bowel preparations in inflammatory

bowel disease-related colonoscopy: Veering toward better adherence and palatability

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06730456 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-11

Reviewer chosen by: Cong Lin

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-07 01:52

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-07 02:12

Review time: 1 Hour

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|--------------------|--|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous |



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This editorial paper holds significant value and is recommended for acceptance.