



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 88901

Title: Back to the future: How to proceed in a patient with end-stage carcinoid heart disease of an unknown primary tumor: A case report and review of literature

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 06420432

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: China

Author’s Country/Territory: Croatia

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-13

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-30 03:07

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-08 01:18

Review time: 7 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Bulj et al. have presented a comprehensive medical history and diagnostic investigation of a complex patient case involving gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms. The case is notably intriguing. Here are some suggestions: 1 Ensure that specialized terms are defined upon their initial appearance to enhance clarity and understanding. 2 While the discussion section is thorough, primarily focusing on guidelines and literature, it is advisable to streamline the content for conciseness. Establish more direct connections with the presented case study. 3 Rectify minor errors in the article, such as (Figure 3a and 3b.). Ensure there is a space before the parentheses in paper citations. 4 When multiple small images are included in a single figure, standardize the order of each small image for consistency and ease of comprehension. 5 Consider adding the abbreviation for heart structures in the screenshot of the cardiac ultrasound for clarity. 6 Provide appropriate explanations for the images in the legends to enhance the reader's understanding of the visual content.