

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 88926

**Title:** Giant bile duct dilatation in newborn: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 07047986

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** DNB, Doctor, MBBS, MCh, MS

**Professional title:** Doctor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-10-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-12-05 12:51

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-12-13 13:38

**Review time:** 8 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A good case for reporting as it is about young neonate, prenatal diagnosis, acute presentation, low weight, challenge to manage, difficult approach laparoscopic versus open. Even though the case is important, the manuscript needs to be rewritten with better grammar, concise description of the operative part, sequencing of information needs to be changed in the body, the histopathology is mentioned before the operative /treatment part. The CBD is mentioned as a common and rare entity in the same paragraph. Conclusions about this being the largest cyst needs clarification that at 28days it is largest as even larger cyst has been reported earlier(16cm in 4 months baby). A mention about the delay in surgery and implications on the liver fibrosis needs appropriate mention. T-Tube cholangiogram is mentioned as angiogram, ligament of Treitz as Trevelis, al needs to be changed. This paper brings out the difficulty which a surgeon encounters while operating in an acute setting with a large CBD with previous cholangitis, which makes laparoscopic approach dangerous so a suggestion that open approach might be safer in these subset of patients.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 88926

**Title:** Giant bile duct dilatation in newborn: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 07047986

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** DNB, Doctor, MBBS, MCh, MS

**Professional title:** Doctor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-10-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jing-Jie Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2024-01-06 15:13

**Reviewer performed review:** 2024-01-06 15:41

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Good job of editing has been done, and conveys a message regarding the management of CBD in the neonatal period.