

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Manuscript NO: 89159

Title: Acetabular cup size trends in total hip arthroplasty

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02694731

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Doctor, Senior Lecturer, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Switzerland

Author's Country/Territory: Ireland

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-22

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-24 11:49

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-24 15:14

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, This is an interesting article reporting an observation made in various locations but, as you mentioned, also to my knowledge, has never been published before. I personally have made the same observation in my department. I do agree with you that implanting the socket is a difficult and crucial step in total hip replacement. There are a number of factors that influence the fit and fixation of the acetabular cup. Although there are countless publications on cup implantation, there are few that address the specific biomechanical details of cementless acetabular cup fixation. In addition to the primary stability aspects, it is arguably the controlling view of the acetabular bone by the surgeon during the reaming process and then the “feel” for the biomechanics of cup insertion that improve in the hands of the surgeon as he or she learns and masters acetabular cup implantation. In the discussion section, you discussed the possible role of the socket implant and the acetabular bone. I suggest that you include in the Methods section whether the surgeons always used the same cup model or whether they changed the cup type one or more times during the observation period. It is a common experience that constantly changing the cup type from one patient to the other never leads to a good



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

acetabular implantation, because the specific characteristics of the cup are also a crucial part of the learning process. I recommend to add this aspect to the discussion. I further suggest that you add these two publications to your reference list, which provide good information on where the cup fixation forces in press-fit cups act. They also show what the pressure distribution looks like in the implant/bone interface and they reveal that the fixation quality depends not only on the socket, but above all on the biomechanical properties of the acetabular bone, which could partially explain the observed gender differences: Widmer KH, Zurfluh B, Morscher EW. Load transfer and fixation mode of press-fit acetabular sockets. *J Arthroplasty*. 2002 Oct;17(7):926-35. doi: 10.1054/arth.2002.34526. Widmer KH, Zurfluh B, Morscher EW. [Contact surface and pressure load at implant-bone interface in press-fit cups compared to natural hip joints]. *Orthopedist*. 1997 Feb;26(2):181-9. doi: 10.1007/s001320050084. The latter, unfortunately only published in German, indicates to which locations within the acetabulum the forces of a press-fit cup should preferably be transmitted. This study also provides clear guidelines as to which acetabular surfaces should be visually inspected during the milling process and where the load-bearing surfaces of the acetabular bone are located and, consequently, where the subchondral bone should be preserved. On the other hand, this also underlines that preoperative geometric planning alone and its intraoperative implementation using navigation or robotic tools is probably not sufficient, but always requires intraoperative visual control.